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Abstract: Social enterprises need to identify an innovative and differentiated business model to more efficiently meet the needs of their clients and survive in the long term. However, research on customer perception of this innovation is scant. Therefore, the objective of this research was to explore the effect of socially responsible consumption on the business model innovation perceived by clients of social enterprises in the service sector and its effect on satisfaction and loyalty. A quantitative cross-sectional study was conducted with 400 customers of social enterprises in the services sector in Mexico. Results showed that socially responsible consumption had a positive influence of perceived business model innovation. Perceived business model innovation had a direct, positive influence on customer satisfaction and an indirect positive influence on loyalty. The implications of the results were discussed.
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Introduction

More consumers with different characteristics and socioeconomic levels are choosing responsible consumption options (deKlerk, Kearns & Redwood, 2019). This implies that they make purchasing decisions based, in part, on pro-social values when consuming products and services (Golob et al., 2019). For this reason, organizations with explicit corporate social responsibility efforts and social enterprises need to strategically configure their business model to generate value and obtain a competitive advantage. Innovation is one of the significant determinants of value creation (Austin & Seitanidi, 2012). For instance, it can arise in the product or service (Lusch & Nambisan, 2015), in the strategies (Pitelis, 2009) or even in the business model (Berends, Smits, Reymen, & Podoynitsyna, 2016). The topic of business model innovation (BMI) has attracted the attention of commercial and social enterprises, as well as the academic domain (Clauss, Kesting, & Naskrent, 2018; Wang & Zhou, 2020). The present article examines BMI, from the perception of customers, in social enterprises that act on the one hand, the literature indicates that this type of research is scarce (Clauss et al., 2018; Lee, 2015; Olofsson, Hoveskog, & Halila, 2018). On the other hand, the empirical evidence reflects that many social companies struggle to identify a sufficiently differentiated business model to solve social problems and survive in the long term (Santos, Pache, & Birkholz, 2015; Zainol et al., 2019). Consequently, more empirical efforts are needed.

In addition, some business scholars have recommended developing the external perspective of the business innovation model process, which entails assessing the perspective of customers (Chesbrough et al., 2018; Foss & Saebi, 2017). Thus, this study focuses on the vision of social business customers with respect to business model innovation and its effect on relevant variables for customer retention. We delineate the scope of our study to the service sector, because service business models compared to product business models involve a higher degree of customer interactions. Consequently, the purpose of this investigation is to explore the effect of socially responsible consumption on customers’ perceived business model innovativeness and its effect on satisfaction and loyalty. This paper contributes to the literature on business model innovation in social enterprises from the perspective of current customers.

Literature Review

A business model begins as an idea that is designed and then implemented; innovation in a business model could arise when the business model is itself an innovation (George & Bock, 2011). Business model innovation is defined as “the discovery of a fundamentally different business model in an existing business” (Markides, 2006, p. 20). An innovative business model could be used to improve competitive position (Bereznoi, 2015), business performance (Karimi & Walter, 2016) and attract customers (Clauss et al., 2018). It is a complex, collective, cyclical and interactive process that contributes to the creation and delivery of customer value, while the organization captures some of the value created (Berends et al., 2016; Olofsson et al., 2018).

In the case of social enterprises, BMI may be key to establish an innovative management and build a sustainable hybrid business that leads to efficient performance and growth, while complying with environmental and social criteria (Wang & Zhou, 2020). This means that the social enterprise will rely on obtaining economic resources to achieve social and/or environmental goals that could become competitive advantages (Battilana & Lee, 2014), resulting in the desired change in behavior. This focus on business model innovation often leads to positive results, as seen in the positive relationship between perceptions
of BMI and customer value (Clauss et al., 2018). Thus, it is possible to posit that the BMI is formed by the following three components that help an organization configure or reconfigure its business model (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010): Innovation in the creation of value, value propositions and the capture of value (Clauss, 2017; Nielsen & Bulk, 2011).

