Sartorial Branch of Saphenous Nerve: Anatomical Relationship with Bony Landmarks and Great Saphenous Vein
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SUMMARY: Sartorial branch of saphenous nerve (medial crural cutaneous nerve) originates at the medial side of the knee and descends along the great saphenous vein (GSV) to innervate the medial aspect of the leg. Its anatomy is of concern in surgical procedures and anesthetic block. However, the measurement data related to palpable bony landmarks with comparison between sexes and sides are lacking. Dissection was done in 95 lower limbs from both sexes. We found that the nerve pierced the deep fascia alone in most cases (92.6%). This piercing point was always distal to the adductor tubercle with the distance of 5-6 cm which was 15% of the leg length (the distance between the adductor tubercle and medial malleolus). The nerve was 7 cm medial to the tibial tuberosity. At the mid-level of leg length, the nerve was slightly over 4 cm medial to the anterior tibial margin. The nerve terminally divided 7 cm proximal to the medial malleolus. Furthermore, the anatomical relationship between the nerve and the GSV was highly variable. The nerve was constantly anterior, posterior or deep to the GSV in 8.4%, 15.8% and 2.1%, respectively. Crossing between the two structures was observed in 57.9% of specimens and the distance to the medial malleolus was 18 cm. Symmetry was found in most parameters and significant gender differences were observed in some distances. These results are important for avoiding the sartorial nerve injury and locating the nerve during relevant procedures.
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INTRODUCTION

Saphenous nerve is the sensory branch of femoral nerve supplying the medial aspects of the knee, leg and ankle. It generally divides into 2 major branches, infrapatellar and sartorial, piercing deep fascia at the medial side of the knee. The infrapatellar branch courses anterolaterally distal to the patella. The sartorial branch (medial crural cutaneous nerve) descends along the great saphenous vein (GSV) towards the medial aspect of the ankle.

Clinical importance of the sartorial branch is documented. Since the nerve runs closely along the GSV in the leg, its injury has been reported after varicose vein surgery (Holme et al., 1990; Canonico et al., 2000). Moreover, harvesting of the GSV for coronary artery bypass grafting could injure the nerve (Nair et al., 1988). At the ankle, trauma of the sartorial branch due to arthroscopic portal placement has been reported (Ferkel et al., 1996). Blockade of this nerve combined with other nerves has also been done for ankle and foot surgery (Varitimidis et al., 2009). Therefore, knowledge of the anatomy of the sartorial branch is crucial to successful and safe surgical interventions.

The anatomy of sartorial branch related to tendinous or ligamentous landmarks has been studied (Dunaway et al., 2005; Sanders et al., 2007). More stable and palpable bony landmarks have also been used. The relationship between the point where the nerve becomes superficial (piercing point) and the medial epicondyle of femur was analyzed in 40 legs (Arthornthurasook & Gaew-Im, 1990). Dayan and colleagues located the piercing point using the knee fold and the medial malleolus as landmarks in 20 legs (Dayan et al., 2008). The distances from the sartorial nerve to medial epicondyle and adductor tubercle were measured (Wijdicks et al., 2010). The course of the distal saphenous nerve related to the medial malleolus was also described (Mercer et al., 2011). In addition, the related anatomy of the sartorial branch and the GSV was also reported (Dayan et al.; Veverková et al., 2011). However, more details in the anatomical course
of the sartorial branch, especially regarding sexes and sides, are needed for better locating the nerve during surgical procedures.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Ninety-five lower extremities from 48 formalin-fixed cadavers (31 males and 17 females) were included with an approval from the institutional ethics committee. One left female leg was excluded due to the flexion contracture of knee. The average age of cadavers was 70.5 ± 15.6 years (26-93 years).

The medial aspects of the knee, leg and ankle were carefully dissected to expose the infrapatellar and the sartorial branches including the GSV with their anatomical positions preserved with pins. The most prominent points of the adductor tubercle of femur, the tibial tuberosity and the medial malleolus were identified after removal of overlying skin and soft tissue when necessary for more accurate localization. These landmarks were marked with pins. The course of the sartorial branch from its piercing point at the knee to the terminal branching point at the ankle was followed. This was compared with the anatomy of GSV.

To obtain quantitative data, a digital caliper was used to measure the following distances from the sartorial branch to bony landmarks (Fig.1): distance from the piercing point to the adductor tubercle, distance from the piercing point to the medial malleolus, distance between the nerve and the tibial tuberosity, distance between the nerve and anterior tibial margin at the mid-level of leg length (distance from the adductor tubercle to the medial malleolus), and distance from the terminal branching point to the medial malleolus. Furthermore, the distance from where the nerve crossed the GSV to the medial malleolus was also measured.

