

Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics  
Vol. 31, N° 2, pp. 169-195 June 2012.  
Universidad Católica del Norte  
Antofagasta - Chile

## Generalized difference entire sequence spaces

*KULDIP RAJ*  
*SUNIL K. SHARMA*  
*AMIT GUPTA*

*SHRI MATA VAISHNO DEVI UNIVERSITY, INDIA*

*Received : November 2011. Accepted : May 2012*

### Abstract

*In this paper we introduce difference entire sequence spaces and difference analytic sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus function  $F = (f_k)$  and study some topological properties and some inclusion relations between these spaces. We also make an effort to study some properties and inclusion relation between the spaces  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .*

**Subjclass [2000] :** *40A05, 40C05, 40D05.*

**Keywords :** *Paranorm space, modulus function, solid, monotone, entire sequences, analytic sequences, paranorm space, n-normed space.*

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kızılmaz [11], who studied the difference sequence spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta)$ ,  $c(\Delta)$  and  $c_0(\Delta)$ . The notion was further generalized by Et and Çolak [5] by introducing the spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta^n)$ ,  $c(\Delta^n)$  and  $c_0(\Delta^n)$ . Let  $w$  be the space of all complex or real sequences  $x = (x_k)$  and let  $m, s$  be non-negative integers, then for  $Z = l_\infty, c, c_0$  we have sequence spaces

$$Z(\Delta_s^m) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta_s^m x_k) \in Z\},$$

where  $\Delta_s^m x = (\Delta_s^m x_k) = (\Delta_s^{m-1} x_k - \Delta_s^{m-1} x_{k+1})$  and  $\Delta_s^0 x_k = x_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , which is equivalent to the following binomial representation

$$\Delta_s^m x_k = \sum_{v=0}^m (-1)^v \binom{m}{v} x_{k+sv}.$$

Taking  $s = 1$ , we get the spaces which were studied by Et and Çolak [5]. Taking  $m = s = 1$ , we get the spaces which were introduced and studied by Kızılmaz [11].

A complex sequence, whose  $k^{\text{th}}$  term is  $x_k$ , is denoted by  $(x_k)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the set of all finite sequences. A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be analytic if  $\sup_k |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} < \infty$ . The vector space of all analytic sequences will be denoted by  $\Lambda$ . A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is called entire sequence if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$ . The vector space of all entire sequences will be denoted by  $\Gamma$ . A modulus function is a function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

1.  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,
2.  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ ,
3.  $f$  is increasing
4.  $f$  is continuous from right at 0.

It follows that  $f$  must be continuous everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$ . The modulus function may be bounded or unbounded. For example, if we take  $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$ , then  $f(x)$  is bounded. If  $f(x) = x^p, 0 < p < 1$ , then the modulus  $f(x)$  is unbounded. Subsequently, modulus function has been discussed

in ([1], [2], [3], [4], [12], [13], [17], [18]) and references therein. Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus function.

The space consisting of all those sequences  $x$  in  $w$  such that  $f_k\left(\frac{|x_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some arbitrary fixed  $\rho > 0$  is denoted by  $\Gamma_F$  and is known as a space of entire sequences defined by a sequence of modulus function. The space  $\Gamma_F$  is a metric space with the metric  $d(x, y) = \sup_k f_k\left(\frac{|x_k - y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}\right)$  for all  $x = (x_k)$  and  $y = (y_k)$  in  $\Gamma_F$ . The space consisting of all those sequences  $x$  in  $w$  such that  $\left(\sup_k \left(f_k\left(\frac{|x_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}\right)\right)\right) < \infty$  for some arbitrarily fixed  $\rho > 0$  is denoted by  $\Lambda_F$  and is known as a space of analytic sequences defined by a sequence of modulus function.

A sequence space  $E$  is said to be solid or normal if  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$  whenever  $(x_k) \in E$  and for all sequences of scalars  $(\alpha_k)$  with  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  (see [10]).

