



## On fuzzy $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets in generalized fuzzy topological spaces

*Birojit Das*

*National Institute of Technology Agartala, India*

*Jayasree Chakraborty*

*National Institute of Technology Agartala, India*

*and*

*Baby Bhattacharya*

*National Institute of Technology Agartala, India*

*Received : March 2021. Accepted : October 2021*

---

### Abstract

*In this paper, we explore the existence of operation approach on open sets in a generalized fuzzy topological space. We introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set and study some basic properties of it. We obtain an interesting result that the intersection of two fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets may not be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, but if the operation is regular then the intersection becomes a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. We also initiate the notions of fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set and fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -locally finite space and establish various results related to these.*

---

**AMS Subject Classification:** *54A40, 03E72, 54A05*

**Keyword:** *Fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, Regular  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation, Fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, Fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -locally finite space.*

## 1. Introduction

In the year 1979, Kasahara [13] first introduced the concept of operations in general topological space. The very basic definition of operation in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  given by him is as follows:

An operation  $\gamma : \tau \rightarrow P(X)$  is a function from the topology  $\tau$  to the power set of  $X$  such that  $V \subseteq V^\gamma$ , for each open set  $V \in \tau$ , where  $V^\gamma$  denotes the value of  $\gamma$  at  $V$ . He had shown the existence of such kind of various operations, viz.  $\gamma(V) = V, \gamma(V) = cl(V)$  etc.

The use of operations became a topic of research since its inception. The investigation on operations were done on various sets in the topological space. Krishnan et. al [14] studied the operation approach on semi-open sets in a topological space and showed various applications of the same. Van An et. al [22] extended the notion of operations on pre-open set in 2008. Tahiliani [18] defined an operation on the class of all  $\beta$ -open sets, whereas Carpintero et. al [3] studied the same on the class of all  $b$ -open sets in a topological space. Very recently, Hussain [11] introduced the notion of operations in generalized closed sets with the same approach and studied some of its applications. Ogata [16] called the operation  $\alpha$  as  $\gamma$ -operation and he introduced  $\tau_\gamma$  as the collection of all  $\gamma$ -open sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Some more significant works on operation approaches may be seen in [1] [12].

Nakaoka and Oda [15] first initiated the notion of minimal open set in general topological space in the year 2001. After the introduction of fuzzy topology, lot of development on continuity, quasicoincidence etc which lead to mixed topology etc [9, 10, 19, 20, 21]. In literature there are several interesting research done on this concepts in various environments in recent days on generalization of open sets in crisp, as well as in fuzzy environment [2, 5, 6, 7, 8]. In this present treatise, we extend the concept of operations in fuzzy sense on generalized open set in a generalized fuzzy topological space due to Chetty [4] and examine the characteristic of these operations. Through operation approach, we define and characterize fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in a generalized fuzzy topological space extensively and then introduce the notion of fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set therein.

Some important related definitions are recalled below as ready references of our present work.

## 2. Preliminaries

Before going to the contribution section, we need some basic and preliminary ideas about the existing definitions and results which will play a major role in this study.

### 2.1. Definition (Chetty, 2008)

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $\mu$  be any collection of fuzzy sets having the following properties:

- (i)  $0_X \in \mu$  and
- (ii)  $\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mu, \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \in \mu$ .

Then  $\mu$  is called a generalized fuzzy topology (in short, GFT) and the structure  $(X, \mu)$  is called a generalized fuzzy topological space (for short, GFTS).

Each member of  $\mu$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set and the complement of a fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set is called fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed set.

### 2.2. Definition (Chetty, 2008)

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized fuzzy topological space. Then the interior of a fuzzy set  $\lambda$  is the supremum of all fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set contained in  $\lambda$  and it is denoted by  $i_\mu(\lambda)$ . Also the closure of  $\lambda$  is the infimum of the fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed sets which contains  $\lambda$  and it is denoted by  $c_\mu(\lambda)$ .