Anderson, Narus, and Van Rossum (2006, p. 24) define value as “the worth in monetary terms of the technical, economic, service, and social benefits a customer receives in exchange for the price it pays for a market offering”. Business models seek to create value with a focus on the external perspective, mainly customers and their interactions in the configuration of BMI processes (Sjödin et al., 2020). Thus, value creation can be understood as the processes aimed at increasing the generation of value (Chesbrough et al., 2018) based on the company’s resources and capabilities (Achtenhagen, Melin, & Naldi, 2013) and reflected as the experience of the product or service by the customer (Keiningham et al., 2020). Value creation innovativeness is one of the components of the business model innovativeness and it implies investing in new capabilities, new technologies / equipment, new processes and structures, and / or new partnerships with the expectation that the client will appreciate it and will use the product or service more frequently (Clauss, 2017). Social enterprises cannot assume that, solely by their nature, consumers will perceive and appreciate the value of their business model. Therefore, by investing in value-creating innovation, the hybrid social enterprise wants to improve both its economic performance and obtain a social return on investment (Mook, Chan, & Kershaw, 2015).

The second dimension of the BMI refers to value proposition innovativeness (Lindič & Marques da Silva, 2011). It describes how innovative a company’s offer is in relation to its competitors (Lindič & Marques da Silva, 2011). Furthermore, it links value-in-exchange with the value-in-use (Calonius, 2006; Lusch, Vargo & O’Brien, 2007) in the decisive role of customers by favoring buying from the company (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010). For instance, in service innovation, the value proposition is integrated through different practices and resources, and from the interactions of value-in-exchange and value-in-use, and between the clients and the context, which jointly determine subjectively perceptions of value (Skålén et al., 2015).

Value capture innovativeness is the last dimension of the BMI (Clauss et al., 2018). From the perspective of value-in-exchange, it implies the way in which the company receives resources in exchange for those provided to another actor, for which the company converts the value into income and distributes the benefits to different partners (Chesbrough et al., 2018; Teece, 2010). Likewise, from the value-in-use, value capture refers to the process of ensuring a part of the value created by another actor at the time of the use of resources (Chesbrough et al., 2018). Therefore, beyond legal contracts, trust between the parties becomes necessary (Reim, Sjödin, & Parída, 2018). Business model innovation implies the adaptation and the alignment of the three dimensions with each other (Foss & Saebi, 2018), considering the nature of the interactions with the clients in the conformation of the innovation processes of the business model (Chesbrough et al., 2018). Corporations are commonly required to be socially responsible; however, individuals also need to be socially responsible. Socially Responsible Consumption (CRS) is a behavior based on prosocial values and moral standards (Golob et al., 2019), and implies that consumers purchase from companies, products and services that they consider not harmful to the environment and that express their current social concerns (Roberts, 1996). Therefore, socially responsible purchasing decisions go beyond socioeconomic levels (Durić et al., 2011, Zhao et al., 2014) and products or services that satisfy a basic need (Crane, 2001; Roberts, 1996), because essentially these consumers believe that they can contribute through their consumption to generate a positive impact on society in general (Petrovskaya & Haleem, 2020).

A prosocial behavior such as CRS prioritizes purchases from socially responsible companies and social enterprises (Roberts, 1993; Wang & Zhou, 2020). For instance, it has been found that CRS has been associated with the willingness to support companies that offer cause-related products (Francois-Lecompte & Roberts, 2006). Also, it is expected that a social enterprise that promotes CRS will seek to satisfy its consumers’ search for value through BMI, because it can improve customer value and promote the growth of the company (Wang & Zhou, 2020). Consequently, it is possible to assume and test the following hypothesis:

H1: Socially Responsible Consumption affects positively customer’s perceived business model innovativeness.

In both social and commercial marketing, satisfaction and loyalty are considered key constructs. On the one hand, previous research in the field of social marketing has identified that value creation in social marketing services influences satisfaction and the intention to reuse services (Chell & Mortimer, 2014; Zainuddin, Tam, & McCosker, 2016). On the other hand, in the business field, it has been found that the more innovative consumers perceive the business model, the greater the enthusiasm generated, influencing behavioral decisions, the evaluation of the service experienced, customer satisfaction, and loyalty (Clauss et al., 2018).