Let  $X$  be a linear metric space. A function  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called paranorm, if

1.  $p(x) \geq 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ ,
2.  $p(-x) = p(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ ,
3.  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
4. if  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence of scalars with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(x_n)$  is a sequence of vectors with  $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

A paranorm  $p$  for which  $p(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  is called total paranorm and the pair  $(X, p)$  is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [19], Theorem 10.4.2, P-183).

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. Let  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers with  $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = G$ ,  $K = \max(1, 2^{G-1})$  then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq K\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\}$$

for all  $k$  and  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbf{C}$ . Also  $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^G)$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $X$  be locally convex Hausdorff topological linear space whose topology is determined by a set of continuous seminorms  $q$ . The symbol  $\Lambda(X)$  and  $\Gamma(X)$  denotes the space of all analytic and entire sequences respectively defined over  $X$ . If  $p = (p_k)$  be bounded sequences of strictly positive real numbers and  $u = (u_k)$  be sequences of positive real numbers, then we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( \left( \frac{|(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{1/k}|}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{|(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{1/k}|}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as} \right. \\ \left. n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take If we take  $p = (p_k) = 1$ , we get

$$\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( \left( \frac{|(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{1/k}|}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] < \infty, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{|(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{1/k}|}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as} \right. \\ \left. n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a concept of difference entire sequence spaces and difference analytic sequence spaces using sequence of modulus functions. We examine some topological properties and inclusion relation between the spaces  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  and  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  in the second section and third section devoted to the study of some properties of  $n$ -normed spaces  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**2. Some Topological properties of the spaces  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  and  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$**

In this section of the paper we study very interesting properties like linearity, paranorm and some attractive inclusion relations between the spaces  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  and  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $p = (p_k)$  be bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers, then  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  and  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers  $\mathbf{C}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ . In order to prove the result, we need to find some  $\rho_3 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ , there exist some positive  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $F = (f_k)$  is a non-decreasing function,  $q$  is a seminorm and  $\Delta_s^m$  is linear, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{k}} (|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta|^{\frac{1}{k}} (|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{|\alpha| (|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta| (|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $\rho_3 > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{\rho_3} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha| \rho_1}, \frac{1}{|\beta| \rho_2} \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} + \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right] \\ & \leq K \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + K \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|\alpha u_k \Delta_s^m x_k + \beta u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This proves that  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  is a linear space. Similarly, we can prove that  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  is a linear space

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $p = (p_k)$  be bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  is a paranormed space with paranorm defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho > 0, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\},$$

where  $H = \max(1, \sup_k p_k)$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $g(x) \geq 0$ ,  $g(x) = g(-x)$  and  $g(\theta) = 0$ , where  $\theta$  is the zero sequence of  $X$ .

Let  $(x_k), (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ . Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$  be such that

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1$$

and

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1.$$

Let  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ .

Then by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m (x_k + y_k)|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \left( \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + \left( \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$g(x + y)$

$$\leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

$$\leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho_1 > 0, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\} \\ + \inf \left\{ (\rho_2)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho_2 > 0, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

Thus we have

$g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$ . Hence  $g$  satisfies the triangle inequality.

$g(\lambda x) =$

$$\inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|\lambda u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho > 0, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\} \\ = \inf \left\{ (r|\lambda|)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, r > 0, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\},$$

where  $r = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}$ .

Hence  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  is a paranormed space.

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $F' = (f'_k)$  and  $F'' = (f''_k)$  be two sequences of modulus functions. Then

$$\Gamma_{F'}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q) \cap \Gamma_{F''}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q) \subseteq \Gamma_{F'+F''}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q).$$

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_{F'}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q) \cap \Gamma_{F''}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

Then there exist  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f'_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f''_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$



Since  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{\rho} = \min\left(\frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_2}\right)$ . Then we have  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ (f'_k + f''_k) \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f'_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right] \\ &+ K \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f''_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right] \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ (f'_k + f''_k) \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_{F'+F''}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $m \geq 1$ . Then we have the following inclusions:

- (i)  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^{m-1}, u, p, q) \subseteq \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ ,
- (ii)  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^{m-1}, u, p, q) \subseteq \Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^{m-1}, u, p, q)$ . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Since  $F = (f_k)$  is non-decreasing and  $q$  is a seminorm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_k - u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_{k+1}|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq K \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_{k+1}|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \Big\} \\
 & \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence  $x \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ . This completes the proof of (i). Similarly, we can prove (ii).