Thus

$$i_\mu(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \delta \in \mu : \delta \leq \lambda, \delta \text{ is a fuzzy } \mu\text{-open set} \} \text{ and;} \\ c_\mu(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ \delta : \lambda \leq \delta, \delta \text{ is a fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed set} \}.$$

### 2.3. Definition (Roy, 2018)

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space. An operation  $\gamma_\mu$  on the generalized topology  $\mu$  is a mapping from  $\mu$  to  $P(X)$  such that  $V \subseteq V^{\gamma_\mu}$ , for each  $V \in \mu$ , where  $V^{\gamma_\mu}$  denotes the value of  $\gamma_\mu$  at  $V$ . Such an operation is denoted by  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow P(X)$ .

**2.4. Definition (Roy, 2018)**

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space and  $\gamma_\mu$  an operation on  $\mu$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called  $\gamma_\mu$ -open if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a  $\mu$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $U^{\gamma_\mu} \subseteq A$ .

A subset  $B$  of a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is called  $\gamma_\mu$ -closed if  $X - B$  is  $\gamma_\mu$ -open in  $(X, \mu)$ .

**2.5. Definition (Roy, 2018)**

A fuzzy open set  $\lambda$  of a fuzzy space  $(X, \tau)$  is called minimal fuzzy open set if  $\lambda$  is non-zero and there is no non-zero proper fuzzy open subset of  $\lambda$ .

**3. Fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -Open Sets and their Properties**

In this section we introduce the concept of  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation and fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in a generalized fuzzy topological space. We study the basic properties of the fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set and find an interesting result which explains that the collection of all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets forms a generalized fuzzy topology therein. Then we initiated the notion of regular  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation and show that in case of a regular  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation, the collection of all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets forms a fuzzy topology.

**3.1. Definition**

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GFTS. We define an operation  $\gamma_\mu$  on the GFT  $\mu$  which is a function from  $\mu$  to  $I^X$  such that for each  $\lambda \in \mu$ ,  $\lambda \leq \lambda^{\gamma_\mu}$ , where  $\lambda^{\gamma_\mu}$  is the value of  $\gamma_\mu$  at  $\lambda$ .

In a GFTS, the fuzzy  $\mu$ -closure operator is an example of a  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation.

**3.2. Definition**

Let us consider a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  and a  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation on  $\mu$ . Any fuzzy set  $\lambda$  of  $X$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in  $\mu$  if  $\forall$  fuzzy point  $x_p \in \lambda$ ,  $\exists$  a  $\mu$ -open set  $\delta$  containing  $x_p$  such that  $\delta^{\gamma_\mu} \leq \lambda$ . A fuzzy set  $\alpha$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -closed set if its complement that is,  $1_X - \alpha$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in  $\mu$ . The collection of all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets and fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -closed sets are denoted by  $F\gamma_\mu-O(X)$  and  $F\gamma_\mu-C(X)$  respectively.

The  $\gamma_\mu$ -closure and the  $\gamma_\mu$ -interior of any fuzzy set  $\lambda$  are denoted by  $cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda)$  and  $int_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda)$ , which are defined as follows:

$$cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ \alpha : \lambda \leq \alpha, \alpha \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma_\mu\text{-closed set} \}$$

and

$$int_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \alpha : \alpha \leq \lambda, \alpha \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma_\mu\text{-open set} \}.$$

### 3.3. Theorem

In a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ , every fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set is a fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set, that is,  $F\gamma_\mu\text{-}O(X) \subseteq \mu$ .

**Proof:** We consider a fuzzy set  $\lambda \in F\gamma_\mu\text{-}O(X)$  and a fuzzy point  $x_p \in \lambda$ . Then there exists a  $\mu$ -open set  $\delta$  containing  $x_p$  such that  $\delta^{\gamma_\mu} \leq \lambda$ . Consequently, we have  $x_p \in \delta \subseteq \lambda$  and so  $x_p$  belongs to the  $\mu$ -interior of  $\lambda$ , which proves that  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set, that is,  $\lambda \in \mu$ . Therefore,  $F\gamma_\mu\text{-}O(X) \subseteq \mu$ .

