

Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 33, N° 1, pp. 43-59, March 2014.
Universidad Católica del Norte
Antofagasta - Chile

Hardy-Type Spaces and its Dual

René Erlín Castillo

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia

and

Julio C. Ramos Fernández and Eduard Trousselot

Universidad de Oriente, Venezuela

Received : August 2012. Accepted : October 2013

Abstract

In this paper we defined a new Hardy-type spaces using atoms on homogeneous spaces which we call $H^{\varphi,q}$. Also we prove that under certain conditions $BMO_{\varphi}^{(p)}$ is the dual of $H^{\varphi,q}$.

Keywords : *BMO, Dual space, Hardy space, Space of homogeneous type.*

Subclass [2010] : *32A37; 43A85.*

1. Introduction

The Hardy space H^p were first studied on the unit disk in the complex plane. In their 1968 paper Duren, Romberg and Shield (see [4]) make the following definitions and comments about H^p . For $0 < p \leq \infty$, H^p is the linear space of functions $f(z)$ analytic in $|z| < 1$ such that

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 < p < \infty$$

or

$$M_\infty(r, f) = \max_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|$$

remains bounded as $r \rightarrow 1$. If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, H^p is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} M_p(r, f).$$

For $0 < p < 1$, this is not a norm, but H^p is still a complete metric space with a translation invariant metric

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_p^p.$$

A linear functional φ on H^p is bounded ($\varphi \in (H^p)^*$) if

$$\|\varphi\| = \sup_{\|f\|_p=1} |\varphi(f)| < \infty.$$

It is easily verify that $(H^p)^*$ is a Banach space. Duren, Romberg and Shield (see [4]) were the first to study the linear space structure of the H^p space with $0 < p < 1$. These H^p spaces are not Banach spaces and are not locally convex.

They may be regarded as closed subspaces of L_p for $0 < p < 1$; however, it is interesting to note that although there are no continuous linear functionals on L_p for $0 < p < 1$, there are many on H^p . Duren, Romberg and Shield (see [4]) prove for $1/2 < p < 1$, that $(H^p)^* = \Lambda_\alpha$ the Lipschitz space of order $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - 1$. For $p \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the results are similar. Even though H^p is not locally convex, there are still enough linear functionals to distinguish elements. For example as noted in [4], $g(z) = (1 - \xi z)^{-1}$ generates the functional $\varphi(f) = f(\xi)$.

Later, the study of H^p spaces was extended to $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. The results were highly specialized to \mathbf{R}^n until Latter (see [5]), Coifman and Weiss (see [3]) defined $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ using the notion of an atom and proved that the

atomic $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ space were equivalent to the original $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Roughly speaking, an atom is a “building block” function which is supported on a ball, has zero integral and has a bounded average.

By thinking of the H^p spaces in terms of atoms Coifman and Weiss (see [2]) were able to prove that the dual of H^p is again a Lipschitz space of order $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - 1$ not only in \mathbf{R}^n , but on any homogeneous space \mathcal{X} . The H^p space for $0 < p \leq 1$ on \mathbf{R}^n were first characterized in terms of atoms by Coifman (see [3]) and Latter (see [6]). Coifman and Weiss (see [2]) then used this characterization to define $H^p(\mathcal{X})$, where \mathcal{X} is a homogeneous space.

In this paper, we extend the work of Coifman and Weiss (see [3]) by defining new Hardy-type spaces using atoms on homogeneous space which we call $H^{\varphi,q}$. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose φ and w are related by

$$w^{-1}(t) = \frac{t}{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}$$

or equivalent by

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{tw^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}.$$

Suppose also that $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$ is a decreasing function of t and that $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t^\epsilon}$ is an increasing function for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let $1 \leq q < \infty$, and let p be conjugate of q . Then the dual of $H^{\varphi,q}$ is BMO_φ^p .

2. Atoms

We begin by defining atoms. The idea for the relationship between w and φ functions come from Janson’s paper (see [5]). Throughout this paper, we will assume that the measure μ is a regular measure.