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $0 \leq p_k \leq r_k$  and let  $\{\frac{r_k}{p_k}\}$  be bounded. Then  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, r, q) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, r, q)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $t_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{q_k}$

and  $\lambda_k = \frac{p_k}{r_k}$ .

Since  $p_k \leq r_k$ , we have  $0 \leq \lambda_k \leq 1$ . Take  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_k$ . Define

$$u_k = \begin{cases} t_k & \text{if } t_k \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } t_k < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_k \geq 1 \\ t_k & \text{if } t_k < 1 \end{cases}$$

$t_k = u_k + v_k$ ,  $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$ . It follows that  $u_k^{\lambda_k} \leq u_k \leq t_k$ ,  $v_k^{\lambda_k} \leq v_k^{\lambda}$ . Since  $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$ , then  $t_k^{\lambda_k} \leq t_k + v_k^{\lambda}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k \lambda_k} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right)^{r_k} \right]^{p_k/r_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ . Thus, we have

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, r, q) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q).$$

**Theorem 2.6**

(i) Let  $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$ . Then  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q)$ ,

(ii) Let  $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$ . Then  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus, it follows that,  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q)$ . Thus  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q)$ .

(ii) Let  $p_k \geq 1$  for each  $k$  and  $\sup p_k < \infty$  and let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Since  $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ . Therefore

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q).$$

**Theorem 2.7** Suppose  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq |x_k|^{1/k}$ , then  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma$ . Then we have,

$$|x_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

But  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq |x_k|^{1/k}$ , by our assumption, implies that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Then  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  and  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.8**  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  is solid.

**Proof.** Let  $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$  and let  $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ , because  $F = (f_k)$  is non-decreasing

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

Since  $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m y_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and so that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{(|u_k \Delta_s^m x_k|)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.9**  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$  is monotone.

**Proof.** It is trivial so we omit it.

### 3. Difference Entire sequence spaces over $n$ - normed spaces

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler[6] in the mid of 1960's, while that of  $n$ -normed spaces one can see in Misiak[14]. Since then, many others have studied this concept and obtained various results, see Gunawan ([7],[8]) and Gunawan and Mashadi [9]. For more details about the sequence spaces over  $n$ -normed spaces see ([15],[16]).

Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $X$  be a linear space over the field  $\mathbf{K}$ , where  $\mathbf{K}$  is field of real or complex numbers of dimension  $d$ , where  $d \geq n \geq 2$ . A real valued function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  on  $X^n$  satisfying the following four conditions:

1.  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent in  $X$ ;
2.  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutation;

3.  $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$ , and
4.  $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

is called an  $n$ -norm on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called a  $n$ -normed space over the field  $\mathbf{K}$ . For example, we may take  $X = \mathbf{R}^n$  being equipped with the  $n$ -norm  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E =$  the volume of the  $n$ -dimensional parallelepiped spanned by the vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|,$$

where  $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be an  $n$ -normed space of dimension  $d \geq n \geq 2$  and  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be linearly independent set in  $X$ . Then the following function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$  on  $X^{n-1}$  defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|_\infty = \max\{\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

defines an  $(n-1)$ -norm on  $X$  with respect to  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in a  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to converge to some  $L \in X$  if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in a  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{k, p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

If every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to some  $L \in X$ , then  $X$  is said to be complete with respect to the  $n$ -norm. Any complete  $n$ -normed space is said to be  $n$ -Banach space.

Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and let  $X$  be locally convex Hausdorff topological linear space whose topology is determined by

a set of continuous seminorms  $q$ . The symbol  $\Lambda(X)$ ,  $\Gamma(X)$  denotes the space of all analytic and entire sequences respectively defined over  $X$ . In this section we define the following sequences spaces:

$$\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{1/k}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{1/k}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take  $p = (p_k) = 1$ , we get

$$\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{1/k}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right] < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{1/k}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

In this section of the paper we study some topological properties of the spaces  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . We also examine some inclusion relation between these spaces.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $p = (p_k)$  be bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers, then  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  are linear spaces

over the set of complex numbers  $\mathbf{C}$ .

