

(i, j) - ω -semiopen sets and (i, j) - ω -semicontinuity in bitopological spaces

CARLOS CARPINTERO & ENNIS ROSAS

*Department of Mathematics,
Universidad De Oriente,
Nucleo De Sucre Cumana, Venezuela.
Facultad de Ciencias Basicas,
Universidad del Atlantico,
Barranquilla, Colombia.
carpintero.carlos@gmail.com,
ennisrafael@gmail.com*

SABIR HUSSAIN

*Department of Mathematics,
College of Science,
Qassim University,
P.O.BOX 6644, Buraydah 51482, Saudi Arabia.
sabiriub@yahoo.com,
sh.hussain@qu.edu.sa*

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to introduce and characterize the notions of (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets as a generalization of (i, j) -semiopen sets in bitopological spaces. We also define and discuss the properties of (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous functions.

RESUMEN

El objetivo de este artículo es introducir y caracterizar las nociones de conjuntos (i, j) - ω -semiabiertos como una generalización de conjuntos (i, j) -semiabiertos en espacios bitopológicos. También definimos y discutimos las propiedades de funciones (i, j) - ω -semicontinuas.

Keywords and Phrases: Bitopological spaces, (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets, (i, j) - ω -semiclosed sets.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05,54C05,54C08.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of a bitopological space was introduced by Kelly [3]. On the other hand, S. Bose [1], introduced the concept of (i, j) -semiopen sets in bitopological spaces. Recently, as generalization of closed sets, the notion of ω -closed sets was introduced and studied by Hdeib [2]. A point $x \in X$ is called a condensation point of A , if for each $U \in \tau$ with $x \in U$, the set $U \cap A$ is uncountable. A is said to be ω -closed [2], if it contains all of its condensation points. The complement of a ω -closed set is said to be ω -open. It is well known that a subset W of a space (X, τ) is ω -open if and only if for each $x \in W$, there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $x \in U$ and $U \setminus W$ is countable. In this paper, we introduce the concept of (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets as a generalization of (i, j) -semiopen sets in bitopological spaces. We also define and discuss the properties of (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous functions. For a subset A of X , the closure of A and the interior of A are denoted by $\text{Cl}(A)$ and $\text{Int}(A)$, respectively. A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be (i, j) -semi open, if $A \subseteq \tau_i\text{-cl}(\tau_j\text{-Int}(A))$, where $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2$. The complement of a (i, j) -semiopen set is said to be a (i, j) -semiclosed. The (i, j) -semiclosure of A , denoted by $(i, j)\text{-scl}(A)$ is defined by the intersection of all (i, j) -semiclosed sets containing A . The (i, j) -semi interior of A , denoted by $(i, j)\text{-sInt}(A)$ is defined by the union of all (i, j) -semiopen sets contained in A . The family of all (i, j) -semiopen (respectively (i, j) -semiclosed) subsets of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is denoted by $(i, j) - \text{SO}(X)$, (respectively $(i, j) - \text{SC}(X)$). A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \mapsto (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be (i, j) -semi continuous, if the inverse image of every σ_i -open set in (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is (i, j) -semi open in (X, τ_1, τ_2) , where $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2$. A σ_i -open set U in (Y, σ_1, σ_2) means $U \in \sigma_i$.

2 (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets

A set X equipped with two topologies is called a bitopological space. Throughout this paper, spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) (or simply X) always means a bitopological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a bitopological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then A is said to be (i, j) - ω -semiopen, if for each $x \in A$ there exists a (i, j) -semiopen U_x containing x such that $U_x - A$ is a countable set. The complement of a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set.*

The family of all (i, j) - ω -semiopen (respectively (i, j) - ω -semiclosed) subsets of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is denoted by $(i, j) - \omega - \text{SO}(X)$, (respectively $(i, j) - \omega - \text{SC}(X)$). Also the family of all $(i, j) - \omega$ -semiopen sets of (X, τ_1, τ_2) containing x is denoted by $(i, j) - \omega - \text{SO}(X, x)$. Note that every (i, j) -semiopen set is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen. The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

Example 2.2. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then $\{a, c\}$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen but not (i, j) -semiopen.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a bitopological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then A is said to be (i, j) - ω -semiopen if and only if for every $x \in A$, there exists a (i, j) -semiopen set U_x containing x and a countable subset C such that $U_x - C \subseteq A$.*