Definition 2.1. A measurable function a is said to be a (φ, q) atom if it satisfies:

1. The support of a is contained in a ball $B(x_0, r)$,
2. $\int a d\mu = 0$,
3. $\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |a|^q d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq w^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\right)$,

where w and φ are related by

$$w^{-1}(t) = \frac{1}{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{tw^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}.$$

Note that

$$w^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\right) = \frac{1}{\mu(B)\varphi(\mu(B))}$$

and that (3) can be written as

$$\|a\|_q \leq \mu(B) \left(w^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\right) \right)^q,$$

where $B = B(x_0, r)$.

3. Spaces of Homogeneous type

Let us begin by recalling the notion of space of homogeneous type.

Definition 3.1. A quasimetric d on a set \mathcal{X} is a function $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the following properties:

1. $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.
3. There exists a constant K such that

$$d(x, y) \leq K [d(x, z) + d(z, y)],$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$.

A quasimetric defines a topology in which the balls

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathcal{X} : d(x, y) < r\}$$

form a base. These balls may be not open in general; anyway, given a quasimetric d , is easy to construct an equivalent quasimetric d' such that the d' -quasimetric balls are open (the existence of d' has been proved by using topological arguments in [7]). So we can assume that the quasimetric balls are open. A general method of constructing families $\{B(x, \delta)\}$ is in terms of a quasimetric.

Example 3.1. A space of homogeneous type (\mathcal{X}, d, μ) is a set \mathcal{X} with a quasimetric d and a Borel measure μ finite on bounded sets such that, for some absolute positive constant A the following doubling property holds

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq A\mu(B(x, r))$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $r > 0$.

Next, we are ready to give some example of a space of homogeneous type.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $\mathcal{X} = \{0\} \cup \{x : |x| = 1\}$, put in \mathcal{X} the euclidean distance and the following measure μ : μ is the usual surface measure on $\{x : |x| = 1\}$ and $\mu(\{0\}) = 1$. Then μ is doubling so that (\mathcal{X}, d, μ) is a homogeneous space.

Example 3.3. In \mathbf{R}^n , let C_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) be the point $(k^k + 1/2, 0, \dots, 0)$, for $k \geq 2$, let B_k be the ball $B(C_k, 1/2)$ and $B_1 = B(0, 1/2)$. Let $\mathcal{X} = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ with the euclidean distance and the measure μ such that $\mu(B_k) = 2^k$ and on each ball B_k , μ is uniformly distributed.

Claim 1. μ satisfies the doubling condition. Let $B_r = B(P, r)$ with $P = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ and $r > 0$.

Case 1. Assume for some k , $B_k \subset B_r$ and let $k_0 = \max\{k : B_k \subset B_r\}$. Then certainly $P_1 + r \leq b_{k_0+1} = (k_0 + 1)^{k_0+1} + 1$ and $\mu(B_r) \geq 2^{k_0}$. But, then

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 + 2r &\leq 2 \left((k_0 + 1)^{k_0+1} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq (k_0 + 2)^{k_0+2} = a_{k_0+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $B_{2r} \subset B_{a_{k_0+2}}(0) \equiv B_0$. But

$$\mu(B_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0+1} 2^k \leq 2^{k_0+2} \leq 4\mu(B_r).$$

Hence the doubling condition holds with $A = 4$.

Case 2. If for all k , $B_k \not\subset B_r$, then $r < 1$ so that B_r and B_{2r} intersect only on ball B_k . Then the doubling condition holds.

4. φ -Lipschitz space

We define the φ -Lipschitz space and denoted it by \mathcal{L}_φ to be the space for all measurable functions f on \mathcal{X} for which

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C\varphi(\mu(B)),$$

where B is any ball containing both x and y and C is a constant depending only of f .