### 3.4. Remark

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general. It is demonstrated in the following example.

### 3.5. Example

We take a non-empty set  $X = \{a, b\}$  and a GFT  $\mu = \{\phi, X, \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.6)\}, \{(a, 0.7), (b, 0.3)\}, \{(a, 0.7), (b, 0.6)\}\}$  defined on  $X$ . We define a  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation from  $\mu$  to  $I^X$  as follows:

$$\gamma_\mu(\lambda) = c_\mu(\lambda)$$

Here the fuzzy set  $\lambda = \{(x, 0.1), (y, 0.6)\}$  is a fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set but it is not a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set.

### 3.6. Theorem

Arbitrary union of fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set therein.

**Proof:** We take the collection  $\{\lambda_n : n \in \Gamma\}$  of all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets of  $X$ . Let the fuzzy point  $x_p \in \bigvee \{\lambda_n : n \in \Gamma\}$ , then  $\exists \lambda_k \in \lambda_n$ , for some  $k \in \Gamma$ . Now  $x_p \in \lambda_k$  implies  $\exists$  a fuzzy  $\mu$  open set  $\delta$  containing  $x_p$  such that  $\delta^{\gamma_\mu} \leq \lambda_k \leq \bigvee \{\lambda_n : n \in I\}$ . Thus, we conclude arbitrary union of fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set.

**3.7. Remark**

Even the intersection of two fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  may not be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. In the following example this claim is verified.

**3.8. Example**

Let us consider a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  with  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mu = \{0_X, 1_X, \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}, \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 1)\}\}$  and a  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation on  $\mu$  in such a way that

$$\gamma_\mu(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 0)\} \\ \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It can be easily verified that  $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$  and  $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 1)\}$  are both fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets. But their intersection  $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2 = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 0)\}$  is not a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set therein.

**3.9. Remark**

The empty set  $0_X$  is obviously a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. Thus considering the Theorem 3.6 and the Remark 3.7, we establish that  $F\gamma_\mu\text{-}O(X)$  forms a generalized fuzzy topology in  $X$ .

**3.10. Definition**

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GFTS. The  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation is said to be regular if for two fuzzy  $\mu$ -open sets  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  containing the fuzzy point  $x_p$ , there exists another fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set  $\delta$  containing  $x_p$  such that  $\delta^{\gamma_\mu} \leq \lambda_1^{\gamma_\mu} \wedge \lambda_2^{\gamma_\mu}$ .

**3.11. Theorem**

Let  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  be a regular operation. Then the intersection of any two fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set is again a  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set.

**Proof:** We consider two fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  in a GFTS  $X$ . We take an arbitrary fuzzy point  $x_p \in \lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2$ . Then  $x_p \in \lambda_1$  and  $x_p \in \lambda_2$ . Thus there exists two fuzzy  $\mu$ -open sets  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  such that  $\delta_1^{\gamma_\mu} \leq \lambda_1$  and  $\delta_2^{\gamma_\mu} \leq \lambda_2$ . Now, since  $\gamma_\mu$  is a regular operation, then there is another fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set  $\alpha$  in  $X$  such that  $\alpha^{\gamma_\mu} \leq \delta_1^{\gamma_\mu} \wedge \delta_2^{\gamma_\mu}$  and so  $\alpha^{\gamma_\mu} \leq \lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2$ . Hence,  $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2$  is also a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set.

### 3.12. Theorem

If the operation  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  is regular, then the collection of all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets in  $X$  forms a fuzzy topology therein.

**Proof:** Obviously,  $1_X$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. From the Remark 3.9 and the Theorem 3.11, we establish that the collection of all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets forms a fuzzy topology in  $X$ .

## 4. Fuzzy Minimal $\gamma_\mu$ -Open Sets

In this particular section, we extend the concept of minimal open set in fuzzy environment. we define fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in a generalized fuzzy topological space and establish several results based on this concept. We also define and discuss fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set, fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set and fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -locally finite space therein.