Proof. Let A be a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set and $x \in A$, then there exists a (i, j) -semiopen subset U_x containing x such that $U_x - A$ is countable. Let $C = U_x - A = U_x \cap (X - A)$. Then $U_x - C \subseteq A$. Conversely, let $x \in A$. Then there exists a (i, j) - ω -semiopen subset U_x containing x and a countable subset C such that $U_x - C \subseteq A$. Thus $U_x - A \subseteq C$ and $U_x - A$ is countable. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a bitopological space and $C \subseteq X$. If C is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set, then $C \subseteq K \cup B$, for some (i, j) - ω -semiclosed subset K and a countable subset B .*

Proof. If C is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set, then $X - C$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set and hence by Theorem 2.3, for every $x \in X - C$, there exists a (i, j) -semiopen set U containing x and a countable set B such that $U - B \subseteq X - C$. Thus $C \subseteq X - (U - B) = X - (U \cap (X - B)) = (X - U) \cup B$, let $K = X - U$. Then K is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set such that $C \subseteq K \cup B$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *The union of any family of (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets is (i, j) - ω -semiopen set.*

Proof. If $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a collection of (i, j) - ω -semiopen subsets of X , then for every $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$, $x \in A_\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in I$. Hence, there exists a (i, j) - ω -semiopen subset U containing x , such that $U - A_\alpha$ is countable. Now as $U - (\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha) \subseteq U - A_\alpha$, and thus $U - (\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha)$ is countable. Therefore $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set. \square

Definition 2.6. *The union of all (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets contained in $A \subseteq X$ is called the (i, j) - ω -semi-interior of A and is denoted by (i, j) - ω -SInt(A). The intersection of all (i, j) - ω -semiclosed sets of X containing A is called the (i, j) - ω -semiclosure of A and is denoted by (i, j) - ω -SCL(A).*

Remark 2.7. *The (i, j) - ω -SCL(A) is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set and the (i, j) - ω -SInt(A) is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set.*

Theorem 2.8. *Let X be a bitopological space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) (i, j) - ω -SInt((i, j) - ω -SInt(A)) = (i, j) - ω -SInt(A).
- (2) If $A \subset B$, then (i, j) - ω -SInt(A) \subset (i, j) - ω -SInt(B).
- (3) (i, j) - ω -SInt($A \cap B$) \subset (i, j) - ω -SInt(A) \cap (i, j) - ω -SInt(B).
- (4) (i, j) - ω -SInt(A) \cup (i, j) - ω -SInt(B) \subset (i, j) - ω -SInt($A \cup B$).
- (5) (i, j) - ω -SInt(A) is the largest (i, j) - ω -semiopen subset of X contained in A .
- (6) A is (i, j) - ω -semiopen if and only if $A = (i, j)$ - ω -SInt(A).
- (7) (i, j) - ω -SCL((i, j) - ω -SCL(A)) = (i, j) - ω -SCL(A).

- (8) If $A \subset B$, then $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(B)$.
- (9) $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \cup (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(B) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A \cup B)$.
- (10) $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A \cap B) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \cap (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(B)$.

Proof. (1), (2), (6), (7) and (8) follow directly from the definition of $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-semiopen}$ and $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-semiclosed}$ sets. (3), (4) and (5) follow from (2). (9) and (10) follow by applying (8). \square

Example 2.9. Let X be the real line, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \text{Re}, \text{Q}^c\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \text{Re}, \text{Q}, \text{Q}^c\}$. Take $A = (0, 1)$, $B = (1, 2)$, then $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A \cap B) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \cap (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(B)$.

Example 2.10. Let X be the real line, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \text{Re}, \text{Q}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \text{Re}, \text{Q}\}$. The collection of $(i, j)\text{-SO}(X)$ is $\{\emptyset, \text{Re}, \text{Q}\}$. take $A = \text{Q}$, $B = \{\pi\}$. Then $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) = \text{Q}$, $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(B) = \{\pi\}$ and $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \cup (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(B) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A \cup B)$.

Theorem 2.11. Let X be a bitopological space. Suppose $A \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$. Then $x \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$ if and only if $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SO}(X, x)$.

