EFFECT OF LOW CONCENTRATION OF DHPC ON THE DPPC/SM BILAYER
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ABSTRACT

The organization of bilayers depends on the physicochemical and structural properties of the molecules that compose the membrane. The phospholipid molecular shape depends on the relative volumes of their polar headgroup and apolar acyl chains. Depending on their relative volumes, phospholipids can adopt molecular shapes such as cylindrical, conical or inverted cone. Packing of phospholipids with different molecular shapes can generate different supramolecular organizations, such as micellar, lamellar, hexagonal and cubic phases1, 2. Different molecular packing of mixed lipids, with different shapes, induces heterogeneous lateral organization, forming microdomains called lipid rafts3, 4. Moreover, lipid rafts induce spontaneous curvature in the membrane4, 5. Short-chain phospholipids, such as dihexanoylphosphatidylcholine (DHPC), present an inverted cone shape. This feature allows to generate structures with high mobility and curvature, such as micelles. In the last two decades, bicellar systems have become a useful membrane mimetic since it provides an oriented media for the study of molecules with biological interest, using solid-state and solution NMR6, 11, 12. Bicellar systems are formed from mixtures of the dihexanoylphosphatidylcholine (DHPC) and dimiristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC)6, 12 and occasionally, dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC)6, 13-15. On the other hand, it has been reported that adding a third lipid, with propensity to form a non-lamellar phase, to the DPPC/SM mixture, increases the permeation of cytolitic proteins16, 17. Additionally, lipid packing defects seems to be essential for the membrane adsorption of various membrane proteins18, 19. In this work, we study the effect of low concentration of DHPC over the dynamics of bilayer components in large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) formed with different concentrations of DPPC/SM/DHPC, using fluorescence spectroscopy and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.

INTRODUCTION

Biological membranes are mainly a lipid bilayer in the liquid-crystalline phase. The organization of the bilayer depends on the physicochemical and structural properties of the molecules that compose the membrane. The phospholipid molecular shape depends on the relative volumes of their polar headgroup and apolar acyl chains. Depending on their relative volumes, phospholipids can adopt molecular shapes such as cylindrical, conical or inverted cone. Packing of phospholipids with different molecular shapes can generate different supramolecular organizations, such as micellar, lamellar, hexagonal and cubic phases1, 2. Different molecular packing of mixed lipids, with different shapes, induces heterogeneous lateral organization, forming microdomains called lipid rafts3, 4. Moreover, lipid rafts induce spontaneous curvature in the membrane4, 5. Short-chain phospholipids, such as dihexanoylphosphatidylcholine (DHPC), present an inverted cone shape. This feature allows to generate structures with high mobility and curvature, such as micelles. In the last two decades, bicellar systems have become a useful membrane mimetic since it provides an oriented media for the study of molecules with biological interest, using solid-state and solution NMR6, 11, 12. Bicellar systems are formed from mixtures of the dihexanoylphosphatidylcholine (DHPC) and dimiristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC)6, 12 and occasionally, dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC)6, 13-15. On the other hand, it has been reported that adding a third lipid, with propensity to form a non-lamellar phase, to the DPPC/SM mixture, increases the permeation of cytolitic proteins16, 17. Additionally, lipid packing defects seems to be essential for the membrane adsorption of various membrane proteins18, 19. In this work, we study the effect of low concentration of DHPC over the dynamics of bilayer components in large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) formed with different concentrations of DPPC/SM/DHPC, using fluorescence spectroscopy and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.

EXPERIMENTAL

All lipids (DPPC, DHPC and SM) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). They were 99% pure and used as received. Laurdan and 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (DPH) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The samples were prepared from DPPC, SM and DHPC stock solutions in chloroform/methanol (4:1). Aliquots of the different solutions were mixed to obtain four systems with the following DPPC/SM/DHPC proportions: A) 85/15/0; B) 80/15/5; C) 75/15/10; D) 70/15/15 mol %. Samples were poured into a glass vial and evaporated to dryness under a nitrogen flow. Multilamellar vesicles (MLV) were obtained by hydration with Tris-HCl 20mM and 140mM NaCl buffer solution to give a final lipid concentration of 900 μM. Large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) were prepared by extruding the MLV suspension. Ten extrusions were performed each time. The extruder was used with polycarbonate filter with 400 nm pores size (Merck Millipore). Steady-state Laurdan fluorescence emission was acquired from 365 nm to 600 nm with an excitation wavelength of 350 nm. Laurdan’s Generalized Polarization (GP) was calculated from the following equation20, 21:

\[
GP = \frac{I_{440} - I_{490}}{I_{440} + 2I_{490}}
\]

where \(I_{440}\) and \(I_{490}\) correspond to fluorescence intensities at those wavelengths.

