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ABSTRACT

The title compound, C₁₀H₁₃N₂O, a potential antiparasitic agent, crystallizes in the orthorhombic Pca₂₁ space group with unit cell parameters a = 9.912(1) Å, b = 9.035(1) Å, c = 15.681(2) Å. The crystal structure is stabilized by weak C-H-O and C-H-Cg(π) interactions among neighboring molecules producing an efficient packing with 66.0% of occupied space. The C-H-O hydrogen bond keeps the molecules linked into supramolecular chains propagating along the a axis direction with a graph-set notation C(4), which are reinforced by C-H-Cg(π) interactions. Hirshfeld surface analysis of the intermolecular contacts reveal that the most important contributions for the crystal packing are from H-H (55.2%) and H-C/C=C-H (27.1%) interactions. Energy framework calculations suggest that the contacts formed between molecules are slightly dispersive in nature.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction is one of the most useful reactions for the synthesis of heterocyclic compounds 1-4. It provides one of his best tools for constructing five-membered rings. One of the most used molecules in this type of reactions are the nitrones, which are excellent building blocks in the preparation of novel heterocyclic structures by use of 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, given that they represent a long-known and thoroughly investigated class of 1,3-dipole 5-9. Nitrones are rather flexible intermediates in organic synthesis and are used, for instance, in alkaloid synthesis 6, in the stereo-selective arrangement of synthetically important isoazolidines oxadiazole 6 and in the synthesis of 2,6-disubstituted 4-hydroxy-piperidines 10,11.

The most known procedures in the preparation of nitrones are the condensation of N-monosubstituted hydroxylamines with ketones or aldehydes 12, and the oxidation of secondary amines to their equivalent nitrones 13.

From the interest in studying the structural characterization of biologically active molecules 14-21, we report here the single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of the nitrone derivative N-benzylidene-N-butylamino-4-β-pyridyl-N-oxide, among a series of compounds with antiparasitic properties 22. In order to understand the nature of the described non-covalent interactions in the supramolecular network of this material, a Hirshfeld surface analysis and energy framework study was performed 22,23.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 Synthesis

N-benzylidene-N-butylamino-4-β-pyridyl-N-oxide (3), was synthesized by a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction (Scheme 1) which is explained elsewhere 23. Reagents and conditions: i) benzene, reflux, 6-10 h; ii) CH₃CHOHCH₂/CH₂/THF, r.t. 2 h; aq sat. NH₄Cl solution; iii) Na₂WO₄·2H₂O, 50% H₂O₂, acetone-H₂O, r.t., 2-4 d. Yield was 76%. X-ray quality crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from a solution of ethyl acetate after slow evaporation (m.p.: 130-132 °C).


2.2 FT-IR and NMR spectroscopic studies

The chemical structure of nitrone (3) was elucidated using FT-IR and 1H-NMR. FT-IR spectra was obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 599B-FTIR spectrometer as KBr pellets. 1H-NMR spectra was recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 model spectrometer in CDCl₃ solution.

IR νmax cm⁻¹: 1639 (C=C), 1115, 923 (N=O), 1583 (C=O). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1-H₂: 5.01 (dd, J₁=J₂= 9.1, J₁=1= 1.5), 1-H₁= 5.10 (dt, J₁=J₂= 17.1, J₁=J₃= 3= 2.7); 2-H₁: 5.70 (m); 3-H₂= 3.22 (dd), 2.64 (dd), J₃,3A= 13.0, J₃A,A = 6.8, J₃B,4= 5.9; 4-H: 4.88 (dd); N=CH: 7.50 (s); H₄= 7.35-7.20 (m); R₁, 8.60 (d, d', H₁)= 8.50 (dd, d', H₁), 8.15 (t, β-H), 7.98 (dt, γ-H), J₃,3B= 7.7, J₄,4A= 6.5, J₄,5,2= 2.2, J₅,6= 1.6.

