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**ABSTRACT:** The aim of this study was to observe whether the antibacterial effect of 2% chlorhexidine against Enterococcus faecalis in dentine is altered by previous irrigation with 5% sodium hypochlorite. Dentin discs were prepared with different irrigation protocols: group 1, immersed in 2% CHX for 25 min; group 2 immersed in 5% NaOCl for 25 minutes; group 3, immersed in 5% NaOCl, dried and irrigated with 3 ml of 2% CHX; group 4 and 5 immersed in 5% NaOCl, rinsed with 5 and 25 ml of distilled water respectively, dried and irrigated with 2% CHX. Group 6, immersed in 0.9% sodium chloride. Discs were then placed in agar plates in which *E. faecalis* was grown and the inhibition zone around each disc was measured after 24 hours of incubation at 37 °C. All experimental groups showed *E. faecalis* growth inhibition. The most effective irrigant was 2% CHX (P<0.05). Groups in which both NaOCl and CHX were used displayed significantly smaller inhibition halos as compared with 2% CHX. Different volumes of water for rinsing did not cause significant improvement in growth inhibition. The antimicrobial effect of 2% chlorhexidine against *E. faecalis* was significantly reduced when dentin was previously irrigated with 5% sodium hypochlorite despite of rinsing with different volumes of water.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The presence of microorganisms and their byproducts within the root canal system is the main cause of pulpal and periapical disease (Dametto *et al*., 2005). There are many microbes associated to the development of periapical lesions being one of the more studied *Enterococcus faecalis* (Stuart *et al*., 2006). *E. faecalis* is a Gram positive coccus from D group, facultative anaerobic (Denotti *et al*., 2009) and possess several defense mechanisms that allow it to survive the effect of intracanal irrigants and medication (Stuart *et al*.).

One of the main objectives to achieve during endodontic therapy is reducing the amount of microorganisms from infected root canals (Vianna *et al*., 2009). In the pursuit of this goal it is imperative the elimination of necrotic tissue and infected debris by irrigation and instrumentation. A series of irrigant solutions have been accepted for use in endodontic therapy. The action mechanism can be both mechanical, by dragging debris out of the root canal, or chemical through dissolution of necrotic tissue and dentin detoxification (El Karim *et al*., 2007). The ideal irrigant is one that shows antimicrobial activity, soft tissue dissolving capacity and smear layer removal without toxic side effects (Oliveira *et al*., 2006). Unfortunately, to date such irrigant does not exist, making it therefore necessary to combine or alternate different irrigant solutions to fulfill clinical needs.

Among irrigants available sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) has been accepted as the main irrigant during root canal treatment for decades (Bystrom & Sandqvist, 1983; Vienna *et al*., 2004), being used at different concentrations (from 0.5% up to 5.25% or higher) and
its main property is the ability of dissolving organic tissue (Mohammadi & Abott, 2009). NaOCl is effective in killing bacteria, spores, yeast and virus in vitro (El Karim et al.). However, E. faecalis can survive the action of sodium hypochlorite at low concentrations (Mohammadi & Abott). The main disadvantage of NaOCl is its toxicity for periradicular tissues once it goes beyond the limits of the root canal, or when it accidentally makes contact with oral mucosa causing pain and severe inflammation associated with tissue destruction (Oliveira et al.).

In an effort to bypass NaOCl’s adverse effects bearing in mind its limitations, the use of other irrigant solutions has been proposed. One of the more popular complementary irrigation agents is chlorhexidine (CHX) (Dametto et al.). Chlorhexidine digluconate is a substance widely used as oral rinse for prevention and treatment of periodontal disease and caries (Oliveira et al.). Its main characteristics are the wide spectrum antimicrobial effect, sustantivity, and relative low toxicity (Sassone et al., 2008). As well as NaOCl, CHX has been used in several concentrations providing bacteriostatical or bactericidal effect depending on the microorganism sensitivity (Mohammed & Abott).

Chlorhexidine is unable of dissolving organic tissue. For this reason it is not recommended to be used as single irrigant during endodontic therapy. Although it seems reasonable to use both irrigant solutions (NaOCl and CHX) to maximize the benefits of each irrigant alone, it has been demonstrated that the combination of them will cause a chemical reaction that results in the formation of a precipitate (Parachloroaniline PCA) with low antimicrobial properties, as well as toxicity, potential staining of tooth structure and it also interferes with adaptation of root canal filling materials to dentin. Therefore, their combination inside the root canal should be avoided (Basrani et al., 2007; Marchesan et al., 2007; Mohammadi & Abbott).

Antibiogram testing allows evaluation of the sensitivity of microorganisms to different antibiotic agents. Impregnating sensitivity discs with the testing agent on the culture media causes a halo of microbial growth inhibition. The size of this halo shows how effective is the tested agent. Given that sensitivity of microorganisms to chlorhexidin depends on its concentration, using dentin to create sensitivity discs could allow observation as to whether its effect changes with a previous NaOCl irrigation.