Student’s t test was carried out to detect any significant differences in the above data between sexes and sides. p < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

The sartorial branch emerged from the deep fascia separately from the infrapatellar branch in most cases (88 specimens, 92.6%) (Fig.2a). In the remaining legs, the saphenous nerve emerged as a single trunk and later bifurcates (Fig.2b). These patterns were similar between sides in the same body in all cases except 2 females and 1 male.

The piercing point of the sartorial branch was posterior to the adductor tubercle in all cases. The mean distances from the piercing point to the adductor tubercle were 1.3 ± 0.7 (SD) cm in male and 1.1 ± 0.7 cm in female (Table I). The piercing point was always distal to the adductor tubercle with the distances of 5.7 ± 1.8 and 5.1 ± 2.1 cm in male and female, respectively. In addition, the piercing point was 31.6 ± 2.5 and 29.0 ± 2.5 cm proximal to the medial malleolus in male and female, respectively. There were significant differences in this measurement between sexes on both sides (p < 0.01). These distances from the nerve to the adductor tubercle and the medial malleolus were approximately 15% and 85% of the leg length, respectively, in
both sexes. There were no significant differences in the other measurements related to the piercing point between sexes or sides.

The sartorial branch was 7.4 ± 0.6 and 6.8 ± 0.8 cm medial to the tibial tuberosity in male and female, respectively. The differences between sexes were statistically significant on both sides (p < 0.01). At the mid-level of leg length, the distances between the nerve and the anterior tibial margin were 4.8 ± 0.8 and 4.4 ± 0.8 cm in male and female, respectively. A significant difference between sexes was seen only on the left side (p < 0.05).

The sartorial nerve in every case divided into terminal branches proximal to the medial malleolus. The distances from this point to the medial malleolus were 6.5 ± 2.8 and 7.2 ± 2.9 cm in male and female, respectively. When comparing to the leg length, these distances were approximately 17% in male and 21% in female. The differences between sexes or sides were not significant.

Regarding the relationship with the GSV, the sartorial branch was anterior, posterior or deep to the GSV at the piercing point (48.4%, 28.4% and 23.2% of cases, respectively). Along the courses of the nerve and vein, a constant anatomical relationship was observed in 25 lower limbs (26.3%). The nerve could be anterior, posterior or deep to the vein. In case of inconstant relationship, the most common pattern was the nerve initially locating anterior to the vein and later posterior to it (25 legs, 26.3%). The second
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**Table I Measurement data of the sartorial branch of saphenous nerve**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Distance (cm)</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Distance (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piercing point to AT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- posteriorly</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- distally</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve to TT</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve to TB</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP to MM</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing point to MM</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg length (AT to MM)</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SD. AT = Adductor tubercle of femur, MM = Medial malleolus, TT = Tibial tuberosity, TB = Anterior tibial margin, TBP = Terminal branching point. a p < 0.01 vs. corresponding side of female, b p < 0.05 vs. corresponding side of female.
most common was the nerve anterior and then deep to the vein (15 legs, 15.8%). These findings were similar between sexes. Symmetry in the relationship patterns between the nerve and vein was observed in 4 males and 7 females (22.9%).

The sartorial branch crossed the GSV in 55 specimens (57.9%) with the nerve deep to the vein in the majority of cases (40 of 55 legs). The distances from the crossing point to the medial malleolus were 18.2 ± 7.4 cm in male and 18.4 ± 6.4 cm in female. These were 52.7% and 57.1% of the leg length in average in male and female, respectively. The differences between sexes or sides were not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Our results showed that, in the majority of cases, the sartorial branch emerged from the deep fascia separately from the infrapatellar branch. The piercing point of the sartorial branch was about 1 cm away from the adductor tubercle posteriorly. This point was distal to the adductor tubercle in all specimens with the average distance of 5-6 cm. Furthermore, the piercing point was approximately 30 cm proximal to the medial malleolus. When compared to the leg length, the distance from the piercing point to the adductor tubercle was 15% and that between the piercing point and the medial malleolus was 85%. Dayan and co-workers reported the distance of 32 cm between the piercing point and the medial malleolus which was 89% of the distance between the medial malleolus and knee fold (Dayan et al.). Arthornthurasook and Gaew-Im, found that the piercing point was always distal to the medial epicondyle except in one leg. More distally, we found that the distance from the sartorial branch to the tibial tuberosity was about 7 cm. The tibial tuberosity has not been previously used as the landmark for studying the course of sartorial branch.