DPH fluorescence anisotropy was obtained using Perkin-Elmer LS-55 spectrophotofluorometer with polarizer attachment. Samples were prepared as described above. The excitation wavelength was 355 nm and the emission was observed at 455 nm. Steady-state anisotropy (r) was determined in L-format and was obtained directly from LS software, using the equation22:

\[
r = \frac{I_{yy} - GI_{yy}}{I_{yy} + 2GI_{yy}}
\]

where \(I_{yy}\) and \(I_{yy}\) are the parallel and perpendicular polarized fluorescence intensities, measured with a vertically polarized excitation light; \(I_{yy}\) and \(I_{yy}\) are the fluorescence intensities, measured with the excitation light horizontally polarized, and G is the monochromator correction factor, given by \(G = I_{yy}/I_{yy}\).

Molecular Dynamics. Four computational boxes were built. Table 1 shows the composition of all systems. The bilayer was solvated with 3611 SPC water molecules22. All MD simulations were performed using the program package GROMACS version 4.5.323 in the isothermic-isobaric ensemble. The employed force field was a combination of two potentials: the GROMOS96-53a624 parameters were used for most interactions of all atoms, i.e. bonds stretching, angles bending, torsions, out of plane bending, and Lennard-Jones interactions. For the Lennard-Jones interactions of the aliphatic chains, the force field developed by Berger et al. was employed25. The united atom approximation was used for all hydrogen atoms in the aliphatic chains. The Ryckaert–Belleman26 potential function was employed to calculate the potential energy of the aliphatic chain torsions. LINCS27 was used to constrain the bond lengths of the surfactant chains and SETTLE28 to restrict the structure of the water molecules. A 1 ns cut-off was used for the Lennard–Jones potential and the real space electrostatic interactions. Long range electrostatic interactions were calculated using PME29. To maintain the temperature and pressure at constant values of 310 K (water, salt and surfactant coupled independently) and 1 bar, the weak coupling algorithm of Berendsen30 was used, with time constants of 0.1 and 1 ps, respectively21. The time step size in all simulations was 2 fs. The neighbor list was updated every 10 time steps. Trajectories of 250 ns were calculated for all systems. Analyses of trajectories were performed over the last 50 ns of simulations. Additionally, packing defects at the membrane surface was evaluated calculating the accessible solvent area (ASA) of the lipid headgroups and acyl chains, with the program NACCESS31, using a probe radius of 0.14 nm. The ASA was evaluated on the two leaflets of the bilayer.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1a shows the emission spectra of Laurdan dissolved into LUV in system C at 25°C and 47°C. Laurdan is composed of a naphthalene molecule substituted at the 2-position by dimethylamino and at the 6-position by a lauroyl group. This structure produces a higher dipole moment during the excitation process in the naphthalene moiety. Increasing of dipole moment in the excited state induces a reorientation of dipoles of the solvent. Laurdan’s hydrophobic chain allows the solubilization in the bilayer, while the dimethylamine group is located at the interface. Thus, the naphthalene moiety resides at the level of glycerol in contact with the solvent molecules. In the gel phase, the Laurdan’s dipolar relaxation is produced in the lipidic environment by a few water molecules, showing an emission maximum centered at 440nm. In the liquid crystalline state, the larger amount of water molecules present in the Laurdan environment induces a relaxation with an emission maximum centered at 490 nm.

Fig. 1b displays Laurdan’s GP as a function of temperature for the four vesicle systems studied. In figure 1b three regions can be observed. First, a region below 40°C associated to high GP values, but in continuous descent with increasing temperature; second, a region between 40°C and 43°C, where a fast decrease of GP is observed; and third, over 43°C, where a progressive decline of GP is observed. As it has been reported, Laurdan is sensitive to the degree of water penetration into the membrane. GP values above 0.5 and around 0.0 are associated to the gel phase of DPPC and SM, respectively. System A presents GP values in the first region around 0.3. Considering that the mixture DPPC/SM does not form microdomains, this result indicates that Laurdan is located in a bilayer arrangement, with water penetration somewhere between pure DPPC and pure SM systems. The second region of the same system allows determining the phase transition temperature. For system A, Tm is 42°C. Above 42°C GP decreases to values between 0.0 and -0.1, typically observed for liquid crystalline state of DPPC and SM phases. In the presence of DHPC (systems B, C and D), GP values are, in general, lower than for system A, indicating that the penetration of water in these systems occurs in greater extension than in system A. Furthermore, the GP values decrease progressively with the increment in DHPC concentration, suggesting that short-chain lipids would induce a decrease in the bilayer packing due to the higher mobility of DHPC present at the lipid surface. In the first region of system D the GP values are around 0.05, which are near to the liquid crystalline phase of system A. This indicates that the dynamics of the lipids at the vesicle interface, in both systems, are similar. The temperature range over which the lipid state transition occurs, from gel phase to liquid crystal phase, is broadened. Above the Tm, systems C and D decrease their GP values reaching the same value at 52°C.

Figure 2 shows the anisotropy for DPH as a function of temperature for the four systems. Steady-state fluorescence anisotropy of DPH in the four studied vesicles as a function of temperature.