In general, innovation is an important driver of customer satisfaction and loyalty in the service sector (Gallouj & Weinstein, 1997). Customer satisfaction refers to “the degree to which an organization’s customers continually perceive that their needs are being met by the organization’s products and services” (Anderson, Rungtusanatham, & Schroeder, 1994, p. 480). And service loyalty is a supportive behavior towards the provider (Woratschek, Horbel, & Popp, 2020) that is understood as a repetitive purchasing behavior to the service provider, with a positive attitude toward it and the consideration of using its services when a need arises (Gremler & Brown, 1999). Several investigations in the service sector have found that innovation in some aspects of the business model influences customer satisfaction and loyalty (Clauss et al., 2018; YuSheng & Ibrahim, 2019). Therefore, we propose that:

H2: Customer’s perceived business model innovativeness positively affects customer satisfaction.

H3: Customer’s perceived business model innovativeness positively affects customer loyalty.
Theoretical developments and empirical research support the idea that customer satisfaction is an antecedent of loyalty (Caruana, 2002; Gustafsson, Johnson, & Roos, 2005; Szymanski & Henard, 2001). Satisfied customers will likely establish an emotional connection with the brand and repeat the service experience (Fraering & Minor, 2013). The social enterprise is developed around the ideal of uniting social mission with market logic seeking to connect both (Woodside, 2018). Consequently, it has its unique business logic, given that in addition to creating value, it expects its customers to be satisfied with the social mission and with the product and service. In addition, it needs to operate through the effective use of scarce resources and maximize their impact by solving social problems (Ge, Xu, & Pellegrini, 2019). Consequently, we also incorporate the following hypothesis:

H4: Customer satisfaction positively affects customer loyalty.

Table 1. Scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSR performance (CSRP) (Webb, Mohr, &amp; Harris, 2008)</th>
<th>Customers’ perceived business model innovativeness (Clauss et al., 2018)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSR1. I try to buy from companies that help the needy.</td>
<td>Value creation innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR2. I try to buy from companies that hire people with disabilities.</td>
<td>VCi1. This company is very innovative referring to its employees (e.g., the employees are trained very well, have special skills or set themselves apart in a positive manner from employees of other enterprises, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR3. I avoid buying products or services from companies that discriminate against minorities.</td>
<td>VCi2. This company is very innovative referring to its technical equipment (e.g., has a very useful system; has an innovative interior design or has efficient equipment, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR4. When given a chance to switch to a retailer that supports local schools, I take it.</td>
<td>VCi3. This company uses very innovative processes (e.g., has different service options to other companies with which it contends, offers personalized services, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR5. I try to buy from companies that make donations to medical research.</td>
<td>VCi4. This company puts a lot of emphasis on the usage of partners (e.g., it indicates that it only uses regional, local or vulnerable population providers), it shows the value of a social sector (clients, vulnerable populations that benefit from its efforts).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR6. I make an effort to buy from companies that sponsor food drives.</td>
<td>Value proposition innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR7. When given a chance to switch to a brand that gives back to the community, I take it.</td>
<td>VPi1. This company offers services with considerable social innovation (e.g., services are special and directly help a vulnerable sector of society, services are provided in an innovative way, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR8. I avoid buying products made using child labor.</td>
<td>VPi2. This company uses very innovative sales and advertising channels (e.g., this business offers creative and accessible forms of sale for its customers, it is active in social networks or the service offered relies on a modern website to answer comments and doubts of the customers, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR9. When given a chance, I switch to brands where a portion of the price is donated to charity.</td>
<td>Value creation innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR10. I avoid buying products or services from companies that discriminate against women.</td>
<td>VCaI1. This company uses very innovative sources of income (e.g., it offers useful supplementary products or services to its customers).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR11. When I am shopping, I try to buy from companies that are working to improve conditions for employees in their factories.</td>
<td>VCaI2. This company offers integrated services (e.g., complementary services, maintenance, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR12. I try to buy from companies that support victims of natural disasters.</td>
<td>VCaI3. The price-quantity ratio offered by this company is right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR13. I make an effort to buy products and services from companies that pay all of their employees a living wage.</td>
<td>VCaI4. This company applies price differentiation compared to the competition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method