The anatomy of the sartorial branch at the medial aspect of the knee is important for avoiding the nerve injury in several surgical procedures (Kim et al., 2002). The nerve could be injured during meniscus repair (Austin & Sherman, 1993; DeHaven, 1999). There has been a case report of saphenous nerve trauma following medial knee joint injection (Iizuka et al., 2005). The nerve was also at risk during harvesting of hamstring tendons (Sanders et al.). Besides prevention of nerve injury, its anatomy is also crucial for effective anesthetic injection performed at the knee level (Benzon et al., 2005). The results from our study and Dayan et al., suggest that the sartorial branch become superficial approximately 30 cm proximal to the medial malleolus which is almost 90% of the distance from the medial malleolus to the knee. In addition, the nerve courses approximately 7 cm medial to the tibial tuberosity.

At the mid-level of leg length, we found that the nerve was slightly over 4 cm medial to the anterior tibial margin. This parameter has not been used in the previous studies and no other palpable landmarks at this region have been proposed. Its advantage is the easily palpable anterior tibial margin. However, the leg length (the distance between the adductor tubercle and the medial malleolus) must be determined first. These data can be applied to prevent the nerve trauma in any surgery involving the medial leg, for example, fasciotomy (Pyne et al., 2003).

When the nerve terminally divided, the distance from this point to the medial malleolus was approximately 7 cm (17-21% of leg length). The previous report described a slightly shorter distance of 6 cm (Dayan et al.). In contrast, Mercer and co-workers reported a mean distance of 3 cm from 8 cadavers (Mercer et al.). The reason of these conflicting data is unknown but could be due to the small number of specimens included in Mercer et al. Therefore, the distance was likely 6-7 cm and these data would be useful for successful nerve block at the ankle around the medial malleolus (Benzon et al.).

Concerning the relationship with the GSV, our results showed that the sartorial branch was anterior to the GSV at the piercing point in almost half of the cases (48.4%). The less common positions were the nerve posterior or deep to the vein (28.4% and 23.2%, respectively). Dayan and co-workers reported the incidences of 45%, 45% and 10% for the anterior, posterior and deep positions of the nerve relative to the vein at this point (Dayan et al., 2008). We found that the nerve was anterior, posterior or deep to the GSV for its entire course in 8.4%, 15.8% and 21% of specimens, respectively. Dayan et al., stated that the nerve was always posterior or deep to the GSV in 40% and 5%, respectively. Therefore, the incidence of constant relationship between the nerve and the GSV in our specimens was 26.3% compared with 45% in Dayan el al. As for the crossing between the nerve and the vein, we observed that the crossing occurred in 57.9% of cases with the nerve deep to the vein in the majority of these cases (72.7%). This point was about 18 cm proximal to the medial malleolus (53-57% of the leg length). In contrast, Dayan and colleagues found the crossing in 55% with the nerve superficial to the vein in 90% of cases. They also reported the distance of 23 cm to the medial malleolus which was 63% of the distance between the adductor tubercle and the medial malleolus.
The conflicting data between our and the previous studies indicate that variations in the anatomical relationship between the sartorial nerve and the GSV are high. The nerve could be anterior, posterior or deep to the vein and the constant relationship could be expected in less than half of the cases. The results of another study also supported this view (Veverková et al.; Carvallo & del Sol, 2011). One possible explanation for the conflicting results among studies is the different populations used. Our cadavers were Asian, whereas those of Dayan et al., and Veverková et al., were Hispanic and Caucasian, respectively. Knowledge of the anatomical relationship between these two structures is important because venous procedures, such as vein stripping and harvesting of venous graft for coronary bypass surgery, could injure the nerve (Nair et al., 1988; Holme et al.; Mountney & Wilkinson, 1999; Canonico et al., 2000). Therefore, special precaution is required for avoiding the injury of sartorial branch during these procedures as the nerve-vein relationship is highly variable.

As for side-to-side differences, symmetry was observed in most parameters in this study except the anatomical relationship between the nerve and the GSV. In this parameter, symmetry was found in only 11 cadavers (22.9%). Dayan et al., also found no differences between sides. In contrast, significant sex differences were observed in the distances between the piercing point and the medial malleolus, the nerve and the tibial tuberosity including that between the nerve and the anterior tibial margin. Dayan and colleagues similarly reported the sex difference in the distance between the nerve and the GSV resulting from the different thickness of the adipose layer (Dayan et al.). Despite with statistical significance, these differences were relatively small resulting in the limited clinical application.

In conclusion, we reported the anatomy of the sartorial branch of saphenous nerve related to palpable bony landmarks. The distances to the tibial tuberosity, anterior tibial margin and percentage of leg length, were also included. Differences in these parameters between sexes, but not sides, were detected. Furthermore, a highly variable anatomy of the sartorial nerve related to the great saphenous vein was observed. These data would be useful for avoiding nerve injury and locating the nerve during relevant procedures.
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