Proof. Suppose that $x \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$ and we show that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, for all $U \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SO}(X, x)$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists $U \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SO}(X, x)$ such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$, then $A \subseteq X - U$ and $X - U$ is a $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-semiclosed}$ set. This follows that $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \subseteq (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(X - U) = X - U$. Since $x \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$, we have $x \in X - U$ and hence $x \notin U$. Which contradicts the fact that $x \in U$. Therefore, $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, suppose that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SO}(X, x)$. We shall prove that $x \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$. Suppose on the contrary that $x \notin (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$. Let $U = X - (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$, then $U \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SO}(X, x)$ and $U \cap A = (X - ((i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A))) \cap A \subseteq (X - A) \cap A = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Hence $x \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$. \square

Theorem 2.12. Let X be a bitopological space and $A \subset X$. Then the following properties hold:

- (1) $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(A)$;
- (2) $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$.

Proof. (1). Let $x \in X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$. Then there exists $V \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SO}(X, x)$ such that $V \cap A = \emptyset$ and hence we obtain $x \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(X \setminus A)$. This shows that $X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(X \setminus A)$. Now consider $x \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(X \setminus A)$. Since $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(X \setminus A) \cap A = \emptyset$, we obtain $x \notin (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$. Therefore, we have, $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(A)$.

(2). Let $x \in X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(X - A)$. Since $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(X \setminus A) \cap A = \emptyset$, we have $x \notin (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$ implies $x \in X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$. Now consider $x \in X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$, then there exists $V \in (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SO}(X, x)$ such that $V \cap A = \emptyset$, hence we obtain that $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)$. \square

Definition 2.13. Let X be a bitopological space and $B \subseteq X$. Then B is a $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-semineighbourhood}$ of a point $x \in X$ if there exists a $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-semiopen}$ set W such that $x \in W \subset B$.

Theorem 2.14. *Let X be a bitopological space and $B \subseteq X$. B is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set if and only if it is a (i, j) - ω -semineighbourhood of each of its points.*

Proof. Let B be a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set of X . Then by definition B is a (i, j) - ω -semineighbourhood of each of its points. Conversely, suppose that B is a (i, j) - ω -semineighbourhood of each of its points. Then for each $x \in B$, there exists $S_x \in (i, j)$ - ω -SO(X, x) such that $S_x \subset B$. Then $B = \bigcup\{S_x : x \in B\}$. Since each S_x is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen and arbitrary union of (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets is (i, j) - ω -semiopen, B is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen in X . \square

Theorem 2.15. *If each nonempty (i, j) - ω -semiopen set of a bitopological space X is uncountable, then (i, j) -SCL(A) = (i, j) - ω -SCL(A), for each subset $A \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$.*

Proof. Clearly (i, j) - ω -SCL(A) \subseteq (i, j) -SCL(A). On the other hand, let $x \in (i, j)$ -SCL(A) and B be a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set containing x . Using Theorem 2.3, there exists a (i, j) -semiopen set V containing x and a countable set C such that $V - C \subseteq B$. Follows $(V - C) \cap A \subseteq B \cap A$ and so $(V \cap A) - C \subseteq B \cap A$. Now $x \in V$, $x \in (i, j)$ -SCL(A) such that $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ where $V \cap A$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set, since V is a (i, j) -semiopen set and $A \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$. Using the hypothesis, each nonempty (i, j) - ω -semiopen set of X is uncountable and so is $(V \cap A) \setminus C$. Thus $B \cap A$ is uncountable. Therefore, $B \cap A \neq \emptyset$ implies that $x \in (i, j)$ - ω -SCL(A). \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let X be a bitopological space. If every (i, j) - ω -semiopen subset of X is τ_i -open in X . Then $(X, (i, j)$ - ω -SO(X)) is a topological space.*

Proof. 1. \emptyset, X belong to (i, j) - ω -SO(X)

2. Let $U, V \in (i, j)$ - ω -SO(X) and $x \in U \cap V$. Then there exists (i, j) -semi open sets G, H in X containing x such that $G \setminus U$ and $H \setminus V$ are countable. Since $(G \cap H) \setminus (U \cap V) = (G \cap H) \cap ((X \setminus U) \cup (X \setminus V)) \subseteq (G \cap (X \setminus U)) \cup (H \cap (X \setminus V))$ implies that $(G \cap H) \setminus (U \cap V)$ is a countable set and by hypothesis, the intersection of two (i, j) -semi open set is (i, j) -semi open. Hence $U \cap V \in (i, j)$ - ω -SO(X).