2.3 X-ray data collection and structure determination

A colourless rectangular crystal (0.63, 0.21, 0.13 mm) was used for data collection. Diffraction data were collected at 298(2) K by ω-scans with a Bruker Smart Apex II diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromatized MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization and absorption effects. The structure was solved by direct methods using the SHELXS program 24 and refined by a full-matrix least-squares calculation on F² using SHELXL 25. All H atoms were placed at calculated positions and treated using the riding model, with C-H distances of 0.97-0.98 Å, and N-H distances of 0.86 Å. The Uiso (H) parameters were fixed at 1.2Ueq (C, N) and 1.5Ueq (methyls). All geometrical calculations were done using the program Platon 26.
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Table 1 summarizes the crystal data, intensity data collection and refinement details for (3). CIF file containing complete information on the studied structure was deposited with CCDC, deposition number 1954958, and is freely available upon request from the following web site: www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Table 1. Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement of (3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>C₅H₆N₂O</th>
<th>CCDC</th>
<th>1954958</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>125.29</td>
<td>1.7093 Å</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>Pca2₁ (N29)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(A)</td>
<td>9.912(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b(A)</td>
<td>9.035(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c(A)</td>
<td>15.66(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(Å³)</td>
<td>1404.3(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>536</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflexion mode</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rint</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ max</td>
<td>2θ = 54°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm)</td>
<td>0.63 x 0.21 x 0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 X-ray powder diffraction

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) study was carried out to check the purity and homogeneity of the bulk product of the title compound (3). The X-ray powder diffraction data was collected at room temperature 293(1) K, in 0/0 reflection mode using a Siemens D5005 diffractometer with CuKα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). The diffractometer was operated at 40 kV and 25 mA. The specimen was scanned from 5° to 65° 20, with a step size of 0.02° and counting time of 10 s per step. Quartz was used as an external standard.

2.5 Hirshfeld surfaces analysis

For the title compound, an analysis of the Hirshfeld surfaces was performed with the aid of Crystal Explorer program. The two-dimensional fingerprint plots were calculated for the crystal, as were the electrostatic potentials. The electrostatic potentials were mapped on the Hirshfeld surfaces using the 3-21G basis set at the level of Hartree-Fock theory. Crystallographic information file (CIF) of (3) was used as input for the analysis. For the generation of fingerprint plots the bond lengths of hydrogen atoms involved in interactions were normalized to standard neutron values (C-H = 1.083 Å, N-H = 1.099 Å, O-H = 0.983 Å).

2.6 Energy framework study

The method of quantification of energy framework allow understand the topology of the overall interactions of molecules in a crystal. This method allows calculate and compare the different energy components, i.e. repulsion (Erep), electric (Eelec), dispersion (Edisp), polarization (Epol) and total (Etot) energy based on the anisotropy of the topology of pairwise intermolecular interaction energies. Crystal Explorer program was used to calculate the energy framework of the title compound by generating new wave functions using the DFT method under 3-21G basis set with exchange and potential functions (B3LYP) for a molecular cluster environment for a 1x1x1 unit cell. The thickness of the cylinder radius indicates the grade of interactions and is directly related to the energy magnitude and offers information about the stabilization of the crystal packing.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Crystal and Molecular Structure

The nitronate derivative N-benzylidene-N-butylamino-4-β-pyridyl-N-oxide (3), C₅H₆N₂O, crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group Pca2₁. Figure 1 shows the molecular structure and the atom-labelling scheme of the title compound. Selected geometrical parameters are presented in Table 2. In the structure, all bond lengths and angles exhibit normal values for nitronate derivatives (Cambridge Structural Database, Version 5.41, March 2020). The bond lengths indicate double-bond character for the N1=C5 [1.292(5) Å] bond and single-bond character for the N1-C6 [1.523(5) Å] and N1-O1 [1.287(4) Å] bonds. The nitronate fragment Ph-CH=N(O)-C is planar to within 0.128(3) Å. It is almost perpendicular to the β-pyridyl substituent, with an O1-N1-C6-C7 torsion angle of 71.8(4)°, and forms a dihedral angle between the two aryl rings of 84.8(2)°.

Also, the nitronate fragment forms a dihedral angle between the phenyl ring and butyl group of 69.1(3)°. This is the same conformation as was previously observed in other compounds with nitronate fragments with refcodes KEMSIE26, KEMSOL26, VOJLUC31 and JELQO32, found in CSD database29.
3.2 Powder X-ray diffraction analysis

The X-ray powder pattern of the title compound (3) is shown in Figure 7a. The pattern was indexed in a monoclinic cell, which confirms the single-crystal results. In order to check the unit cell parameters, a Le Bail refinement was carried out using the Fullprof program. The Figure 7b shows a very good fit between the observed and calculated patterns. This results confirms the homogeneity of the sample and that the single crystals are representative of the bulk sample.

3.3 Hirshfeld surface analysis

A Hirshfeld surface analysis was conducted to verify the contributions of the different intermolecular interactions. This analysis was used to investigate the presence of hydrogen bonds and other weak intermolecular interactions in the crystal structure. The plots of the Hirshfeld surface confirms the presence of the non-covalent interaction described below (Figure 5).

