Endoscopically Assisted Root Splitting (EARS): Method and First Results
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ABSTRACT: The trauma of surrounding bone structures during exodontia represents a major clinical shortcoming of conventional oral surgery. An alternative minimal invasive technique is presented to support aesthetic rehabilitation by conservation of alveolar bone walls. Endoscopically assisted root splitting (EARS) is a new technique for root removal without osteotomy. EARS consists of enlargement of the root canal, longitudinal root splitting, inward mobilisation and removal of the fragments under endoscopic control. In 24 patients (11 m, 13 f), aged 18-66 years, 8 central incisors, 6 lateral incisors, 5 canines and 5 bicuspids were removed using EARS. Endoscopic observation revealed complete maintenance of the buccal wall at its preoperative level, control radiographs showed no apical root remnants. EARS has revealed to be a valuable tool to avoid alveolar crest trauma during exodontia.
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INTRODUCTION

Tooth extraction although being a routine intervention still is associated with serious side effects including mechanical trauma of the surrounding alveolar bone and periodontal tissues. Irreversible loss of alveolar soft and hard tissue results, when in case of partial or complete ankylosis an osteotomy is performed.

The intraoperative trauma is one of the major factors determining the bone volume for later reconstruction with or without implants. Fig. 1 shows the impact which may be caused by conventional extraction on the maintenance of the alveolar bone contour. Alveolar bone lamellae are attached to the extracted tooth by local ankylosis and therefore lead to primary substantial bone loss which may exceed the amount of post extraction resorption by far.

In contemporary textbook theories (Reichardt, 1995), the main goal of exodontic surgery in case of given indication is the removal of the entire tooth irrespectively of collateral damage to the alveolar crest structures. Recently a shift in paradigms can be observed towards atraumatic surgical techniques in third molar surgery like odontosection (Genu et al., 2008; Arakeri et al., 2010; Ngeow, 2008), and partial removal of third molar crowns (Landi et al., 2010). This also applies to tooth removal in the anterior aesthetic zone, where buccal bone loss has a very high impact on implant treatment outcome (Al Harbi, 2011). Kim et al. (2010) reported a comparative study with partially erupted third mandibular molars. The authors concluded, that a flapless procedure with tooth sectioning instead of conventional flap based removal resulted in lower postoperative morbidity. Different studies have shown, that large defects of the original buccal plate, which have occurred due to periodontal disease or a traumatic extraction procedure do not heal completely, if a bone graft technique is not applied (Al Harbi, 2011; Araujo & Lindhe, 2005).

With the improved insight into small blood filled intraoral cavities (Engelke, 2002; Beltrán et al., 2011a; Beltrán et al., 2011b; Beltrán et al., 2012) it has become possible to use the visual information to reduce the osseous trauma in case of tooth removal with the goal...
to keep the alveolar trauma as low as possible. This was applied by Engelke et al. (2011) for removal of fully impacted third mandibular molars via an occlusal approach. They showed, that the buccal bone loss could be reduced to a mean of 2.1 mm in situations with complete retention. Similarly, Fuentes et al. (2012) reported the importance of support endoscopy in the removal of third mandibular molars to avoid inferior alveolar nerve damage and bone defect formation.

In the present report we describe the endoscopically assisted root splitting (EARS) technique which may serve as a basic tool for atraumatic tooth removal. As many other dental specialties make progress in their conservative approaches, EARS represents a conservative approach which does follow this trend in oral surgery.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Surgical procedure: EARS is performed under local anesthesia (4% articaine with 1: 100 000 epinephrine). The surgeon works in a 9 o’clock position observing the operation site on a video screen via a Storz Hopkins support endoscope (30 degree view angle, 2.7 mm diameter, Karl Storz Tuttingen, Germany) (Fig 2). The support endoscope is placed adjacent to the surgical site using the spatula of the support tube for maintenance of distance (Engelke & Capobianco 2004, Beltran et al., 2012). To obtain a precise view of the root anatomy, the crown is removed completely via transversal separation at the level of the gingiva.

RESULTS

Endoscopically assisted RS was applied in 24 consecutive patients with informed consent, 11m, 13 f, aged 18-66 years were operated on at the Dept. of Maxillofacial Surgery, GAU Göttingen, Germany, the Center for Oral Microsurgery, UFRO/ Temuco, Chile and at the dental treatment center of Bioimplants Laboratory at UNER/ Entre Rios, Argentina. 8 central incisors 6 lateral incisors, 5 canines and 5 bicuspids were removed using RS. Endoscopic observation revealed complete maintenance of the buccal wall at it’s preoperative level, control radiographs showed no apical root remnants.