### 4.1. Definition

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GFTS and  $\gamma_\mu$  be any operation defined on  $\mu$ . A non-empty fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\lambda$  is said to be fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in  $X$  if there does not exists any fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open subset of  $\lambda$  other than the fuzzy set  $0_X$ .

### 4.2. Remark

A fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set may not be a subset of any other fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. The following example will support this claim.

### 4.3. Example

Let us consider a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  with  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mu = \{0_X, 1_X, \{(a, 1), (b, 0)\}, \{(a, 0), (b, 1)\}\}$ . We define a  $\gamma_\mu$  operation on  $\mu$  such that

$$\gamma_\mu(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } a_1 \in \lambda \\ c_\mu(\lambda), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here the only fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets in  $X$  are  $0_X, \{(a, 1), (b, 0)\}, \{(a, 0), (b, 1)\}$  and  $1_X$ . Obviously both the sets  $\{(a, 1), (b, 0)\}$  and  $\{(a, 0), (b, 1)\}$  are fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets but neither of them is the subset of the other.

**4.4. Theorem**

Let us consider a regular operation  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  on  $\mu$  in the GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ . If  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set and  $\delta$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, then either  $\lambda \wedge \delta = 0_X$  or  $\lambda \leq \delta$ .

**Proof:** If  $\lambda \wedge \delta = 0_X$ , then there remains nothing to prove. Let  $\lambda \wedge \delta \neq 0_X$ . Here  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  are both fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets in  $X$ . Then, by the Theorem 3.11, we have  $\lambda \wedge \delta$  is also a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. By the minimality condition, we have  $\lambda \leq \lambda \wedge \delta$ . As a consequence  $\lambda \leq \delta$  and hence our claim.

**4.5. Theorem**

For a regular operation  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ , there exists only one fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set.

**Proof:** If possible let there are two different fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  in a given GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ . Then both of them are fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$  open sets and so is their intersection. Thus from the Theorem 4.4, we have  $\lambda \leq \delta$ , by considering the fact that  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set and  $\delta \leq \lambda$  as  $\delta$  is also a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. Combining both only we have  $\lambda = \delta$ .

**4.6. Theorem**

Let  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  be a regular  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ . If  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set and  $x_p \in \lambda$ , then  $\lambda \leq \delta$ , for all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\delta$  containing the fuzzy point  $x_p$ .

**Proof:** Here both  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  are both fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets such that the fuzzy point  $x_p \in \lambda, \delta$ . If possible let,  $\lambda$ , which is not a fuzzy subset of  $\delta$ . Now, since  $\gamma_\mu$  is a regular operation, so  $\lambda \wedge \delta$  is also a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. Now,  $\lambda \wedge \mu$ , which is not a fuzzy subset of  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda \wedge \delta \neq \phi$  (as  $x_p \in \lambda, \delta$ ). Consequently we have,  $\lambda \wedge \delta$  is a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, which is a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore,  $\lambda \leq \delta$ .

From the above Theorem 4.6, we can directly find a result, which is as follows.



**4.7. Remark**

Let  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  be a regular operation defined in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  and  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in  $X$ . Then for any fuzzy point  $x_p \in \lambda$ ,  $\lambda = \bigwedge \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma_\mu\text{-open set containing } x_p \}$ .

**4.8. Theorem**

Suppose  $\gamma_\mu$  be a regular operation defined in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ . Also let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set with  $x_p \in 1_X - \lambda$  and  $\chi_x = \bigwedge \{ \delta \in F\gamma_\mu\text{-}O(X) : x_p \in \delta \}$ . Then either  $\chi_x \wedge \lambda = 0_X$  or  $\lambda \leq \chi_x$ .

**Proof:** The proof is done for the following two cases:

Case I: For  $\lambda \leq \delta$  with  $x_p \in \delta$

Then  $\lambda \leq \bigwedge \{ \delta \in F\gamma_\mu\text{-}O(X) : x_p \in \delta \}$  that is,  $\lambda \leq \chi_x$ .