Let $\gamma(f)$ be the inf over all C for which the above inequality holds. Then if we define

$$\|f\|_\varphi^{\mathcal{L}} = \begin{cases} \gamma(f) & \text{if } \mu(\mathcal{X}) = \infty \\ \gamma(f) + \int_{\mathcal{X}} f d\mu & \text{if } \mu(\mathcal{X}) = 1, \end{cases}$$

a straightforward argument shows that \mathcal{L}_φ , with this norm, is a Banach space. To simplify calculations, we assume that if $\mu(\mathcal{X})$ is finite, then $\mu(\mathcal{X}) = 1$. We now define $H^{\varphi,q}$ to be the subspace of $(\mathcal{L}_\varphi)^*$ consisting of those linear functionals admitting an atomic decomposition as follows:

$h \in H^{\varphi,q}$ if h can be written as a sum $h = \sum_{j \in N} \lambda_j a_j$, where a_j is a (φ, q) atom, and $\sum_{j \in N} w(|\lambda_j|) < \infty$. We denote by the symbol $\|h\|_{\varphi,q}$ the quantity (which is not, in general a norm)

$$\|h\|_{\varphi,q} = \inf_{\text{all descomp of } h} w^{-1} \left(\sum_{j \in N} w(|\lambda_j|) \right).$$

Example. If $\varphi(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$, then $w(t) = t^p$ and $H^{\varphi,q}(\mathcal{X}) = H^p(\mathcal{X})$.

5. Functions of Bounded (φ, p) mean Oscillation

In this section, we recall the definition of the space of functions of bounded (φ, p) mean oscillation, $BMO_\varphi^{(p)}(\mathcal{X})$, where \mathcal{X} is a space of homogeneous type. Let φ be a nonnegative function on $[0, \infty)$. A locally μ -integrable function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is said to belong to the class $BMO_\varphi^{(p)}(\mathcal{X})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, if

$$\sup \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)[\varphi(\mu(B))]^p} \int_B |f(x) - f_B|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Where the sup is taken over all balls $B \subset \mathcal{X}$ and

$$f_B = \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B f(y) d\mu.$$

For more detail on functions of bounded (φ, p) mean oscillation see Castillo, Ramos Fernández and Trousselot [1].

6. Quasi-Concavity

In this section, we study the notion of quasi-concavity, which is the condition that we will need to prove our main result.

Definition 6.1. A non-negative function ϕ is said to be quasi-convex if there exists a convex function A and a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$A(t) \leq \phi(t) \leq CA(t).$$

Definition 6.2. A function ψ is said to be quasi-concave if there exists a constant $C > 1$ and a concave function M such that

$$CM(Ct) \leq \psi(t) \leq M(t).$$

We will use the following Lemmas to prove that the function W as introduced in the definition of a (φ, q) atom is quasi-concave under appropriate conditions on φ .

Lemma 6.1. Suppose that $\frac{\varphi(x)}{x}$ is a decreasing function of x , and suppose also that $\frac{\varphi(x)}{x^\epsilon}$ is an increasing function for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let

$$\psi(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} dt.$$

Then ψ is concave, φ is quasi-concave, and $x\psi(x)$ is quasi-convex.

Proof: The derivative

$$\psi'(x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{x}$$

is decreasing by hypothesis. Therefore, ψ is concave. To show that φ is quasi-concave, first note that $\varphi(x) \leq \psi(x)$ since

$$\psi(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} dt \geq \int_0^x \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dt = \varphi(x).$$

To show the other inequality, we estimate $\psi(Cx)$, for $C < 1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(Cx) &= \int_0^{Cx} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} dt = \int_0^{Cx} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^\epsilon t^{1-\epsilon}} dt \leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{x^\epsilon} \int_0^{Cx} t^{\epsilon-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\varphi(x)}{x^\epsilon} \frac{(Cx)^\epsilon}{\epsilon} = \varphi(x) \frac{C^\epsilon}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\epsilon}{C\epsilon} \psi(x) \leq \varphi(x).$$

Next, we choose C by letting $C = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{\epsilon+1}}$.