3. The union follows directly. \square

3 (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous functions

Definition 3.1. *A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be a (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous, if the inverse image of every σ_i -open set of Y is (i, j) - ω -semiopen in (X, τ_1, τ_2) , where $i \neq j$, $i, j=1, 2$.*

Definition 3.2. *A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be a (i, j) -semicontinuous, if the inverse image of every σ_i -open set of Y is (i, j) -semiopen in (X, τ_1, τ_2) , where $i \neq j$, $i, j=1, 2$.*

Theorem 3.3. *Every (i, j) -semicontinuous function is (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous.*

Proof. The proof follows from the the fact that every (i, j) -semiopen set is (i, j) - ω -semiopen. \square

However, the converse may be false.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, X\}$, $\sigma_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, X\}$. Then the identity function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous but not (i, j) -semicontinuous.

Theorem 3.5. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous;
- (2) For each point $x \in X$ and each σ_i -open set F in Y such that $f(x) \in F$, there is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set A in X such that $x \in A$, and $f(A) \subset F$;
- (3) The inverse image of each σ_i -closed set in Y is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed in X ;
- (4) For $A \subseteq X$, $f((i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)) \subset \sigma_i\text{-cl}(f(A))$;
- (5) For $B \subseteq Y$, $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-cl}(B))$;
- (6) For $C \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Int}(C)) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(f^{-1}(C))$.

Proof. - (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $x \in X$ and F be a σ_i -open set of Y containing $f(x)$. By (1), $f^{-1}(F)$ is (i, j) - ω -semiopen in X . Let $A = f^{-1}(F)$. Then $x \in A$ and $f(A) \subset F$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let F be σ_i -open in Y and let $x \in f^{-1}(F)$. Then $f(x) \in F$. By (2), there is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set U_x in X such that $x \in U_x$ and $f(U_x) \subset F$ implies $x \in U_x \subset f^{-1}(F)$. Hence $f^{-1}(F)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen in X .

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3): This follows from the fact that for any subset B of Y , $f^{-1}(Y \setminus B) = X \setminus f^{-1}(B)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let $A \subseteq X$. Since $A \subset f^{-1}(f(A))$, we have $A \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Cl}(f(A)))$. By hypothesis $f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Cl}(f(A)))$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set in X and hence $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Cl}(f(A)))$. Follows $f((i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)) \subset f(f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Cl}(f(A)))) \subseteq \sigma_i\text{-Cl}(f(A))$.

(4) \Rightarrow (3): Let F be any σ_i -closed subset of Y . Then $f((i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f^{-1}(F))) \subset \sigma_i\text{-cl}(f(f^{-1}(F))) \subset \sigma_i\text{-cl}(F) = F$. Therefore, the $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f^{-1}(F)) \subset f^{-1}(F)$. Consequently, $f^{-1}(F)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set in X .

(4) \Rightarrow (5): Let $B \subseteq Y$. Now, $f((i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f^{-1}(B))) \subset \sigma_i\text{-Cl}(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subset \sigma_i\text{-Cl}(B)$. Consequently, $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Cl}(B))$.

(5) \Rightarrow (4): Let $B = f(A)$ where $A \subseteq X$. Then, $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Cl}(B)) = f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Cl}(f(A)))$, and hence $f((i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(A)) \subset \sigma_i\text{-Cl}(f(A))$.

(1) \Rightarrow (6): Let $B \subseteq Y$. Clearly, $f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Int}(B))$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen and we have $f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Int}(B)) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(f^{-1}\sigma_i\text{-Int}(B)) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(f^{-1}B)$.

(6) \Rightarrow (1): Let B be a σ_i -open set in Y . Then $\sigma_i\text{-Int}(B) = B$ and $f^{-1}(B) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_i\text{-Int}(B)) \subset (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(f^{-1}(B))$. Hence, we have $f^{-1}(B) = (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(f^{-1}(B))$. This implies that $f^{-1}(B)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen in X . \square

Definition 3.6. The graph $G(f)$ of $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be (i, j) - ω -semiclosed in $X \times Y$, if for each $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$, there exists $U \in (i, j)$ - ω -SO(X, x), $i, j = \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$ and a σ_i -open set V of Y containing y such that $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.7. The graph $G(f)$ of $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is (i, j) - ω -semiclosed in $X \times Y$ if and only if for each $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$, there exists $U \in (i, j)$ - ω -SO(X, x), $i, j = \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$ and a σ_i -open set V of Y containing y such that $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$.