We conducted a quantitative cross-sectional study on clients of social enterprises focused on providing different services in Mexico. Face-to-face surveys to a sample of convenience were applied to 400 clients, although 392 questionnaires were validated for their use. 57.7% were women and 42.3% men, with an average age of 35 years. The most used services were health services 64.3%, financial services 14.8%, restaurants 11.5% and sustainable energy 5.9%.

Measures and Procedure. To measure socially responsible consumption, we used the CSR performance scale with 13 items developed by Webb, Mohr and Harris (2008). In addition, we adapted to social enterprises the three dimensions (Value Creation Innovation, Value proposition innovation, Value capture innovation) of customers’ perceived business model innovativeness developed by Clauss et al. (2018). We used the customer satisfaction scale developed by Clauss et al. (2018) and for loyalty, the scale developed by Moisescu (2018). All items were rated on five-point Likert scales: Strongly agree, agree, not certain, disagree, and strongly disagree. Table 1 shows all the items.

Satisfaction (Clauss et al., 2018)

CS1. The service provided by this company was of very high quality
CS2. The service provided by this company was reliable.
CS3. On the whole, I was satisfied with my experience with this service
CS4. Overall, my negative experience outweighs my positive experience with this company (R)
CS5. In general, I was happy with the service experience

Loyalty (Moisescu, 2018)

LOY1. I consider myself a loyal customer of this company
LOY2. This company is my first choice in its sector
LOY3. I will continue to be a customer of this company
LOY4. In the future I plan to purchase more from this company
LOY5. I would recommend this company to my friends
Overall analytical strategy. We used structural equation modelling with Mplus 7.11 treating all variables as latent. In addition, all variables were treated as having a non-normal distribution. We conceptualized customers’ perceived BMI as a formative construct and socially responsible consumption, satisfaction and loyalty as reflective constructs. For a model with a formative latent variable to be identified, it needs to predict some other variable. Hence, we only reported the structural model, which includes the measurement model. We reported a combination of absolute and incremental fit index: χ², Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), Comparative Fit Index (CFI), and Tucker Lewis Index (TLI). We used the cut-off scores of RMSEA = < .08 and CFI and TLI > .90 as the minimum acceptable levels of model fit (West, Taylor, & Wu, 2012).

Results

Results showed an acceptable model fit, χ² = 651.08, p < .001 (df = 218), RMSEA = .072, CFI = .93 and TLI = .92. Examination of the measurement part of the model revealed that all loadings were significant and in the expected direction, ranging from .27 to .88. Given these results, we could proceed to interpreting the structural solution.

Examination of the individual parameters revealed a significant influence of socially responsible consumption on perceived business model innovativeness, γ = .69, p < .001. Whereas perceived business model innovativeness had a significant influence on customer satisfaction, β = .32, p < .001, its influence on loyalty was not significant, β = .04, p = .27, respectively. Customer satisfaction had a positive influence on loyalty, β = .85, p < .001. Last, perceived business model innovativeness had a significant indirect effect, through satisfaction, on loyalty, β = .27, p < .001. The squared multiple correlations for the endogenous variables were: customer satisfaction (.10) and loyalty (.74). Figure 1 shows the results of the structural model.

Discussion

This study explored the effect of socially responsible consumption on customers’ perceived business model innovativeness and its effect on satisfaction and loyalty in social enterprises operating in the service sector in Mexico. Our results showed support for the idea that socially responsible consumption influenced customer’s perceived business model innovativeness. This result was consistent with previous research (Francois -Lecompte & Roberts, 2006; Wang & Zhou, 2020). It is expected that more individuals will seek to consume in a more conscious, responsible and sustainable way (Severo, De Guimarães, & Dellarmelin, 2021). Consequently, the innovation perceived in the business model is likely to have an impact on competitive advantage of social businesses in the market (Woo, Kim, & Wang, 2021). That is, social enterprises will have to strive to create, propose and capture value in a meaningful way in their service offered to customers.