To sense the effect of DHPC over the hydrophobic region of the lipid bilayer, fluorescence anisotropy of DPH was measured. DPH is a hydrophobic rigid molecule with cylindrical symmetry, solubilized in the acyl chains region of the bilayer. When this probe is excited by polarized light, the dipole emits polarized light in a determined range of angles. Thus, in the gel phase, DPH will have a narrow distribution of angles due to a hindered rotational diffusion. This is observed as high values of fluorescence anisotropy. In the liquid crystalline phase, DPH will have higher rotational diffusion increasing the angles distribution, and therefore, decreasing the fluorescence anisotropy. Figure 2 shows the anisotropy for DPH as a function of temperature for the four systems. It represents a direct measurement of the order of the bilayer. Anisotropy values for system A are very similar to those found for pure DPPC. The increment of DHPC concentration in the lipid mixtures reduces the anisotropy values, indicating that the short-chain lipids diminish the packing of the lipids in the bilayer, increasing the fluidity of the membrane. Interestingly, the systems C and D present a liquid crystalline behavior at lower ranges of temperature.

To obtain detailed information at atomistic level, MD simulations of the four studied systems were performed. Table 1 shows the number of each species present in every simulation box, representing systems A to D. These numbers correspond to the experimental composition.

Table 1. Composition of simulated systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>DPPC</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>DHPC</th>
<th>H2O</th>
<th>Na+</th>
<th>Cl-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3611</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3611</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3611</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3611</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 (on the left) shows the top views of the normal to the bilayer surface for systems A to D. The hydrophobic tails are represented in green and the hydrophilic headgroup in blue, respectively. It can be observed that the lipid packing defects are more abundant in the systems with larger concentration of DHPC. To quantify how deep is the penetration of water in the lipid packing defects, we have calculated the accessible solvent area (ASA) for headgroups and acyl chains of the long-chain lipids in all systems (see Table 2). For ordered systems, as the gel phase, ASA values are expected to be lower because the lipid packing occurs in larger extension. The increment in the lipid molecular dynamics increases the bilayer fluidity, inducing larger defects in the lipid packing observed as greater values of ASA.

From the simulations, we observe that the ASA values increase with increasing DHPC concentration, suggesting that the extension of the acyl chains exposed to the solvent is produced by a higher fluidity of the bilayer.
Figure 3. Snapshot of top view of the four systems at 249ns of calculation. Atoms in the headgroups and acyl chains are shown in surface representation in blue and green, respectively.

These results are in agreement with those obtained for DOPC bilayers and with the experimental results obtained by Laurdan’s GP. Additionally, we observe that although DHPC increases the number and size of packing defects in the interfacial region, these defects are not necessarily located along the DHPC molecules, suggesting a global perturbation of the membrane.

Figure 3 (on the right) shows the partial densities, along the Z axis of the box, for DPPC, SM, DHPC, the solvent and the complete system, for the four boxes. Additionally, to evaluate the distance between lipids headgroups in the different leaflets (bilayer thickness) and the thickness of the interface, the solvent, choline and phosphate group mass distributions are presented for the different lipids in all systems. These results are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>ASA (nm²) Headgroups*</th>
<th>ASA (nm²) Acyl chains</th>
<th>Interface size (nm)</th>
<th>Bilayer Thickness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.321</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.425</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.492</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Headgroup includes the phosphatidyl choline of both long-chain lipids, and glycerol group of DPPC and ceramide group of sphingomyelin.

The increment in the interfacial thickness is explained by the observation that packing defects allow water molecules to penetrate deeper inside the bilayer.

The decrease in bilayer thickness could be explained based on the disorder induced by the DHPC lipids. Considering system A, the density profile for DPPC and SM clearly establishes the division between the two leaflets that compose the bilayer. This division progressively starts to disappear with the increase of DHPC concentration. This observation suggests that the increase of disorder in the bilayer facilitates the interdigitation between the two leaflets, reducing the membrane thickness.

Finally, the interior of lipid bilayer was examined by the calculation of order parameters. Figure 4 shows the order parameters for DPPC and SM, in systems A and D, as a function of the carbon position in the chain lipid. System A presents order parameter values typically observed for chain lipids highly ordered like those in gel phases. The calculated values are near to those reported for DPPC in gel state. The origin of the differences in $S_{CD}$ values for the first eight carbons could be explained based on the differential packing between glycerol and ceramide moieties in the DPPC/SM system regarding pure DPPC. On the other hand, the values of the order parameter obtained for system D are lower than those obtained for system A, suggesting that chains in system D are less ordered with values typically observed for liquid crystal state. This behavior is in agreement with previous reports.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The inclusion of DHPC in the bilayer induces important disorder on the lipid components of the membrane. Low concentration of DHPC induces packing defects over the interfacial and the hydrophobic region. Furthermore, considering that packing defects are not necessarily located near the DHPC molecules, their incorporation suggests a global perturbation of the membrane.
components. Finally, increasing the concentration of DHPC induces a liquid crystalline behavior in all the systems studied.
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