In order to visualize and quantify the similarities and differences in intermolecular contacts across the crystal structure, the Hirshfeld surface analysis was made with complementary analyses such as shape index and curvedness surfaces. The weak intermolecular interactions are mainly constituted by H···O, H···N, H···C and H···H, where the reciprocal contacts appear as a sharp needles for H···O, with $d_e + d_i \approx 2.8$ Å (Figure 5e), for H···N of the Hirshfeld surface and they appear as two diffuse wings, pointing at a distance greater than the van der Waals radii of N and H atoms with $d_e + d_i \approx 3.1$ Å (Figure 5d) ($d_i + d_e > 2.75$ Å), with no significant contribution towards the crystal packing of the title molecule.

Figure 3. A partial view of the crystal packing for (3) showing the intermolecular weak C3–H3···Cg10 and C12–H12B···Cg20 contacts.

Figure 4. a) X-ray powder diffraction pattern of (3). b) Le Bail refinement of nitrone (3).

Figure 5. Hirshfeld surface of the title compound (left) and most representative contacts (right).
The, H⋯C as symmetrical thick wings with $d_l + d_r \geq 3.0 \, \text{Å}$ (Figure 5c) as consequence of H⋯π interaction, being one of major contribution in the crystal packing stabilization. The interatomic contacts of H⋯H have a majority of the all contribution in the surface generated (Figure 5b) showing a symmetrical sharp needle with $d_l + d_r \geq 2.4 \, \text{Å}$, denoting H⋯H short contacts generating a significant effect over molecular packing in the crystal structure stabilization.

Shape index maps generated, allow to determine the presence of of H⋯π interaction over molecular structure, where the yellow-orange spots indicates the presence of this type of weak interaction over molecular structure where the interaction is established between the aromatic ring, pyridyl ring and nitro fragments (Figure 6).

**3.4 Energy Framework results**

Energy framework was analysed to a better understanding of the packing of crystal structure and the supramolecular rearrangement. According to the tube direction, it can conclude that the formation of the framework is directed by the translational symmetry elements in each unit a long of $b$ – axis due the stabilization of hydrogen bond interaction type directing the crystal structure layer by layer in the [110] plane disposing the molecular structure in a parallel setting, according to the electrostatic (E$_{ele}$).

The dispersion (E$_{dis}$) energy shows a 2D framework type cage as a component of the framework energy being more dominating than E$_{ele}$ (see Figure 7). This rearrangement allows the formation of weak interactions in the crystal structure. Such as H⋯π and classic hydrogen bond interactions, but not enough for the better stabilization of the framework. The low values of electrostatic total energy are attributed to the absence or few number of classical hydrogen bonds (see Table 4).

**Figure 6.** Shape index maps showing regions H⋯π interactions over the title compound (top) and interactions found in the crystal packing (bottom).

**Figure 7.** Energy framework diagrams for title compound viewed along [101], showing their respective type of energies.

**Table 4.** Energy framework detail of interaction with symmetry operations (symop) and distances between molecular centroids ($R$) in Å.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Symop</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>E$_{ele}$</th>
<th>E$_{pol}$</th>
<th>E$_{dis}$</th>
<th>E$_{rep}$</th>
<th>E$_{tot}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-x, -y, z+1/2</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-x+1/2, y, z+1/2</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x+1/2, -y, z</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>-31.1</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>-45.1</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>-57.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x, y, z</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-x, -y, z+1/2</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>-9.8</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-35.9</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>-28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x, y, z</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-x, -y, z+1/2</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-x+1/2, y, z+1/2</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x+1/2, -y, z</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x, y, z</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x+1/2, -y, z</td>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x, y, z</td>
<td>18.55</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**

-45.8 | -20.7 | -124.9 | 58.5 | -125.1 |

**CONCLUSIONS**

The nitron derivative N-benzylidene-N-butylamino-4-β-pyridyl-N-oxide has been synthesized from a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction and its crystal structure was determinate using X-ray single-crystal diffraction. The crystal packing is completely dominated by weak C⋯H⋯O and Cg(π) interactions among the neighboring molecules producing an efficient packing with 66.0 % of the occupied space. The intercontacts play a central crucial role in the stabilization of molecules in the crystal structure and were successfully verified with Hirshfeld surface analysis.

Two dimensional fingerprint plot calculations displayed the H⋯H and C⋯H pair of contacts that were the most significant interaction to the Hirshfeld surface. The three dimensional interaction energy analysis showed that, the dispersion energy frameworks Edis were dominant over classical electrostatic terms Eele.
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