Massive and painful ascites as a presenting manifestation of systemic lupus erythematosus flare: a case report and literature review
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ABSTRACT

Lupus peritonitis (LP) is extremely rare. Acute LP is characterized by rapid onset of ascites and severe abdominal pain, in addition to other well-recognized clinical features of a general systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) flare. Ascites associated with acute LP has been rarely reported as the prominent feature of a SLE flare. We report a 39-year-old woman who developed massive, painful ascites as the presenting manifestation of a SLE flare. Diagnostic workup ruled out the possibility of hepatic, cardiovascular, infectious, or malignant diseases, and confirmed the presence of a SLE flare. The patient was treated with methyl prednisolone and hydroxychloroquine resulting in dramatic improvement of her condition. During ambulatory follow up, she has remained asymptomatic up to the moment of this writing. Adrenal steroids and hydroxychloroquine may be useful for the management of SLE flares in patients with massive, painful ascites due to acute LP.

(Rev Med Chile 2014; 142: 255-260).
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Ascitis dolorosa y masiva como forma de presentación de una reactivación lúpica. Presentación de un caso

La peritonitis lúpica es rara. El cuadro agudo se caracteriza por ascitis de comienzo rápido, dolor abdominal severo y otras manifestaciones de una recidiva de un lupus eritematoso sistémico (LES). Sólo ocasionalmente e ha informado que la ascitis y peritonitis lúpica sean las principales manifestaciones de una recidiva lúpica. Presentamos a una mujer de 39 años que presentó ascitis masiva y dolorosa como la primera manifestación de una reactivación lúpica. El estudio diagnóstico descartó problemas hepáticos, pulmonares, cardíacos, cáncer o infecciones y confirmó la reactivación lúpica. La paciente se trató con metilprednisolona y cloroquina, resultando en una mejora dramática. Al momento de preparar este informe, la paciente permanece asintomática en control ambulatorio. Los corticoides y cloroquina pueden ser medicamentos útiles para el tratamiento de pacientes con ascitis dolorosa y masiva secundaria a peritonitis lúpica.
Serositis is commonly seen in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). While pleural or pericardial involvement is common, peritoneal involvement is relatively rare\(^1,2\). The lifetime prevalence of lupus peritonitis (LP) in a SLE patient is about 8-11\(^\%\)\(^3\).

LP may be acute or chronic and it usually does not produce massive ascites. Ascites due to acute LP typically is of rapid onset, is associated with severe abdominal pain and occurs during disease flares\(^3\). It has been rarely reported as the presenting manifestation of SLE.

We herein report a patient with a SLE flare who presented with massive and painful ascites.

**Case report**

On September 2011, a SLE was diagnosed in a 39-year-old woman when she presented with fever, joint pain, malar rash and positive serology. She was treated successfully with adrenal steroids. Prednisone was gradually tapered to less than 10 mg daily and the patient had no clinical or laboratory findings of active disease until August 2012. In September 2012 she voluntarily discontinued prednisone therapy. She was admitted to our hospital on October 13, 2012 because of progressive abdominal distension with pain, lasting 20 days. On physical examination she was afebrile, with a pulse rate of 100/min, a respiratory rate of 21/min and blood pressure of 131/100 mmHg. Heart sounds were normal, and there was no sign of heart failure or tamponade. Her abdomen was distended and diffusely tender, without palpable masses or organomegaly. Signs of ascites were present. She also had bilateral pleural effusion. Cardiovascular, nervous and musculoskeletal system examination was normal.

Laboratory showed an erythrocyte count of \(5.66 \times 10^{12}/L\), a platelet count of \(236 \times 10^9/L\), a total leukocyte count of \(6.56 \times 10^9/L\), a neutrophil count of \(5.38 \times 10^9/L\), a lymphocyte count of \(0.71 \times 10^9/L\), with 81.98\% neutrophils and 10.88\% lymphocytes. Urine examination showed occult blood (++) , protein (+) and no cellular casts. Biochemical evaluation revealed a serum albumin at 32.1 g/L, liver function tests, creatinine kinase, amylase, lipase, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen and electrolytes were normal. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate was 2 mm/h and C reactive protein was 1.01 mg/dL. D-dimer, prothrombin time, and partial thromboplastin were normal. Serum levels of tumor markers were normal, but CA 125 was markedly increased at 133.8 U/mL (normal: 0–35 U/mL). Serum anti-tuberculosis antibody was negative. PPD skin test was negative. A peritoneal tap was performed, yielding ascites with characteristics of exudate (Table 1).