**Case II:** For  $\delta < \lambda$  with  $x_p \in \delta$

In this case there exists a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\delta$  containing  $x_p$  such that  $\lambda \wedge \delta = 0_X$  and thus  $\lambda \wedge \chi_x = 0_X$ .

**4.9. Theorem**

Let  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  be a regular operation in the GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set iff for any non-empty fuzzy subset  $\beta$  of  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \leq cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\beta)$  and  $cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda) = cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\beta)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_p \in \lambda$  and  $\delta$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set containing  $x_p$ . Then,  $\lambda \leq \delta$  and  $\beta = \lambda \wedge \beta \leq \delta \wedge \beta$ . Thus  $\delta \wedge \beta \neq 0_X$  and evidently  $x_p \in cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\beta)$ . Therefore,  $\lambda \leq cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\beta)$ .  $\lambda \leq cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\beta) \Rightarrow cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda) \leq cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\beta)$ . Again, for any non-empty fuzzy subset  $\beta$  of  $\lambda$ , we have  $cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\beta) \leq cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda)$ . Consequently,  $cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda) = cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\beta)$ .

Conversely, if possible let us consider that  $\lambda$  is not a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. Then, there exists a non-empty fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\beta$  which is not a fuzzy subset of  $\lambda$  in  $(X, \mu)$ . Thus, there exist a fuzzy point  $x_p \in \lambda$  such that  $x_p \notin \beta$  and so  $cl_{\gamma_\mu}(x_p) \subseteq 1_X - \beta$ , that means,  $cl_{\gamma_\mu}(x_p) \neq cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set.

**4.10. Definition**

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GFTS and  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  be an operation defined on  $(X, \mu)$ . A fuzzy subset  $\lambda$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set if  $\lambda \leq \text{int}_{\gamma_\mu}(\text{cl}_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda))$ .

**4.11. Theorem**

Every subset of a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open if  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  is a regular operation on  $\mu$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\delta$  be any subset of the fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\lambda$ . Then by the Theorem 4.8, we have,  $\lambda \leq \text{cl}_{\gamma_\mu}(\delta) \Rightarrow \text{int}_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda) \leq \text{int}_{\gamma_\mu}(\text{cl}_{\gamma_\mu}(\delta))$ . But as  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, so  $\lambda = \text{int}_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda)$  and thus  $\delta \leq \lambda = \text{int}_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda) \leq \text{int}_{\gamma_\mu}(\text{cl}_{\gamma_\mu}(\delta))$ . Hence,  $\delta$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$  pre-open set.

**4.12. Theorem**

Let  $\gamma_\mu$  be an operation defined on a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  and  $\lambda$  be a proper fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. Then there exists a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\delta$  such that  $\delta \leq \lambda$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda$  be a proper fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open subset in the GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ .

**Case-I:**  $\lambda$  itself is a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set

In this case, the proof is very much obvious by setting  $\lambda = \delta$ .

**Case-II:**  $\lambda$  is not a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set.

If  $\lambda$  is not a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, then there exists a proper fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open subset  $\lambda_1$  of  $\lambda$ . If  $\lambda_1$  is a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set then by setting  $\delta = \lambda_1$ , we get  $\delta \leq \lambda$ . Again if  $\lambda_1$  is not a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set then we continue this process until we get a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. And since this process will terminate after a finite number of steps (say,  $n$ ), we will get fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\delta = \lambda_n$  such that  $\delta \leq \lambda$ .

**4.13. Definition**

Let  $\gamma_\mu : \mu \rightarrow I^X$  be an operation defined on  $\mu$ . Any fuzzy set  $\lambda$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set if its intersection with every fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open

sets gives a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set.

**4.14. Theorem**

If the GFTS  $X$  is singleton, then every fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set therein.

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set in a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  and  $\delta$  be any other fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set. Now since  $X$  is singleton, then either  $\lambda \leq \delta$  or  $\delta \leq \lambda$ , which implies either  $\lambda \wedge \delta = \lambda$  or  $\delta$ . In both the cases, the intersection is giving a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set. Hence  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set in  $X$ .