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of Definition 3.6. □

Theorem 3.8. If a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous function and (Y, σ_i) is T_1 $i = \{1, 2\}$, then $G(f)$ is (i, j) - ω -semiclosed.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$. Then $y \neq f(x)$. Since (Y, σ_i) is T_1 , there exist a σ_i -open set V and W of Y such that $f(x) \in V$ and $y \notin W$ and $V \cap W = \emptyset$. Since f is (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous, there exists $U \in (i, j)$ - ω -SO(X, x) such that $f(U) \subset V$. Therefore, $f(U) \cap W = \emptyset$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.7, $G(f)$ is (i, j) - ω -semiclosed. □

Definition 3.9. A bitopological space X is said to be a (i, j) - ω -semi- T_2 space, if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exist $U, V \in (i, j)$ - ω -SO(X) containing x and y , respectively, such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.10. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous injective function and (Y, σ_i) is a T_2 space, then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a ω -semi- T_2 space.

Proof. The proof follows from the definition. □

Theorem 3.11. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is an injective (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous function with a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed graph, then X is a (i, j) - ω -semi- T_2 space.

Proof. Let x_1 and x_2 be any pair of distinct points of X . Then $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$, so $(x_1, f(x_2)) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$. Since the graph $G(f)$ is (i, j) - ω -semiclosed, there exist a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set U containing x_1 and $V \in \sigma_i$ containing $f(x_2)$ such that $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$. Since f is (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous, $f^{-1}(V)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set containing x_2 such that $U \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Hence X is (i, j) - ω -semi- T_2 . □

Definition 3.12. A collection $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of (i, j) -semiopen sets in a bitopological space X is called a (i, j) -semiopen cover of a subset A of X , if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$.

Definition 3.13. A bitopological space X is said to be (i, j) -semi Lindeloff, if every (i, j) -semi open cover of X has a countable subcover. A subset A of bitopological space X is said to be (i, j) -semi Lindeloff relative to X , if every cover of A by (i, j) -semiopen sets of X has a countable subcover.

Theorem 3.14. If X is a bitopological space such that every (i, j) -semiopen subset is (i, j) -semi Lindeloff relative to X . Then every subset is (i, j) -semi Lindeloff relative to X .

Theorem 3.15. For a bitopological space X . The following properties are equivalent:

- (1) X is (i, j) -semi Lindeloff.
- (2) Every countable cover of X by (i, j) -semiopen sets has a countable subcover.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1): Since every (i, j) -semiopen set is (i, j) - ω -semiopen, the proof follows. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be any cover of X by (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets of X . For each $x \in X$, there exists an $\alpha_x \in I$ such that $x \in U_{\alpha_x}$. Since U_{α_x} is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen, then there exists a (i, j) -semiopen set V_{α_x} such that $x \in V_{\alpha_x}$ and $V_{\alpha_x} - U_{\alpha_x}$ is countable. The family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a (i, j) -semiopen cover of X and X is (i, j) -semi Lindeloff. Therefore there exists a countable subcover α_{x_i} with $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\alpha_{x_i}}$. Since $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [(V_{\alpha_{x_i}} - U_{\alpha_{x_i}}) \cup U_{\alpha_{x_i}}] = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [(V_{\alpha_{x_i}} - U_{\alpha_{x_i}}) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_{\alpha(x_i)}} U_\alpha]$. Since $V_{\alpha_{x_i}} - U_{\alpha_{x_i}}$ is a countable set, for each $\alpha(x_i)$, there exists a countable subset $I_{\alpha(x_i)}$ of I such that $V_{\alpha_{x_i}} - U_{\alpha_{x_i}} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_{\alpha(x_i)}} U_\alpha$ and therefore $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\bigcup_{\alpha \in I_{\alpha(x_i)}} U_\alpha) \cup (\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{\alpha(x_i)})$. \square

Definition 3.16. A bitopological space X is called pairwise Lindeloff if each pairwise open cover of X has a countable subcover.