Since $0 < \epsilon < 1$, C also satisfies $C < 1$ and $C\psi(Cx) \leq \varphi(x)$. Thus, we have shown that φ is quasi-concave.

To show that $x\psi(x)$ is quasi-convex, let $g(x) = x\psi(x)$. Note that $\frac{g(x)}{x} = \psi(x)$ is increases, so

$$A(x) = \int_0^x \frac{g(u)}{u} du$$

is convex. Also,

$$A(x) = \int_0^x \frac{g(u)}{u} du \leq \frac{g(x)}{x} x = g(x),$$

so $A(x) \leq g(x)$.

We also have

$$A(2x) = \int_0^{2x} \frac{g(u)}{u} du \geq \int_x^{2x} \frac{g(u)}{u} du \geq \frac{g(x)}{x} x = g(x),$$

thus

$$g(x) \leq A(2x) \leq 2A(2x),$$

and we have shown that

$$A(x) \leq g(x) \leq 2A(2x).$$

Therefore, g is quasi-convex, which completes the proof.

Lemma 6.2. 1. φ is quasi-concave if and only if there exists a constant $C < 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(t_1)}{t_1} \geq \frac{C\varphi(Ct_2)}{t_2}$$

for all $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$.

2. ψ is quasi-convex if and only if there exists a $C > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(t_1)}{t_1} \geq \frac{C\varphi(Ct_2)}{t_2}$$

for all $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$.

Proof: of (1) (\Rightarrow) since φ is quasi-concave, we have M concave and $C < 1$ such that

$$CM(Ct) \leq \varphi(t) \leq M(t).$$

Now, $\frac{M(t)}{t}$ is a non-increasing function of t , so, for all $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$, we have

$$\frac{M(t_1)}{t_1} \geq \frac{M(t_2)}{t_2}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi(t_1)}{t_1} &\geq \frac{CM(Ct_1)}{t_1} \geq \frac{C^2M(Ct_1)}{Ct_1} \geq \frac{C^2M(Ct_2)}{Ct_2} \\ &= \frac{CM(Ct_2)}{t_2} \geq \frac{C\varphi(Ct_2)}{t_2}. \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Let $C < 1$, $t_1 \leq t_2$, and suppose $\frac{\varphi(t_1)}{t_1} \geq \frac{C\varphi(Ct_2)}{t_2}$. Consider the function

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{C} \int_0^{\frac{t}{C}} \inf_{x < s < \frac{t}{C}} \frac{\varphi(s)}{s} dx.$$

Then ψ is concave by Lemma 6.1. Also, as in the proof of Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C\psi(t) &= \int_0^{\frac{t}{C}} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx = \int_0^{\frac{t}{C}} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x^\epsilon x^{1-\epsilon}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\varphi(\frac{t}{C})}{(\frac{t}{C})^\epsilon} (\frac{t}{C})^\epsilon = \varphi(\frac{t}{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $C\psi(Ct) \leq \varphi(t)$, which gives us the first inequality in the quasi-concavity definition. For the other inequality, note that since $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$ decreases, and $C < 1$,

$$\psi(t) = \int_0^{\frac{t}{C}} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx \geq \int_0^t \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} dx \geq \varphi(t).$$

Thus, we have shown that $C\psi(Ct) \leq \varphi(t) \leq \psi(t)$, where ψ is concave, proving that φ is quasi-concave.

The proof of (2) is similar to the above proof of (1).