**Table 1. Laboratory findings of peritoneal and pleural fluids**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Peritoneal fluid</th>
<th>Pleural fluid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Mildly muddy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivalta test</td>
<td>Weakly positive</td>
<td>Weakly positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/L)</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (U/L)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effusion-serum protein proportion</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effusion-serum LDH proportion</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA (ng/mL)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA (U/L)</td>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleated cell count (× 10^6/L)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell classification</td>
<td>Lymphocytes prevailed with a few mesothelial cells</td>
<td>Lymphocytes prevailed with a few mesothelial cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytology</td>
<td>There were neutrophils, lymphocytes and mesothelial cells, but no tumor cells</td>
<td>There were neutrophils, lymphocytes and mesothelial cells, but no tumor cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultures for bacteria and tubercle bacillus</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Echocardiography revealed a normal pericardium, no pericardial effusion and normal ejection fraction. A plain abdominal X-ray did not show clear free gas and gas-fluid levels. Abdominal CT scanning showed massive ascites with no organomegaly or space occupying lesions and enlarged lymph nodes on October 15 (Figure 1A). CT angiography did not show obvious superior or inferior mesenteric artery stenosis or occlusion on October 15 (Figure 1B). Chest CT scanning showed bilateral pleural effusion and pulmonary infiltrates, without lymphadenopathy on October

Figure 1. (A) Abdominal CT scan showed massive ascites on Oct 15. (B) Mesenteric artery angiography showed that superior or inferior mesenteric arteries had not obvious stenosis and occlusion on Oct 15. The thick black arrow shows the superior mesenteric artery; the thin black arrow shows the inferior mesenteric artery. (C-D) Abdominal CT scan showed significantly increased ascites (C), diffuse edema and thickening of bowel wall (D) on Oct 25. (E-F) Abdominal CT scan showed obviously improved ascites (E), and bowel wall edema and thickening (F) on Oct 31.
16 (Figure 2A-B). The patient was treated with 0.5 g/day of ornidazole, 0.4 g/day of ciprofloxacin and 3 g/day of cefoperazone/sulbactam during seven days after obtaining bacterial culture specimens from her blood, throat and ascitic fluid. However, she experienced nausea and vomiting, her abdominal distension and pain did not subside, and ascites increased. A new chest CT on October 22 showed an aggravation of pleural effusion and pulmonary infiltrates (Fig 2C-D). A new abdominal CT on October 25 showed significantly increased ascites, diffuse edema and thickening of bowel wall (Figure 1C-D).

Further investigations showed markedly increased serum anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA) at 800 U/mL (normal: 0–12U/mL). The anti-

**Figure 2.** (A-B) Chest CT scan showed bilateral pleural effusion and pulmonary infiltrates on Oct 16. (C-D) Chest CT scan showed obviously aggravated pleural effusion and pulmonary infiltrates on Oct 22. (E-F) Chest CT scan showed remarkably improved pleural effusion and pulmonary infiltrates on Oct 31.
extractable nuclear antigen (ENA) screening were positive for antibodies to Sm, SSA and U1RNP. The anti-ribosomal P-protein antibody and anti-Ro-52 antibody were also positive, but anti-double stranded DNA, anti-SSB, anti-Scl-70, anti-Jo-1, anti-centromere protein B, anti-histone, anti-nucleosome and anti-cardiolipin antibodies were all negative. C3 complement was 0.65 g/L (normal: 0.8–1.6 g/L) and C4 was 0.05 g/L (normal: 0.1–0.4 g/L). Twenty-four hour urinary protein excretion was 360 mg/24 hours (normal: 0–120 mg/24 hours). A thoracocentesis was performed and the pleural fluid obtained was an exudate (Table 1).

