A comparative study on the microstructural and antibacterial properties of Laser - textured and SLA dental implants.
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ABSTRACT
Objective: To compare the structural and antibacterial properties of a Laser - treated commercial dental implant (No-Itis®) with those of a traditional sandblasted and acid-etched (SLA) implant. Materials and Methods: Surface topography and elemental composition of the implant surfaces were analyzed by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) coupled to dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDX). The antibacterial properties of the implants were tested against Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans. Protein adsorption capacity and bioactivity in simulated body fluid (SBF) of the implant surfaces were also analyzed. Results: The Laser - treated implant presents a topography constituted by smooth and uniform concavities of ~ 30 µm in diameter, free of Laser - induced alterations, and impurity elements. The Laser - textured surface demonstrated to significantly (p = 0.0132) reduce by up to around 61% the bacterial growth as compared with the SLA implant, which was found to be associated to a reduced adhesion of proteins on the Laser surface. No apatite - related mineral deposits were detected on the SBF - incubated surfaces. Conclusion: The smooth Laser - designed surface exhibits an antimicrobial effect that decreases the growth of bacterial biofilm on its surface, which could contribute to reduce the risk of peri-implantitis.
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INTRODUCTION
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1.5 mL of buffered solution (pH 7.4, K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$ 100 mM) containing 0.4 mg/mL of protein was contacted with each implant vertically placed in a 24-well cell culture plate. After 6 h of incubation at 37°C, the implants were removed from the protein solution and washed with phosphate buffer to remove the nonadherent proteins. Then, the adhered proteins were extracted from the implant surfaces by incubating with 1.5 mL of 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate solution for 12 h at 37°C. The concentration of extracted protein was measured using the colorimetric Micro Bicinchoninic Acid Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific).

### Surface bioactivity assay

The ability of implant surface to form bone-like apatite in acellular simulated body fluid (SBF) was evaluated according to ISO/FDIS 23317:2007 (E). The Kokubo’s SBF solution pH 7.4 was prepared with the ionic composition and procedure described elsewhere$^{15,16}$. The implant samples were individually immersed in 50 mL of SBF in polyethylene bottles at 36.5 °C in a thermostatic shaking water bath. After 28 days of incubation, the implants were removed from SBF, immersed in distilled water for 3 min, and dried at 60 °C. The apatite mineralized on the implant surfaces was analyzed by SEM and EDX compositional measurements.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of colony forming unit counting data was carried out by using GraphPad prism 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). One-way analysis of variance with post hoc multiple comparisons (Tukey’s test) was performed on a minimum of n=5 (significance level, P<0.05).

### RESULTS

Fig. 1 presents the SEM images of the dental implant surfaces acquired with different magnification. The Laser - treated implant exhibits a topography constituted by uniform and circular concavities of ~ 30 µm in diameter and ~ 2 µm of border width, which are regularly distributed on the entire implant surface. The inner and outer area of the concavities present a smooth texture and free of porosity or other Laser - induced alterations. In contrast, the SLA implant exhibits a disorganized, rough, and uneven surface. EDX elemental analysis (Fig. 2) confirmed the presence of Ti, Al, V and O as main components of the oxidized Ti6Al4V implant surfaces. C, Ca, and P were detected as minor or trace components of the surfaces.

The survival of A. actinomycetemcomitans biofilm grown per area of implant surface is shown Fig. 3. The results show that bacterial survival is significantly (p = 0.0132) reduced by up to around 61% on the Laser - treated implant surface as compared with the traditional SLA implant surface. SEM images (Fig. 4) confirm a substantially lower amount of bacterial biofilm developed on the Laser implant. Abundant microcolonies anchored to the surface and apparently embedded in their exopolysaccharide matrix$^{14}$ can be observed on the SLA implant (white arrows, Fig. 4h).

The albumin protein adsorption capacities for the Laser and SLA implant surfaces were 390 ng/mm$^2$ and 540 ng/mm$^2$, respectively. Fig. 5 shows SEM images and EDX compositional mapping of the implant surfaces after 28 days of immersion in SBF. Although the implant textures appear to be slightly modified, noapatite deposits or related minerals were detected on the surfaces. The Ca and P contents measured by EDX were not significant.

