

Proyecciones  
Vol. 19, N° 2, pp. 105-112, August 2000  
Universidad Católica del Norte  
Antofagasta - Chile

# A VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY RELATED TO AN ELLIPTIC OPERATOR

A. WANDERLEY

*Universidade do Estado do R o de Janeiro, Brasil*  
*and*

N. RIBEIRO

*Universidade Federal do R o de Janeiro, Brasil*

## Abstract

*It is considered the non-linear operator*

$$A(u) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad p > 2,$$

*and a variational inequality associated to the operator*

$$A(u) + g(x, u)$$

*with  $g$  satisfying some conditions.*

**Key words and phrases :** *Non-linear operator, variational inequality, Sobolev spaces*

**AMS Subject Classification :** *Primary: 35A15; Secondary: 35B99.*

We will be considering the elliptic operator:

$$A(u) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial u^{p-2}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad p > 2,$$

and a variational inequality associated to the operator

$$A(u) + g(x, u)$$

with  $g$  satisfying some conditions, on a not necessarily bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ .

We will assume that  $g(x, u)$  satisfies the following hypothesis:

(a)  $g(x, r)$  is measurable, in  $x$ , on  $\Omega$ , for a fixed  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ ; it is continuous in  $r$ , for each  $x$ , fixed. For each  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $g(x, 0) = 0$  and for all  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $g(x, r)r \geq 0$ ;

(b)  $g(x, r)$  is a non-decreasing function in  $r$ , on  $\mathbf{R}$ . For each fixed  $r$ ,  $g_r(x) = g(x, r)$  is a  $L^1(\Omega)$ -function.

Let us remaind that, under (b), if

$$G(x, r) = \int_0^r g(x, s) ds,$$

$G$  is continuous, convex, in  $r$ , for all  $x$  and  $r$ , with  $G(x, 0) = 0$ .

Moreover,

$$G'(x, r) = g(x, r).$$

In what follows we will use the notation as in [3].

Our goal is to prove the following theorem, where  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is an open subset and  $A(u)$  is the above described operator.

**Theorem.** *If  $g(x, r)$  satisfies (a) and (b) and  $G(x, r)$  is its primitive with respect to  $r$  then, if  $V$  is any closed subspace of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $K \subset V$  is a closed, convex subset of  $V$  with  $0 \in K$  and  $f \in V'$  then, there is a unique  $u \in K$  such that  $g(x, u)$  is in  $L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $g(x, u)u$  is in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and  $\int G(x, u) dx < \infty$ . Moreover,  $u$ , satisfies both inequalities:*

(i) for each  $v \in K \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,

$$(A(u) + g(x, u) - f, v - u) \geq 0$$

(ii) for each  $v \in K$ ,

$$\int G(x, v) dx - \int G(x, u) dx + (A(u) - f, v - u) \geq 0.$$

**Proof:** We know, from [3] that for each positive, integer  $n$ , there is a solution  $u_n$ , in  $K$  of the variational inequality:

$$(A(u_n) + g_n(x, u_n) - f, v - u_n) \geq 0, \quad (v \in K).$$

Since  $A$  is coercive and  $0 \in K$ ,

$$(A(u_n) + g_n(x, u_n) - f, u_n) \leq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha \|u_n\|^p \leq (A(u_n), u_n) \leq (A(u_n) + g_n(x, u_n), u_n) \leq (f, u_n). \quad *$$

with  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ .

We will show that, if

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u, \quad \text{weakly in } V,$$

$u$  is a solution of the problem in the theorem and that

$$w = A(u).$$

From (\*), we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u_n) u_n \, dx$$

is uniformly bounded, for all  $n$ .

The sequence  $\{g_n(x, u_n)\}_n$  is equiuniformly integrable on  $\Omega$ .

For each  $R$ , positive, integer,

$$R|g_n(x, u_n)| \leq u_n g_n(x, u_n) + R \{g(x, R) + |g(x, -R)|\}, \quad **$$

since  $g(x, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $B \subset \Omega$ , measurable. We have

$$\int_B |g_n(x, u_n)| \, dx \leq \frac{1}{R} \int_B u_n g_n(x, u_n) \, dx + \int_B g(x, R) \, dx + |g(x, -R)|$$

and this may be taken less than  $\varepsilon$  for all  $n$  if  $\mu(B)$  is sufficiently small, as far as  $g(\cdot, r) \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

From inequality (\*\*), with  $N \subset \Omega$ ,

$$\int_N |g_n(x, u_n)| dx \leq \frac{1}{R} \int_N u_n g_n(x, u_n) dx + \int_N (g(x, R) dx + |g(x, -R)|) dx.$$