Thus, the objective of this in vitro study is to observe the antibacterial effect of 2% CHX on E. faecalis in dentine with and without previous NaOCl irrigation using antibiogram testing.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

For the purpose of this study, forty-five caries-free third molars were collected from private practice dental offices in Valdivia, Chile and kept in methyl alcohol until their use. From these, ninety dentin discs (2.5 mm thick, 4.4 mm diameter) were cut off from the crown (roof of pulp camera) perpendicular to tooth axis with a low speed saw (Iso Met) and shaped with high speed water cooled cylindric burs. After cutting, discs were immersed in 10% etilendiaminotetracetic acid (EDTA) for 5 minutes, rinsed with distilled water and sterilized in autoclave at 134 °C for 20 min. Then left 20 min for air drying.

Discs were distributed in six groups, fifteen samples each:

- **Group 1** = Immersed in 2% CHX for 25 min and dried with absorbent paper.
- **Group 2** = Immersed in 5% NaOCl for 25 min and dried with absorbent paper.
- **Group 3** = Immersed in 5% NaOCl, for 25 min and dried with absorbent paper; then irrigated with 3 ml of 2% CHX.
- **Group 4** = Immersed in 5% NaOCl, irrigated with 5 ml of distilled water, paper dried and then irrigated with 3 ml of 2% CHX.
- **Group 5** = Immersed in 5% NaOCl, irrigated with 25 ml of distilled water, paper dried and then irrigated with 3 ml of 2% CHX.
- **Group 6** = Immersed in sterile saline (NaCl 0.9%) for 25 min and paper dried.

The irrigating solutions were 2% chlorhexidine digluconate without colorants (Salcobrand, Chile), 5% sodium hypochlorite (Hertz), 10% EDTA (Hertz) and 0.9% sodium chloride (Braun).

**Agar Diffusion Method.** The method of agar diffusion was used, the same manner as antibiogram testing,
replacing sensitivity paper discs for dentin ones. An innocuous of *E. faecalis* (ATCC 29212) was prepared at turbidity of 0.5 McFarland tube (equivalent to 1.5 CFU/ml) and seeded in 5% goat blood Muller Hinton agar plates.

Once seeded, prepared discs were placed on the plates (6 discs each) and incubated in aerobic environment at 37 °C for 24 h. After that the diameter of growth inhibition halo around each disc was measured.

For statistical analysis ANOVA test was used and Benferroni and Duncan post hoc.

**RESULTS**

All the samples from experimental groups showed bacterial growth inhibition around the dentin discs. The mean of measurements for each group are shown in Table I.

Control group (6) (0.9% NaCl) did not affect the growth of *E. faecalis* and no inhibition around the discs was seen.

A statistically significant superior antimicrobial effect was observed for the samples in group 1 (2% CHX) (Mean 18.4±2.0 SD) (P<0.05).

Groups 3, 4 and 5 showed more inhibition than group 2 (NaOCl 5%) (Mean 9.6±1.4 SD) (P<0.05).

Among groups 3, 4 and 5, the biggest inhibition was observed for group 5 (5% NaOCl + 25 ml H2O + 2% CHX) although the difference lacked statistical significance (P>0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

The results obtained from this study show that both NaOCl and CHX in dentin discs can inhibit growth of *E. faecalis* on the culture plates being the last one significantly superior (P<0.05). Dentin discs irrigated with both agents displayed minor inhibitory effect than that for 2% CHX alone (P<0.05). Despite irrigation with different volumes of water in samples of groups 4 and 5 (5 ml and 25 ml, respectively) after irrigation with NaOCl, the antibacterial effect did not show a significant improvement as compared with group 3 (5% NaOCl + 2% CHX) (P>0.05) although group 5 showed more growth inhibition among them.

As soon as discs from group 3 (NaOCl and CHX, no water rinse) where irrigated with 2% CHX, staining of dentine could be appreciated with intense brown-red color, as described in previous reports (Basrani *et al*.; Mohammadi & Abbott; Marchesan *et al*.). In samples from groups 4 and 5, despite water rinse, a change in dentin color could be observed although with less intensity. This change was more evident after the 24 h incubation period. This finding matches reports from Basrani *et al*., who showed PCA formation even at very low concentrations of both irrigants (NaOCl and CHX) using X-ray photon spectroscopy (XPS) and time-of-flight secondary ion mass Spectrometry (TOF-SIMS).

Our study corroborates the findings of Ferraz *et al*. (2007) who demonstrated that 2% CHX inhibits bacterial growth more than NaOCl at different concentrations. Likewise, we confirm reports from Vianna *et al*. (2009) who showed that inhibition halo is smaller when 2% CHX is combined with NaOCl at high concentrations.