### DISCUSSION

The topography, chemical composition, and bioactive properties of the Laser - textured implant surface was analyzed and systematically compared with that of a traditional SLA implant. The results confirm that the Laser implant presents a highly regular and smooth surface according to the information provided by the manufacturer, which contrast with the disorganized and rough surface of the SLA implant. Laser treatment also showed to produce topographical modifications of the implant surface without altering its surface chemical composition.

Microbiological assays demonstrated that the Laser implant exhibits...
Laser implant significantly decreases the bacterial attachment and biofilm formation on the implant. The biofilm formation on implant surface strongly depends on the previous adsorption of water molecules and proteins, which promote the bacterial colonization. In the current study, Laser-designed implant surface exhibited a lower protein adsorption capacity as compared to the SLA. These results indicate that lower free energy provided by the smooth Laser surface decreases the protein attachment and consequently, the biofilm formation. In contrast, the irregularities of the rough SLA implant surface promote higher protein adsorption, which facilitates the biofilm establishment. Although smooth implant surfaces have been suggested for resisting bacterial colonization, this effect have been scantily verified on commercial dental implants fabricated with Laser texturing technology. Uhlman et al. detected with crystal violet staining a reduced attachment of Streptococcus mutans on laser microtextured titanium surfaces. Zwarth et al. used laser processing to produce hierarchical patterns on titanium sheets, which were able to reduce the adherence of Escherichia coli. Ionescu et al. studied a laser-designed titanium surface regularly formed by 18 - 20 µm micropits, which shown to reduce the formation of a nonspecific biofilm from saliva. Therefore, most of the reported studies on Laser surfaces did not consider specific peri-implant pathogens such as Porphyromonas gingivalis or A. Actinomycetemcomitans. Lasserre et al. found that these bacteria present similar frequency in both peri-implantitis and periodontitis conditions. Although the antimicrobial capacity of the Laser-treated implants strongly will depend on the structural characteristics generated on its surface, in vitro antibacterial properties of the Laser implant found in the current study could contribute to reducing the probabilities of infection. Our study also had some limitations. Antibacterial activity of the implant surfaces was measured by using a single bacteria biofilm model, however peri-implant microbiome has been characterized by 71 species, with 12 of them enriched in peri-implantitis diseased sites. So, further studies could be performed by using multibacteria biofilms models. In addition, antibacterial effectiveness of the Laser-textured implant surface should be confirmed through both in vivo animal testing and clinical trials.

On the other hand, no mineralization of bone-like apatite was detected on the implant surfaces by using the standard acellular SBF assay. Therefore, the micro-and nano-scale analysis of the osseointegration properties of the Laser implant would require further in vitro animal testing and clinical trials. The current study compares the structural and antibacterial properties of a Laser-treated commercial dental implant (No-Itis) with those of a traditional sandblasted and acid-etched (SLA) implant. The results demonstrated that implant with Laser-treated has a regular and smooth titanium surface that significantly reduce the bacterial growth as compared with that of a traditional SLA implant. These findings suggest that the antibacterial properties exhibited by the dental implant with smooth Laser-designed surface could contribute to reduce the risk of peri-implant infection, which is one the main reasons of dental implant failure.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The dental implant fabricated by laser texturing technology is constituted by regular and smooth surface topography. The smooth Laser-treated surface exhibits antibacterial properties that decrease the growth of bacterial biofilm, which was found to be associated with a reduced adsorption capacity of bacterial adhesion proteins. Thus, the Laser implant could contribute to decrease the risk of dental peri-implant infection.

**CLINICAL RELEVANCE**

The current study compares the structural and antibacterial properties of a Laser-treated commercial dental implant (No-Itis) with those of a traditional sandblasted and acid-etched (SLA) implant. The results demonstrated that implant with Laser-treated has a regular and smooth titanium surface that significantly reduce the bacterial growth as compared with that of a traditional SLA implant. These findings suggest that the antibacterial properties exhibited by the dental implant with smooth Laser-designed surface could contribute to reduce the risk of peri-implant infection, which is one the main reasons of dental implant failure.
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