**Proof.**  $x = (x_k)$ ,  $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ . In order to prove the result, we need to find some  $\rho_3 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k))^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $x = (x_k)$ ,  $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ , there exist some positive  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $F = (f_k)$  is a non-decreasing function,  $q$  is a seminorm and  $\Delta_s^m$  is linear, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k))^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{k}} (u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| + \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left\| \frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{k}} (u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k))^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{\alpha (u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. + \left\| \frac{\beta (u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$



Since  $\rho_3 > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{\rho_3} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha| \rho_1}, \frac{1}{|\beta| \rho_2} \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m (\alpha x_k + \beta y_k))^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \left( \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} + \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right] \\ & \leq K \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + K \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \alpha \Delta_s^m x_k + \beta u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This proves that  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a linear space. Similarly, we can prove that  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a linear space.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $p = (p_k)$  be bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers,  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is paranormed space with paranorm defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ \left. \rho > 0, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\},$$

where  $H = \max(1, \sup_k p_k)$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $g(x) \geq 0$ ,  $g(x) = g(-x)$  and  $g(\theta) = 0$ , where  $\theta$  is the zero sequence of  $X$ .

Let  $(x_k), (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$  be such that

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1$$

and

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1.$$

Let  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ . Then by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m (x_k + y_k))^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq \left( \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ + \left( \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & g(x + y) \\ \leq & \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ & \left. \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0, m \in N \right\} \\ \leq & \inf \left\{ (\rho_1)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ & \left. \rho_1 > 0, m \in N \right\} \\ + & \inf \left\{ (\rho_2)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ & \left. \rho_2 > 0, m \in N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$ . Hence  $g$  satisfies the triangle inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\lambda x) &= \inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(\lambda u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \rho > 0, \quad m \in N \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ (r|\lambda|)^{\frac{pm}{H}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{r}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. r > 0, \quad m \in N \right\}, \\
 &\text{where } r = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a paranormed space.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $F' = (f'_k)$  and  $F'' = (f''_k)$  be two sequences of modulus functions.

Then  $\Gamma_{F'}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap \Gamma_{F''}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$

$$\subseteq \Gamma_{F'+F''}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_{F'}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap \Gamma_{F''}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

Then there exist  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f'_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f''_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\frac{1}{\rho} = \min \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1}, \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right)$ . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ (f'_k + f''_k) \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f'_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right] \\ &+ K \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f''_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right] \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ (f'_k + f''_k) \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_{F'+F''}(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $m \geq 1$ . Then we have the following inclusions:*

- (i)  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^{m-1}, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ ,
- (ii)  $\Lambda_F(\Delta_s^{m-1}, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \Lambda_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^{m-1}, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some}$$

$$\rho > 0.$$

Since  $F = (f_k)$  is non-decreasing and  $q$  is a seminorm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_k - u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_{k+1})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq K \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^{m-1} x_{k+1})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . This completes the proof of (i). Similarly, we can prove (ii).

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $0 \leq p_k \leq r_k$  and let  $\{\frac{r_k}{p_k}\}$  be bounded. Then

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, r, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, r, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{r_k} \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $t_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{q_k} \right]$  and  $\lambda_k = \frac{p_k}{r_k}$ .