7. Duality

Theorem 1.1 and its proof are modeled on $H^{\varphi,q}$, where $\varphi(t) = t^{1/p-1}$ and $w(t) = t^p$. Clearly, in this case, $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$ decreases and $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t^\epsilon}$ increases for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$. To prove Theorem 1.1, we let L be a bounded linear functional on $H^{\varphi,q}$, and we fix a ball B in \mathcal{X} . We show first that L is a bounded linear functional on the subspace

$$L_0^q(B) = \left\{ f \in L^q(B) : \int_B f d\mu = 0 \right\}$$

of $L^q(B)$. Then, using the Hahn-Banach Theorem and the Riesz Representation Theorem, we extend L to $L^q(B)$ with the same norm, and we uniquely represent L by an integral with L^p function g . Using an increasing sequence of balls converging to \mathcal{X} , we then find a unique function g such that if $f \in L^q(B)$,

$$Lf = \int_B f g d\mu,$$

for any ball B . Finally, by making a (φ, q) atom from $f - f_B$, we show that $g \in BMO_\varphi^{(p)}$, and we note that by Hölder Inequality, $BMO_\varphi^{(p)} \subset BMO_\varphi$.

To show that any $g \in BMO_\varphi^{(p)}$ defines a bounded linear functional on $H^{\varphi,q}$, we first show for an atom $a \in H^{\varphi,q}$, supported on a ball B ,

$$\left| \int_B g a d\mu \right| \leq \|g\|_{BMO_\varphi^{(p)}}$$

for $h \in H^{\varphi,q}$, we decompose h into a sum of (φ, q) -atoms and we use the quasi-concavity of w to show that

$$\left| \int_B g h d\mu \right| \leq \|g\|_{BMO_\varphi^{(p)}} w^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{C^4} \|h\|_{H^{\varphi,q}} \right).$$

Therefore, g defines a bounded linear functional on $H^{\varphi,q}$ given by

$$L_g(H) = \int g h d\mu$$

and

$$\|L\| \leq C \|g\|_{BMO_\varphi^{(p)}}.$$

This shows that L_g is a bounded linear functional on $H^{\varphi,q}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The proof of this Theorem follows along the same lines as the proof of [2]. Let L be a bounded linear functional on $H^{\varphi,q}$, and let $\|L\|$ be the norm of L . Fix a ball B in \mathcal{X} . Let

$$L_0^q(B) = \left\{ f \in L^q(B) : \int_B f d\mu = 0 \right\}.$$

It follows that if $f \in L_0^q(B)$, then

$$a(x) = \frac{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}{\|f\|_{L^q(B)}} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right) f(x)$$

is a (φ, q) atom, since, by (2) of the atomic definition

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |a(x)|^q d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \frac{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}{[\mu(B)]^{1/q} \|f\|_{L^q(B)}} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right) \|f\|_{L^q(B)} \\ &\leq w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\|f\|_{H^{\varphi,q}} \leq \frac{1}{w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right)} \frac{\|f\|_{L^q(B)}}{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}.$$

Hence, Lf is defined and

$$\|Lf\| \leq \|L\| \frac{\|f\|_{L^q(B)}}{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}.$$

That is, L is a bounded linear functional on $L_0^q(B)$. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, we can extend L to $L^q(B)$ with the same norm and by the Riesz Representation Theorem, we can conclude that there exists $g \in L^p(B)$ such that $Lf = \int_B f g d\mu$ for all $f \in L_0^q(B)$.

The function g is uniquely determined up to a constant, or, equivalently if $\int_B f g d\mu = 0$ for all $f \in L_0^q(B)$, then it follows that g is a constant. To see this, suppose $\int_B f g d\mu = 0$ for all $f \in L_0^q(B)$. Choose $h \in L^q(B)$.

Since $h - h_B \in L_0^q(B)$, we have

$$0 = \int_B g(h - h_B) d\mu = \int_B (gh - gh_B) d\mu = \int h(g - g_B) d\mu.$$

Since this equality holds for all $h \in L^q(B)$, it must be true that $g(x) = g_B$ a.e. x in B .