**Table I. Variation range and mean diameter of bacterial growth inhibition halo around dentin discs, in millimeters in the different groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigant Solution</th>
<th>Bacterial Growth Inhibition</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2% CHX</td>
<td></td>
<td>15–22</td>
<td>18.4 (±2.0)</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% NaOCl</td>
<td></td>
<td>07–12</td>
<td>9.6 (±1.4)</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% NaOCl + 2% CHX</td>
<td></td>
<td>13–22</td>
<td>16.3 (±2.4)</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% NaOCl + 5 ml H2O + 2% CHX</td>
<td></td>
<td>13–19</td>
<td>16.2 (±1.5)</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% NaOCl + 25 ml H2O + 2% CHX</td>
<td></td>
<td>13–20</td>
<td>16.7 (±1.9)</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9% Sodium Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 (±0)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These observations however, are in contrast with reports from Kuruvilla & Kamath (1998) who found mayor antibacterial activity against E. faecalis when compared CHX alone and combined with NaOCl. It is important to understand that in their study they used CHX at a concentration of 0.2% for *in vivo* irrigation of root canals. At that concentration CHX may be ineffective to certain microorganisms because its effect is dose dependant (Mohammadi & Abbott). Besides, being an *in vivo* study, the presence of exudate within the root canals could have further reduced CHX action.

The precipitate formed after combining NaOCl and CHX has been defined as parachloroaniline (PCA) or chlorhexidine chloride (Kuruvilla & Kamath; Bastani *et al.*; Vianna *et al.*, 2009). According with the evidence available, such compound has lesser antimicrobial activity than high concentrated CHX (Ferraz *et al.*). and its presence inside the root canal might hamper the proper adaptation of endodontic filling (Mohammadi & Abbott). Therefore, it has been recommended to avoid that CHX and NaOCl are combined inside the root canal. Bearing in mind that NaOCl is the main irrigant solution for root canal therapy (Byström & Sundqvist) because of its proteolytic capacity, it has been suggested CHX to be a final irrigant after NaOCl has being rinsed out with serum or H$_2$O irrigation (Zehnder, 2006).

The results obtained from our study show that given the physic characteristics of dentine (tubular, organic/inorganic collagen network) it is unlikely that NaOCl can be completely removed from root dentine by rinsing and washing, rendering the mixture of these irrigants unavoidable.

Findings from *in vitro* studies must be carefully interpreted and extrapolated to the clinical environment. Dentin discs used for our purposes were cut off from coronal dentine of healthy molars. The bigger amount of tubules, therefore, permeability of dentin is not the same as in the root canal walls where the capacity of absorbing irrigants could be minor. On the other side, it has been demonstrated (Hsieh *et al.*, 2007) that irrigation of the apical third of root canals is limited for anatomic reasons and fluid exchange is limited to the access of the irrigation needle tip. This characteristic could favor the accidental combination of irrigants inside the root canal.

Additionally, sensitivity of microorganisms to antimicrobial agents *in vitro* is far greater than *in vivo* conditions. Inside the root canal microorganisms display several mechanisms to increase resistance and survival. For this reason it is desirable to use irrigants in higher concentrations available to provide an acceptable antimicrobial effect which in light of our results might be unachievable during clinical conditions because of inconspicuous PCA formation.

Considering that combination of NaOCl and CHX could be unavoidable in clinical conditions, it would be interesting to further study the long-term effect of PCA in dentin.

**CONCLUSION**

Growth inhibition of *Enterococcus faecalis* observed around dentin discs irrigated with 2% CHX was significantly reduced when dentine had been previously irrigated with NaOCl regardless of the volume of water used for washing.
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**RESUMEN:** El objetivo de este estudio fue observar si el efecto antibacterial de clorhexidina 2% contra *Enterococcus faecalis* en dentina puede verse afectado por la irrigación previa con hipoclorito de sodio al 5%. Se cortaron discos de dentina y fueron preparados de acuerdo a diferentes protocolos de irrigación: grupo 1, sumergidos en CHX 2% por 25 min; grupo 2, sumergidos en NaOCl 5% por 25 min; grupo 3 inmersos en NaOCl 5%, secados y posteriormente irrigados con 3 ml de CHX 2%; grupos 4 y 5 inmersos en NaOCl 5% por 25 minutos, lavados con 5 y 25 ml de agua destilada respectivamente, secados e irrigados con 3ml de CHX 2%. Grupo 6 inmersión en solución salina 25 min. Posteriormente, los discos fueron colocados en platos agar en los cuales creció *E. faecalis* y se midió el diámetro del halo de inhibición en torno a cada disco tras 24 h de incubación aeróbica a 37 °C. Todos los grupos experimentales mostraron inhibición de crecimiento de *E. faecalis*. El irrigante más efectivo fue CHX 2% (P<0.05). Los grupos en los que se utilizó CHX y NaOCl mostraron inhibición significativamente inferior a la observada con CHX sola. El uso de diferentes volúmenes de agua para lavado de la den-
tina no causó una mejora significativa en el efecto antimicrobiano observado. El efecto antimicrobiano de la clorhexidina al 2% contra E. faecalis fue reducido significativamente cuando la dentina se irrigó inicialmente con hipoclorito de sodio 5% a pesar del lavado con diferentes volúmenes de agua.

PALABRAS CLAVE: digluconato de clorhexidina, hipoclorito de sodio, combinación de irrigantes, Enterococcus faecalis.
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