Since  $p_k \leq r_k$ , we have  $0 \leq \lambda_k \leq 1$ . Take  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_k$ . Define

$$u_k = \begin{cases} t_k & \text{if } t_k \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } t_k < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_k \geq 1 \\ t_k & \text{if } t_k < 1 \end{cases}$$

$t_k = u_k + v_k$ ,  $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$ . It follows that  $u_k^{\lambda_k} \leq u_k \leq t_k$ ,  $v_k^{\lambda_k} \leq v_k$ . Since  $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$ , then  $t_k^{\lambda_k} \leq t_k + v_k^{\lambda}$ . So that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{r_k \lceil \lambda_k} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{r_k} \right] \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{r_k \lceil p_k/r_k} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{r_k} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{r_k}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Thus, we get

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, r, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

**Theorem 3.6** (i) Let  $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$ . Then

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|),$$

(ii) Let  $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$ . Then

$$\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

**Proof.** (i) Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Since } 0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that,  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .  
 Thus  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .  
 (ii) Let  $p_k \geq 1$  for each  $k$  and  $\sup p_k < \infty$  and let

$x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Since  $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Therefore  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Theorem 3.7** Suppose

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq |x_k|^{1/k},$$

then  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma$ . Then we have,

$$|x_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

But  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq |x_k|^{1/k}$ , by our assumption, implies that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ by(10)}$$

Then  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  and

$$\Gamma \subset \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

**Theorem 3.8**  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is solid.

**Proof.** Let  $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$  and let  $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ , because  $F = (f_k)$  is non-decreasing, so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m y_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \left\| \frac{(u_k \Delta_s^m x_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q)$ .

**Theorem 3.9**  $\Gamma_F(\Delta_s^m, u, p, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is monotone.

**Proof.** It is trivial so we omit it.

### Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referee for his valuable suggestions that improved the presentation of the paper.



## References

- [1] H. Altinok, M. Et, Y. Altin, *The Sequence Space  $Bv_\sigma(M, P, Q, S)$  On Seminormed Spaces*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **39** (1), pp. 49-58, (2008).
- [2] H. Altinok, Y. Altin and M. Isik, *Strongly almost summable difference sequences*, Vietnam J. Math., **34** (3), pp. 331-339, (2006).
- [3] Y. Altin, *Properties of some sets of sequences defined by a modulus function*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., **29**(2), pp. 427-434, (2009).
- [4] Y. Altin, H. Altinok, R. Çolak, *On some seminormed sequence spaces defined by a modulus function*, Kragujevac J. Math., **29**, pp. 121-132, (2006).
- [5] M. Et and R. Çolak, *On generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **21**(4), pp. 377-386, (1995).
- [6] S. Gähler, *Linear 2-normietre Rume*, Math. Nachr., **28** (1965), pp. 1-43.
- [7] H. Gunawan, *On  $n$ -Inner Product,  $n$ -Norms, and the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality*, Scientiae Math. Japn., **5**, pp. 47-54, (2001).
- [8] H. Gunawan, *The space of  $p$ -summable sequence and its natural  $n$ -norm*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **64**, pp. 137-147, (2001).
- [9] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, *On  $n$ -normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **27**, pp. 631-639, (2001).
- [10] P. K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, *Sequence spaces and series*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 65 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (1981).
- [11] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequences spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull., **24** (2), pp. 169-176, (1981).
- [12] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of functional Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1970).

- [13] E. Malkowsky and E. Savas, *Some  $\lambda$ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Archivum Mathematicum, **36**, pp. 219-228, (2000).
- [14] A. Misiak, *n-inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr., **140**, pp. 299-319, (1989).
- [15] K. Raj, S. K. Sharma and A. K. Sharma, *Some difference sequence spaces in n-normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function*, Armenian J. Math., **3**, pp. 127-141, (2010).
- [16] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Some sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz functions*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math. **3**, pp. 97-109, (2011).
- [17] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Difference sequence spaces defined by sequence of modulus function*, Proyecciones J. Math., Vol.**30**, pp. 189-199, (2011).
- [18] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Some difference sequence spaces defined by sequence of modulus function*, Int. Journal Math. Archive, Vol.**2**, pp. 236-240, (2011).
- [19] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Math. Stud. (1984).

Kuldip Raj  
School of Mathematics  
Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University,  
Katra-182320,  
J & K, India  
e-mail : kuldipraj68@gmail.com

Sunil K. Sharma  
School of Mathematics  
Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University,  
Katra-182320,  
J & K, India  
e-mail : sunilksharma42@yahoo.co.in

and

Amit Gupta  
School of Mathematics  
Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University,  
Katra-182320,  
J & K, India  
e-mail :