Since  $\int_N u_n g_n(x, u_n) dx \leq M_2$ , independently of  $n$ , there exists  $B_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$  measurable with  $\mu(B_\varepsilon) < \infty$ , such that

$$\int_{\Omega - B_\varepsilon} |g_n(x, u_n)| dx \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Moreover, since  $\|u_n\| \leq C$  by the Sobolev immersion theorems, we may obtain  $(u_n)$  a subsequence of  $(u_n)$  such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Therefore

$$g_n(x, u_n) \rightarrow g(x, u), \quad \text{a.e., in } \Omega.$$

By the convergence theorem of Vitali,  $g(x, u)$  is in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , and

$$g_n(x, u_n) \rightarrow g(x, u)$$

strongly in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Using Fatou's lemma,  $g(x, u)u$  is in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let us define

$$G_n(x, r) = \int_0^r g_n(x, s) ds.$$

For each  $r$  and  $s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x, r) - G_n(x, s) &= G'_n(x, \xi)(r - s) = g_n(x, \xi)(r - s) \\ &\geq g_n(x, s)(r - s), r \leq \xi \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v \in K$  be arbitrary. We have:

$$G_n(x, v) - G_n(x, u_n) \geq g_n(x, u_n)(v - u_n).$$

Integrating over  $\Omega$ , we obtain:

$$\int G_n(x, v) - G_n(x, u_n) \geq \int g_n(x, u_n)(v - u_n) \geq (f - A(u_n), v - u_n).$$

If  $v$  is such that  $\int G(x, v) < \infty$  then

$$|G_n(x, v)| \leq |G(x, v)|$$

what implies that

$$\int G_n(x, v) \rightarrow \int G(x, v).$$

We also have

$$G_n(x, u_n) \rightarrow G(x, u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Moreover,

$$G(x, u(x)) = \int_0^{u(x)} g(x, s) ds \leq g(x, u(x))u(x),$$

and since  $g(x, u)u \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\int G(x, u) dx < \infty.$$

We obtain,

$$\int G(x, v) - \int G(x, u) \geq \limsup(A(u_n) - f, u_n - v),$$

for each  $v \in K$ , such that

$$\int G(x, v) dx < \infty.$$

Letting,  $v = u$ , we have

$$0 \geq \limsup(A(u_n) - f, u_n - u) = \limsup(A(u_n), u_n - u).$$

Since  $A$  is pseudo-monotonic from  $V$  to  $V'$ ,  $w = A(u)$  that is,

$$A(u_n) \text{ converges weakly to } A(u)$$

in  $V'$ , and

$$(A(u_n), u_n) \rightarrow (A(u), u).$$

Therefore, for each  $v \in K$  with

$$\int G(x, v) dx < \infty$$

we have:

$$\int G(x, v) - \int G(x, u) \geq (A(u) - f, u - v),$$

which is part our theorem.

Let now,  $v \in K \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

We have,

$$\int g_n(x, u_n)(v - u_n) \geq (A(u_n) - f, u_n - v).$$

By the lemma of Fatou, we have, since  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap K$ :

$$\liminf \int g_n(x, u_n)(v - u_n) \geq \liminf (A(u_n) - f, u_n - v) = (A(u) - f, u - v).$$

Therefore

$$\int g(x, u)(v - u) \geq (A(u) - f, u - v)$$

or

$$(A(u) + g(x, u) - f, v - u) \geq 0$$

what is other part of our theorem.

### Unicity

Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be two solutions of our problem, for a given  $f \in V'$ .

Then,

$$\int G(x, v) - \int G(x, u_1) \geq (A(u_1) - f, u_1 - v)$$

and

$$\int G(x, v) - \int G(x, u_2) \geq (A(u_2) - f, u_2 - v).$$

$G(x, r)$  is convex in  $r$ . Hence if we put

$$v = \frac{1}{2}(u_1 + u_2)$$

$v$  is a permissible element, and

$$u_1 - v = \frac{1}{2}(u_1 - u_2) = -(u_2 - v).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int G(x, v) - \int G(x, u_1) &\geq \frac{1}{2} (Au_1 - f, u_1 - u_2) \\ \int G(x, v) - \int G(x, u_2) &\geq \frac{1}{2} (Au_2 - f, u_2 - u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Adding the inequalities, we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2} (A(u_1) - A(u_2), u_1 - u_2) + \int G(x, u_1) + \int G(x, u_2) - 2 \int G(x, v) \leq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (Au_1 - Au_2, u_1 - u_2) + 2 \left[ 2 \int \frac{G(x, u_1) + G(x, u_2)}{2} - G \left( x, \frac{u_1 + u_2}{2} \right) \right] \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$G$  is convex and therefore the second term is zero. Hence,

$$(Au_1 - Au_2, u_1 - u_2) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} - \left| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \right) dx = 0.$$

The function

$$\lambda \rightarrow |\lambda|^{p-2} \lambda$$

is monotone. Therefore, for each  $i$ ,

$$\left( \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} - \left| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \right) = 0.$$

for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ .

By the same reason,  $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i}$ , for each  $i$ .

But  $u_1 - u_2 = 0$ , on  $\Gamma$ , since  $u_1 - u_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Therefore,

$$u_1 = u_2.$$

**References**

- [1] Brézis, H., *Integrales convexes dans les espaces de Sobolev*, Israel J. Math., 13, pp. 9–23, (1972).
- [2] Brézis, H. and Browder, F., *Strongly Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. di Pisa, pp. 587–598, (1978).
- [3] Lions, J.L., *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites non Linéaires*, Ed. Dunod, Paris, (1969).
- [4] Natanson, I., *Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*, Ed. Frederick Ungar, N.Y., (1955).

Received : May, 1999.

**A. Wanderley**

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística  
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro  
Rua São Francisco Xavier, 524  
Rio de Janeiro  
RJ 20550 - 013  
Brasil

and

**N. Riberio**

Instituto de Matemática  
Centro de Tecnologia, Bloco C  
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro  
Rio de Janeiro  
RJ 21945 - 9701  
Brasil