No bacteria, fungi or anaerobes grew in throat swab, peripheral blood, ascitic and pleural fluid cultures. A SLE flare was suspected considering that the patient had polyserositis, lymphocytopenia, hypocomplementemia and positive ANA, Sm and SSA. Thereafter, the patient was treated with 40 mg/day of methylprednisolone, 0.2 g/day of oral hydroxychloroquine and supportive management. Seven days later, her abdominal pain and distension markedly improved. On October 31, a CT scanning of the abdomen and chest showed marked improvements in ascites, pleural effusion, pulmonary infiltrates, and bowel wall edema and thickening (Figure 1E-F and 2E-F).

The patient was discharged from our hospital on November 2 and then followed up at the outpatient clinic. A combination of 10 mg/day of prednisolone and 0.2 g/day of oral hydroxychloroquine and supportive management. Seven days later, her abdominal pain and distension markedly improved. On October 31, a CT scanning of the abdomen and chest showed marked improvements in ascites, pleural effusion, pulmonary infiltrates, and bowel wall edema and thickening (Figure 1E-F and 2E-F).

The patient was discharged from our hospital on November 2 and then followed up at the outpatient clinic. A combination of 10 mg/day of prednisolone and 0.2 g/day of oral hydroxychloroquine and supportive management. Seven days later, her abdominal pain and distension markedly improved. On October 31, a CT scanning of the abdomen and chest showed marked improvements in ascites, pleural effusion, pulmonary infiltrates, and bowel wall edema and thickening (Figure 1E-F and 2E-F).

Discussion

The reported patient had massive and painful ascites of rapid-onset as her prominent manifestation of a SLE flare in the absence of other well-recognized clinical features for SLE and common causes for ascites. Moreover, the patient had widespread abdominal pain, a phenomenon usually due to an acute abdomen or abdominal infection. An extensive investigation showed that the patient had normal cardiovascular and hepatic function and discarded acute abdomen, abdominal infection, tuberculous peritonitis and peritoneal malignancy. Urinalysis showed proteinuria, but there were no elevation of blood urea nitrogen, oliguria or anuria, and twenty-four hour urinary protein collection was less than 500 mg/24 hours. Thus, her ascites was most probably due to acute LP and not related to the mild renal dysfunction. In addition, the serum level of CA125 was markedly increased. There are reports of CA125 elevation in SLE patients. Compared with patients with normal values, those with elevated CA125 had significantly more serositis and lung involvement.

The pathogenesis of LP is still not entirely clear but it is generally considered to be associated with a vasculitis of the peritoneum. Immune complex deposition and complement activation are two critical triggering factors that have been suggested. These factors may cause a vessel hyperpermeability, resulting in the formation of an exudate.

Although we could not obtain a peritoneal biopsy in our case, diffuse bowel wall edema and thickening were noted on the abdominal CT scan. Gastrointestinal infection is probably the most common cause of bowel wall thickening and usually diagnosed clinically. Some bacterial causes include Escherichia coli, Shigella, Salmonella, Yersinia and Campylobacter. Viral causes include Rotavirus, Herpes, Cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus. In addition, pulmonary infiltrates occur as a manifestation of active SLE and are difficult to distinguish from secondary pulmonary infection on imaging studies. In the present case, the patient did not have fever, diarrhea, cough and chest pain and the microbial cultures were all negative. Thus, her effusions were most probably due to SLE and not related to gastrointestinal and respiratory infections. When gastrointestinal involvement is associated with SLE activity, the edema and thickening of bowel wall is considered a characteristic sign of lupus mesenteric vasculitis. Since the bowel wall and mesentery are both covered by peritoneum, this finding strongly suggests the presence of LP. Furthermore, autoantibodies and low levels of complement were detected in the serum of this patient. Finally, the massive ascites and severe abdominal pain responded dramatically to steroid therapy. Therefore, ascites in this case was most probably caused by LP resulting from immune complex deposition and complement activation in the peritoneum.

According to the literature, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and antimalarials...
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