Theorem 3.17. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous function. If X is (i, j) -semi Lindeloff, then Y is pairwise Lindeloff.

Proof. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be any cover of Y by σ_i -open sets. Then $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen cover of X . Since X is (i, j) -semi Lindeloff, there exists a countable subset I_0 of I such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} U_\alpha$. Therefore, Y is a pairwise Lindeloff. \square

Definition 3.18. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be:

- 1 (i, j) - ω -semiopen if $f(U)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set in Y for every τ_i -open set U of X .
- 2 (i, j) - ω -semiclosed if $f(U)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set in Y for every τ_i -closed set U of X .

Theorem 3.19. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) f is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen.
- (2) $f(\tau_i - \text{Int}(U)) \subseteq (i, j)$ - ω -SCI($f(U)$), for each subset U of X .
- (3) $\tau_i - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}((i, j)$ - ω -SInt(V)), for each subset V of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let U be any subset of X . Then $\tau_i - \text{Int}(U)$ is a τ_i -open set of X . Then $f(\tau_i - \text{Int}(U))$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set of Y . Since $f(\tau_i - \text{Int}(U)) \subseteq f(U)$, $f(\tau_i - \text{Int}(U)) = (i, j)$ - ω -SInt($f(\tau_i - \text{Int}(U))$) $\subseteq (i, j)$ - ω -SInt($f(U)$).

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let V be any subset of Y . Then $f(\tau_i - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(V))) \subseteq (i, j)$ - ω -SInt($f(f^{-1}(V))$). Hence $\tau_i - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}((i, j)$ - ω -SInt(V)).

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let U be any τ_i -open set of X . Then $\tau_i - \text{Int}(U) = U$. Now, $V = \tau_i - \text{Int}(V) \subseteq \tau_i -$

$\text{Int}(f^{-1}(f(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}((i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(f(V)))$). Which implies that $f(V) \subseteq f(f^{-1}((i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(f(V)))) \subseteq (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SInt}(f(V))$. Hence $f(V)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiopen set of Y . Thus f is (i, j) - ω -semiopen. \square

Theorem 3.20. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function, then f is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed function if and only if for each subset V of X , the (i, j) - ω - $\text{SCL}(f(V)) \subseteq f(\tau_i - \text{Cl}(V))$.*

Proof. Let f be a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed function and V be any subset of X . Then $f(V) \subseteq f(\tau_i - \text{Cl}(V))$ and $f(\tau_i - \text{Cl}(V))$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set of Y . Hence $(i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f(V)) \subseteq (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f(\tau_i - \text{Cl}(V))) = f(\tau_i - \text{Cl}(V))$. Conversely, let V be a τ_i -closed set of X . Then $f(V) \subseteq (i, j)\text{-}\omega\text{-SCL}(f(V)) \subseteq f(\tau_i - \text{Cl}(V)) = f(V)$. Hence $f(V)$ is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed set of Y . Therefore, f is a (i, j) - ω -semiclosed function \square

Definition 3.21. *A bitopological space X is said to be (i, j) - ω -semiconnected, if X cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint (i, j) - ω -semiopen sets.*

Definition 3.22. *A bitopological space X is said to be pairwise connected [5], if it cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets U and V such that U is τ_i -open and V is τ_j -open, where $i, j = \{1, 2\}$ and $i \neq j$.*

Theorem 3.23. *A (i, j) - ω -semicontinuous image of a (i, j) - ω -semiconnected space is pairwise connected.*

Proof. The proof is clear. \square

Received: March 2015. Accepted: May 2015.

References

- [1] S. Bose, *Semi-open sets, Semi-Continuity and semi-open mappings in bitopological spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 73(1981), 237-246.
- [2] H. Z. Hdeib, *ω -closed mappings*, Revista Colombiana Mat., 16(1982), 65-78.
- [3] J. C. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 13, pp. 71-89, (1963).
- [4] H. Maki, R. Chandrasekhara Rao and A. Nagoor Gani, *On generalizing semi-open sets and preopen sets*, Pure Appl. Math. Math. Sci, 49 (1999), pp 17-29.
- [5] W. J. Pervin, *Connectedness in Bitopological spaces*, Ind. Math., 29 (1967), 369-372.