**4.15. Theorem**

Every fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set is necessarily a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set.

**Proof:** From the definition of fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set, the proof is obvious and so it is omitted.

**4.16. Remark**

The converse of the above theorem is not true, that is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set may not be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set.

**4.17. Example**

We consider a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  with  $X = \{a, b\}, \mu = \{0_X, 1_X, \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0)\}, \{(a, 1), (b, 0.2)\}\}$ . Also, we define a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation from  $\mu$  to  $I^X$  as follows:

$$\gamma_\mu(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } a_1 \in \lambda \\ cl_\mu(\lambda), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $a_1 = \{(a, 1), (b, \beta) : \beta \in [0, 1]\}$ .

Then, we have  $F\gamma_\mu-O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, \{(a, 0.5), (b, 1)\}, \{(a, 1), (b, \alpha)\} : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$  and thus  $F\gamma_\mu-C(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0)\}, \{(a, 0), (b, \alpha)\} : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$ . Calculation for the collection all pre-open sets gives that both the fuzzy sets  $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 1)\}$  and  $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 1), (b, 0)\}$  are fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open sets but their intersection  $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0)\}$  is not a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set. Hence, none of these is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set therein.

**4.18. Definition**

Let  $\gamma_\mu$  be an operation on a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$ .  $X$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -locally finite space if for every fuzzy point  $x_p \in X$  there exists a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\lambda \neq 1_X$  in  $X$  such that  $x_p \leq \lambda$ .

**4.19. Theorem**

Let  $\gamma_\mu$  be a regular operation defined on a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  which is fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -locally finite. If  $\delta$  is a non-empty fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, then there exists a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\lambda$  such that  $\delta \leq \lambda$ .

**Proof:** Suppose a fuzzy point  $x_p \in \delta$ . Then there exists a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\lambda$  such that  $x_p \leq U_x$ . Now, since  $U_x \wedge \delta \neq 0_X$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, then there exists a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \leq U_x \wedge \delta$  and hence  $\lambda \leq \delta$ .

**5. Applications of Fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -Open Sets and Fuzzy Minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -Open Sets**

In this section we discuss the applications of the newly defined sets fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets and fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets via fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open sets and fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -locally finite space.

**5.1. Property (m)**

From the Theorem 4.4 and the Theorem 4.19, we see that if  $\gamma_\mu$  is a regular operation then for any fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\lambda$ , we can find a finite collection of fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets, say,  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$  such that  $\delta_i \wedge \delta_j = 0_X, \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, i \neq j$ . Moreover, if  $\delta$  is any proper fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set in  $\lambda$ , then  $\delta = \delta_k$ , for some  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

We now define a property on a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  namely Property (m) as follows:

A GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to satisfy the property (m) if

$$\text{int}_{\gamma_\mu}(\bigwedge \lambda_i) = 0_X \Rightarrow \bigwedge \lambda_i = 0_X$$

**5.2. Theorem**

Let  $\gamma_\mu$  be a regular operation defined on a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  which satisfies property (m) and  $\lambda$  be a proper fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open subset not necessarily minimal. If  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$  be the collection of all fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets in  $\lambda$ ,  $y_p \in \lambda - \bigvee \delta_i$  and  $\lambda_y = \bigwedge \{\chi \in F\gamma_\mu\text{-}O(X) : y_p \in \chi\}$ , then  $\delta_k \leq \lambda_y$ , for some  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Proof:** Suppose there does not exist any fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\delta_k \leq \lambda_y$ . Now, it is clear that for any fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\delta_i$  in  $\lambda$ ,  $\delta_i \wedge \lambda = 0_X$  and obviously  $\lambda_y \leq \lambda$ . Then,  $\lambda_y = \lambda \wedge \lambda_y$  is a proper fuzzy set and so is  $int_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda_y)$ . Thus there exists a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \leq int_{\gamma_\mu}(\lambda_y)$ . Consequently  $\delta_k \wedge \alpha \leq \delta \wedge \lambda_y = 0_X$  and so  $\alpha \neq \delta_k, \forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . This is a contradiction to our assumption, which complete the proof.