Let $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of balls converging to \mathcal{X} , such that $\mu(B_1) > 0$. We obtain a function \tilde{g}_j satisfying

$$(7.1) \quad Lf = \int_{B_j} f \tilde{g}_j d\mu$$

for each j . Now, let

$$g_j = \tilde{g}_j - (\tilde{g}_j)_{B_1}.$$

Then, $\int_{B_1} g_j d\mu = 0$. It remains to show that $g_j|_{B_k} = g_k$ for all $k \leq j$. By the above remark, we know that on $B_k \supset B_1$, we have $g_j - g_k = C$. Now, integrate both sides over B_1 to obtain

$$\int_{B_1} (g_j - g_k) d\mu = \int_{B_1} C d\mu,$$

which implies that $0 = \mu(B_1)C$. Therefore, $C = 0$, and we conclude that $g_j|_{B_k} = g_k$ for $k \leq j$.

We now have a unique function g such that if $f \in L^q(B)$, then

$$Lf = \int_B f g d\mu,$$

which holds for any ball B .

In particular, if a is a (φ, q) atom supported in B , we have

$$(7.2) \quad \|L\| \geq |La| = \left| \int_B g a d\mu \right| = \left| \int_B (g - g_B) a d\mu \right|,$$

if f is supported in B and $\|f\|_{L^q} = 1$, then

$$a = \frac{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}{2} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right) (f - f_B)$$

is a (φ, q) atom, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |a(x)|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \frac{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}{2[\mu(B)]^{1/q}} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right) \left(\int_B |f - f_B|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \frac{w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right)}{2} 2 \left(\int_B |f|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using this atom in (7.2) above, and using the fact that $g - g_B$ has mean zero on B , we obtain

$$\left| \int_B (g - g_B) \frac{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}{2} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right) (f - f_B) d\mu \right| \leq \|L\|,$$

which implies that

$$\left| \int_B (g - g_B) \frac{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}{2} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right) f d\mu \right| \leq \|L\|,$$

which in turn implies that

$$\left| \int_B (g - g_B) f d\mu \right| \leq \frac{2\|L\|}{[\mu(B)]^{1/q} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right)}.$$

If we now take the supremum of all f supported in B such that $\|f\|_{L^q} = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - g_B\|_{L^p} &\leq \frac{2\|L\|}{[\mu(B)]^{1/q} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right)} \\ &= \frac{2\|L\|}{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}} \mu(B) \varphi(\mu(B)) \\ &= 2\|L\| [\mu(B)]^{1/q} \varphi(\mu(B)). \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting this inequality, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B) [\varphi(\mu(B))]^p} \int_B |g - g_B|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2\|L\|,$$

so $g \in BMO_\varphi^{(p)}$. By Hölder's inequality, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varphi(\mu(B)) \mu(B)} \int_B |g(x) - g_B| d\mu(x) &\leq \frac{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}{\mu(B) \varphi(\mu(B))} \left(\int_B |g - g_B|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B) [\varphi(\mu(B))]^p} \int_B |g - g_B|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

So $g \in BMO_\varphi$, also.

We have now shown that

$$(H^{\varphi,q})^* \subset BMO_\varphi^{(p)} \subset BMO_\varphi.$$

Now, suppose that $g \in BMO_\varphi^{(p)}$. We will show that g defines a bounded linear functional on $H^{\varphi,q}$. Let a be a (φ, q) atom. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_B gad\mu \right| &= \left| \int_B (g - g_B)a(u)d\mu \right| \leq \int |g - g_B|a(u)d\mu \\
&\leq \left(\int_B |g - g_B|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_B |a(u)|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_B |g - g_B|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} [\mu(B)]^{1/q} w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{[\mu(B)]^{1/q}}{\mu(B)\varphi(\mu(B))} \left(\int_B |g - g_B|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)[\varphi(\mu(B))]^p} \int_B |g - g_B|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \|g\|_{BMO_\varphi^{(p)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$(7.3) \quad \left| \int_B gad\mu \right| \leq \|g\|_{BMO_\varphi^{(p)}}.$$