We also found that customer’s perceived business model innovativeness was positively related to customer satisfaction, which was consistent with previous research conducted in the service sector (Clauss et al., 2018; YuSheng & Ibrahim, 2019). Conversely, we did not find a significant direct effect of customer’s perceived business model innovativeness on loyalty, instead we found an indirect effect through its influence on satisfaction. Previous research identified a significant effect of the perception of the value of the business model on satisfaction (Mahmoud, Jinson, & Anim, 2018) and on loyalty (Clauss,
Harengel, & Hock, 2019); however, the effect of perceived business model innovativeness in social enterprises on loyalty had not been tested through satisfaction; therefore, this is a finding that contributes to the empirical literature. Thus, the results indicated that the BMI consumers’ perception on the intangibles and tangibles that facilitate production, delivery and interaction in services had a positive and significant impact on satisfaction; although BMI was not a direct driver of loyalty in the context studied, customer satisfaction performed as a relevant mediator of business innovation strategy, as well as a direct antecedent of customer loyalty, and this is important because loyalty is considered a success factor that contributes to increasing sales (Kim & Lee, 2020).

In sum, our findings showed that socially responsible consumption was a driver of the positive perception of customers’ perceived BMI in social enterprises in the service sector in Mexico. In addition, BMI had a direct effect on customer satisfaction and indirect on loyalty mediated by satisfaction. Innovation in business models in social enterprises concentrates its value mainly in solving social, ethical or environmental problems (Moroz & Gamble, 2021), and it is a critical aspect for the construction of the value proposition, the differentiation against competitors, customer retention and social welfare.

**Limitations and Future Directions**

This study had several limitations. First, a non-probabilistic sample was used and only social enterprises from the services sector in Mexico were included in the study. Thus, it is recommended to broaden the scope of the BMI research to social enterprises operating in other sectors and in other contexts. It is also recommended to deepen the examination of creation, proposition and capture of value between company and customers, especially when the market logic needs to operate in a more innovative way. Second, even though we wanted to examine business model innovation from the perspective of consumers, we only used self-report data, which limited our ability to understand how perceptions might be related to behavioral variables such as actual sales or repurchases. Future studies could use longitudinal designs to examine how perceived model innovativeness translates into actual sales or repurchases.

**Managerial implications**

Our findings have implications for managers of service-focused social enterprises. First, BMI is an important factor to attract and retain customers, thus it is necessary to allocate resources to their development and reconfiguration over time. Second, it is necessary to conceptualize BMI as a mechanism and as a process that actively involves customers’ perception of value. This is important because consumers construct this perception of value and use it to decide to purchase services offered by social enterprises. Consequently, one of the challenges that managers face is dealing with the social and economic values exchanged with their clients, while acting in accordance with their social mission and achieving what consumers appreciate and also what the company considers valuable in their business model. Third, every social enterprise needs to promote socially responsible consumption more actively and efficiently. To achieve this, greater efforts are required to transform the firm in a more sustainable one through business model innovation and consumer involvement to generate a more comprehensive and significant social and environmental impact. Our study showed that consumers see value in this proposition, facilitating satisfaction and loyalty.

Our research contributes to the understanding of business model innovation in social enterprises from two aspects. First, the role of an antecedent of perceptions of business model innovativeness such as socially responsible consumption suggests that social enterprises should consider these values when trying to provide timely and effective responses to the market they committed to serve. Second, the impact of the perception of value in the social business model directly on satisfaction and indirectly on customer loyalty indicates that it is an important variable to consider. Attention to these aspects can make a difference when social enterprises have the goals of surviving and achieving the expected social impact.
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