**5.3. Remark**

Let  $\gamma_\mu$  be a regular operation defined on a GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  which satisfies the property (m) and  $\lambda$  be a proper fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set. If  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$  be the collection of all fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets and the fuzzy point  $y_p \in \lambda - \bigvee \delta_i$ , then

- (a) for any fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set  $\chi_y$  containing the point  $y_p$ ,  $\delta_k \leq \chi_y$ , for some  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,
- (b)  $y_p \in cl_{\gamma_\mu}(\delta_k)$ , for some  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**5.4. Definition**

A GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre  $T_2$  space if for any two distinct fuzzy points  $x_p$  and  $y_q \in X$ , there exists fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open sets  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  such that  $x_p \in \lambda, y_q \in \delta, \lambda \wedge \delta = 0_X$ .

**5.5. Theorem**

Let  $\gamma_\mu$  be regular operation defined on a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -locally finite GFTS  $(X, \mu)$  satisfying property (m) and any fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set have points with non-zero membership values. Then  $(X, \mu)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre  $T_2$  space.

**Proof:** Since  $X$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -locally finite space, then for any two distinct fuzzy points  $x_p, y_q \in X$ , there exist two proper fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$

containing  $x_p$  and  $y_q$  respectively. Consider two collections of fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_m\}$  with respect to  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  respectively.

**Case I:**  $x_p \in \lambda_i$  and  $y_q \in \delta_j$ , for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$   
 From theorem 4.11, we have fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open sets  $\alpha$  which have membership value  $p$  for  $x$  and 0 for others and  $\beta$  which have membership value  $q$  for  $y$  and 0 for others such that  $x_p \in \alpha, y_q \in \beta$  and  $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0_X$ . Thus  $(X, \mu)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre  $T_2$  space.

**Case II:**  $x_p \in \lambda_i$ , for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $y_q \notin \delta_j, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .  
 In this case choose fuzzy points  $y_{q_j} \in \delta_j$ . Then we have fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open sets  $\alpha$  which have membership value  $p$  for  $x$  and 0 for others and  $\beta$  which have membership value 0 of  $x$  for sure,  $q$  of  $y$  and some certain values of others depending upon the selection of the decision maker. In this case also  $x_p \in \alpha, y_q \in \beta$  and  $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0_X$ .

**Case III:**  $y_q \in \delta_j$ , for some  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $x_p \notin \lambda_i, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Following the previous case, one can easily obtain the desired result.

**Case IV:**  $x_p \notin \lambda_i, y_q \notin \delta_j, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

Choosing  $x_{p_k} \in \lambda_k$  and  $y_{q_j} \in \delta_j$ , we can find two fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open sets  $\alpha$  containing  $x_p$  and  $\beta$  containing  $y_q$  such that  $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0_X$ .

Hence  $(X, \mu)$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre  $T_2$  space.

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper operation approaches on open sets studied for the first time in a fuzzy environment. The notion of fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set have been introduced in a GFTS and it is found that the collection of all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets forms a GFT therein. It has been established that fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets forms a

fuzzy topology when the  $\gamma_\mu$ -operation is regular. Moreover, the concept of fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set has been initiated and it is proved that a fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set need not be a subset of all fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set, that is in a GFTS there may be multiple numbers of fuzzy minimal  $\gamma_\mu$ -open sets. It also been proved that in a singleton GFTS every fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set becomes a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set but a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ - $\gamma$ -open set may not be a fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre-open set. Finally the applications of fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -open set has been discussed via fuzzy  $\gamma_\mu$ -pre  $T_2$  space.