DISCUSSION

The answer of oral surgery on modern day conservative dentistry when focused on tooth extraction can be but selective removal of those tissue components which are a risk of infection, cause pain, do not fulfill functional or esthetic tasks. Compared to conventional extraction procedures, the RS technique allows to protect the surrounding alveolous especially in the esthetic zone similar to the reports of Yalcin et al.
The splitting of the root makes it possible to remove the fragments without pressure against the surrounding tissues, if sufficient space inside the central part of the root is provided (Engelke et al. 2011), the absence of osseous and periosteal trauma may have importance when reduction of pain and swelling of the surrounding tissues is intended as shown by Kim et al. (2010). This needs to be evaluated in a further study after having demonstrated the feasibility of the technique in general.

EARS can be comprised generally similar to the procedure proposed by Al Harbi (2012) for anterior teeth although in our report no implants were placed. The simultaneous placement of dental implants in case of reduced bone volume may be a treatment of high risk. Combination with the socket preservation using various materials is possible and can be recommended according to the degree of intraoperative defect of the alveolus. In case of difficult root anatomy, a simple extraction of the root fragments is impossible, therefore the procedure must be changed from a conventional vision to endoscopic evaluation and if required to endoscopically assisted surgery. RS technique implies, that compared to conventional extraction no surgical manipulation of the alveolar walls, no force transmission towards neighbouring teeth, no incision and no osteotomy is necessary. It currently can be recommended for roots without complete ankylosis which may or may not exhibit periodontal disease, single tooth extraction with at least mobility grade 1.

Undoubtedly, all inflammatory or cystic tissue during tooth removal has to be eliminated in any exodontia as a main goal of treatment, in particular the apical aspect of the socket. Considering that the open flap procedure always causes postoperative discomfort of patients undergoing exodontia. Kim et al. (2010) showed, that flapless removal of third molares significantly reduced postoperative swelling, reduced use of analgesics and duration of pain.

The limited experience of this technical report gives evidence, that the height of the buccal wall by means of RS can be maintained. This is in accordance with previous reports of Engelke et al. (2011) in inferior third molars. Nevertheless as a disadvantage it shall be mentioned, that the time required for RS compared with osteotomy at present seems to be larger. Within the 24 interventions, no serious inflammatory complications could be observed. In contrast, the majority of persons treated this way, reported no hematoma and no swelling after RS.

The technique of Yalcin et al. (2009) mainly meets the conditions of our Type 1 Root sectioning: Enlargement of the root canal in conjunction with removal of the surrounding lamellae. Yalcin et al. included into their study only cases with at least 4 mm bone beyond the apex, this apparently was necessary to avoid dislocation of the root into the maxillary sinus or risk of nerve damage when removing the apical tip with rotating instruments.
The technical report presented here is part of an ongoing study in this field. Terfore we would like to encourage workgroups interested in this field to contact us to participate in a broader application of this promising approach to low trauma exodontia.

CONCLUSION. Root sectioning is a valuable aid to remove destructed teeth in the anterior esthetic zone without damage of the alveolar walls. Endoscopic control is essential for precise identification and removal of apical root fragments.


RESUMEN: El trauma de las estructuras circundantes al hueso durante la exodoncia representa una de las principales deficiencias de la cirugía cirúrgica oral convencional. Se presenta una técnica alternativa mínimamente invasiva para apoyar la rehabilitación estética mediante la conservación de las paredes alveolares óseas. La sección radicular endoscópicamente asistida (SREA) es una nueva técnica para la eliminación de raíz sin osteotomía. La SREA consiste en la ampliación del canal de la raíz, división longitudinal de la raíz, movilización hacia el interior y eliminación de los fragmentos bajo control endoscópico. En 24 pacientes (11 hombres y 13 mujeres), con edades comprendidas entre 18-66 años, 8 incisivos centrales, 6 incisivos laterales, 5 caninos y 5 premolares fueron eliminadas mediante SREA. La observación endoscópica reveló la mantención completa de la pared ósea bucal a nivel preoperatorios, y las radiografías de control no mostraron restos radiculares apicales. SREA se muestra como una valiosa herramienta para evitar el trauma de la cresta alveolar durante la exodoncia.
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