Now, let $h \in H^{\varphi,q}$ and let $h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j a_j$ be decomposition of h into (φ, q) atom such that

$$w^{-1} \left(\sum w(|\alpha_j|) \right) \leq (1 + C^4) \|h\|_{H^{\varphi,q}},$$

where $C < 1$ is the quasi-concavity constant for w . Since $C < 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum |\alpha_j| &\leq w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{C} \sum w \left(\frac{|\alpha_j|}{C} \right) \right) \\
&\leq w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{C} \sum w \left(\frac{C|\alpha_j|}{C^2} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $t_1 = |\alpha_j|$, and let $t_2 = \frac{|\alpha_j|}{C^2}$. Since $C < 1$, we have $t_1 < t_2$ and by Lemma 6.2 implies that

$$C \frac{w(Ct_2)}{t_2} \leq \frac{w(t_1)}{t_1}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$w(Ct_2) \leq \frac{t_2}{t_1} \frac{w(t_1)}{C}$$

which implies that

$$w \left(C \frac{|\alpha_j|}{C^2} \right) \leq \frac{|\alpha_j|}{C^2 |\alpha_j|} \frac{w(|\alpha_j|)}{C} = \frac{w(|\alpha_j|)}{C^3}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |\alpha_j| &\leq w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{C} \sum \frac{w(|\alpha_j|)}{C^3} \right) = w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{C^4} \sum w(|\alpha_j|) \right) \\ &\leq w^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{C^4} (1 + C^4) \|h\|_{H^{\varphi,q}} \right) \\ &\leq w^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{C^4} \|h\|_{H^{\varphi,q}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $g \in BMO_{\varphi}^{(p)}$, since $C < 1$, we have

$$\left| \int gh d\mu \right| \leq \sum |\alpha_j| \left| \int ga_j d\mu \right|$$

$$\leq \|g\|_{BMO_{\varphi}^{(p)}} w^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{C^4} \|h\|_{H^{\varphi,q}} \right).$$

Therefore, g defines a bounded linear functional L on $H^{\varphi,q}$ given by

$$L_g(h) = \int gh d\mu,$$

which satisfies

$$\|L_g\| = \sup_{\|h\|_{H^{\varphi,q}=1}} |L_g(h)| \leq w^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{C^4} \right) \|g\|_{BMO_{\varphi}^{(p)}}.$$

Thus,

$$BMO_{\varphi}^{(p)} \subset (H^{\varphi,q})^*$$

and the Theorem is proved.

References

- [1] Castillo, R. E., Ramos Fernández, J. C. and Trousselot, E. Functions of Bounded (φ, p) Mean Oscillation. *Proyecciones Journal of Math.* Vol 27, No. 2, pp. 163-177, August (2008).
- [2] Coifman, R. R, A real variable characterization of H^p . *Studia Math.* 51, pp. 269-274, (1974).
- [3] Coifman, R. R and Weiss, G. Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in Analysis. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83, pp. 99-157, (1977).
- [4] Duren, P. L., Romberg, B. W. and Shields, A. L., Linear functionals on H^p spaces with $0 < p < 1$. *J. Reine Angew. Math* 238, pp. 32-60, (1969).
- [5] Janson, S. Generalization of Lipschitz spaces and an application to Hardy space and bounded mean oscillation. *Duke Math.* 47, pp. 959-982, (1980).
- [6] Latter, R. A. A characterization of $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ in terms of atoms. *Shidia Math* 62 (1978) pp. 93-101, (1978).
- [7] Macias, R. and Segovia, C. Lipschitz functions on space of homogeneous type, *Avd. Math.*, 33, pp. 257-270, (1979).

René Erlín Castillo

Departamento de Matemáticas,
Universidad Nacional de Colombia
AP360354 Bogotá
e-mail : recastillo@unal.edu.co

Julio C. Ramos Fernández

Departamento de Matemáticas,
Universidad de Oriente 6101 Cumaná,
Edo. Sucre,
Venezuela
e-mail : jcramos@udo.edu.ve

and

Eduard Trousselot

Departamento de Matemáticas,
Universidad de Oriente 6101 Cumaná,
Edo. Sucre,
Venezuela
e-mail : eddycharles2007@hotmail.com