## References

- [1] B. A. Asaad and N. Ahmed, "Operation on Semi Generalized Open Sets with Its Separation Axioms", *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 118, no. 3, pp. 701-711, 2018.
- [2] C. Carpintero, E. Roses, M. S. Brown, and J. Sanabiro, "Minimal Open Sets on Generalized Topological Spaces", *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 739-751, 2017. doi: 10.4067/S0716-09172017000400739
- [3] C. Carpintero, N. Rajesh, and E. Roses, "Operation Approaches on b-open Sets and Applications", *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 21-33, 2012.
- [4] G. P. Chetty, "Generalized Fuzzy Topology", *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 24, pp. 91-96, 2008.
- [5] B. Das, B. Bhattacharya, and A. K. Saha, "Some remarks on fuzzy infi topological spaces", *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 399-415, 2021. doi: 10.22199/issn.0717-6279-2021-02-0024
- [6] B. Das, B. Bhattacharya, J. Chakaraborty, S. A. Ganapathiraju, and A. Paul, "A new type of generalized closed set via  $\gamma$ -open set in a fuzzy bitopological space", *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 511-536, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.22199/issn.0717-6279-2019-03-0033>
- [7] B. Das, J. Chakraborty, G. Paul, and B. Bhattacharya, "A new approach for some applications of generalized fuzzy closed sets", *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 1-14, 2021.

- [8] B. Das, B. Bhattacharya, J. Chakraborty, and B. C. Tripathy, "Generalized fuzzy closed sets in a fuzzy bitopological space via  $\alpha$ -open sets", *Afrika Matematika*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 1-13, 2021.
- [9] B. Das, B. C. Tripathy, P. Debnath, and B. Bhattacharya, "Study of Matrix Transformation of Uniformly Almost Surely Convergent Complex Uncertain Sequences", *Filomat*, vol. 34, no. 14, pp. 4907-4922, 2021.
- [10] B. Das, B. C. Tripathy, P. Debnath, and B. Bhattacharya, "Almost convergence of complex uncertain double sequences", *Filomat*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 61-78, 2021.
- [11] S. Hussain, "On Generalized Open Sets", *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 1438-1446, 2018.
- [12] Y. B. Jun, S. W. Jeong, H. J. Lee, and J. W. Lee, "Application of Preopen Sets", *Applied General Topology*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 213-228, 2008.
- [13] S. Kasahara, "Operation-Compact Spaces", *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 24, pp. 97-105, 2007.
- [14] G. S. S. Krishnan, M. Ganster, and K. Balachandran, "Operation Approaches on Semiopen Sets and Its Applications", *Kochi Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2, pp. 21-33, 2007.
- [15] E. Nakaoda and N. Oda, "Some Applications of Minimal Open Sets", *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 471-476, 2001.
- [16] H. Ogata, "Operations on Topological Space and Associated Topologies", *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 36, pp. 175-184, 1991.
- [17] B. Roy, "Applications of Operations on Minimal Generalized Open Sets", *Afrika Matematika*, vol. 29, pp. 1097-1104, 2018.
- [18] S. Tahiliani, "Operation Approach to  $\alpha$ -Open Sets and Applications", *Mathematical Communication*, vol. 16, pp. 577-591, 2011.
- [19] B. C. Tripathy and S. Debnath, " $\alpha$ -open sets and  $\alpha$ -continuous mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces", *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 631-635, 2013.
- [20] B. C. Tripathy and G. C. Ray, "Mixed fuzzy ideal topological spaces", *Applied Mathematics and Computations*, vol. 220, pp. 602-607, 2013.



- [21] B. C. Tripathy and G. C. Ray, "Weakly continuous functions on mixed fuzzy topological spaces", *Acta Scientiarum. Technology*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 331-335, 2014.
- [22] T. Van An, D. X. Cuong, and H. Maki, "On Operation Preopen Sets in Topological Space", *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, vol. 21, pp. 241-260, 2008.

**Birojit Das**

Department of Mathematics,  
National Institute of Technology,  
Agartala, 799046,  
India  
e-mail: dasbirojit@gmail.com  
Corresponding author

**Jayasree Chakraborty**

Department of Mathematics,  
National Institute of Technology,  
Agartala, 799046,  
India  
e-mail:

and

**Baby Bhattacharya**

Department of Mathematics,  
National Institute of Technology,  
Agartala, 799046,  
India  
e-mail: