Can Formaldehyde Exposure Induce Histopathologic and Morphometric Changes on Rat Kidney?
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INTRODUCTION

Formaldehyde (CH₂O) is a flammable, colorless reactive, readily polymerized gas at normal room temperature and pressure, with a relative molecular mass of 30.03, and a pungent odor. Formaldehyde is soluble in water, ethanol and diethyl ether. Also, it is used in polymerized form (Paraformaldehyde) (World Health Organization, 1989).

Under atmospheric conditions, formaldehyde is readily photo-oxidized by sunlight to carbon dioxide. In the absence of nitrogen dioxide, the half-life of formaldehyde is approximately 50 minutes during the daytime; while in the presence of nitrogen dioxide, these drops to 35 minutes (World Health Organization).

There are various sources of formaldehyde, but the major anthropogenic sources which affecting humans are in the indoor environments (ARB, 1999). Other anthropogenic sources include direct emissions; especially from the production and use of formaldehyde (World Health Organization).

Although formaldehyde has recently been classified by the IARC as "carcinogenic in humans" (class 1), it is still widely used in anatomy and pathology departments for the fixing and conservation of biological tissues. Its use therefore raises the question of occupational exposure (Perdelli et al., 2006).
Its potential to act as an electrophile and act with macromolecules such as DNA, RNA and protein to form reversible adducts or irreversible cross-links (Gichner, 1995) makes it as a conventional tissue fixative (particularly in cadaver’s fixation). Acute formaldehyde exposure produces mainly mucosal irritation of the eye and upper respiratory tract in humans (Zwart et al., 1988) and a long-term exposure leads to the production of Histopathologic changes, even nasal tumors in rodents (Monticello et al., 1996).

Formaldehyde also causes pulmonary function impairment (Berbstein et al., 1984), asthmatics reactions in sensitized individuals (Burge et al., 1985; Gorski & Krakowiak, 1991) and histopathologic and morphometric alterations in testis and spleen (Golalipour et al., 2007; 2008).

Cadavers for gross anatomy laboratories are usually prepared by using embalming fluid which contains formaldehyde as a principal component. During the process of dissection, formaldehyde vapors are emitted from the cadavers, resulting in the exposure of medical students and their instructors to elevated levels of formaldehyde in the laboratory.

The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) has set a ceiling limit for FA at 0.3 ppm. In Japan, the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare has set an air quality guideline defining two limit values for environmental exposure to formaldehyde: 0.08 ppm as an average for general workplaces and 0.25 ppm for specific workplaces such as a formaldehyde factory (Ohmichi et al., 2006). Although there are many reports on indoor formaldehyde concentrations in gross anatomy laboratories, only a few reports have described personal formaldehyde exposure levels.

In the dissection lab, and during cadaver’s dissection, instructors of anatomy and medical students are exposed to formaldehyde vapor derived from fixed cadavers (Ohmichi et al.).

This study was designed to determine the morphohistologic changes of rat kidney tissue while all of the experiments were exposed to formaldehyde in the dissection lab and determining its relationship with the duration of exposure for 18 weeks.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

The study was performed in 2004 in the Faculty of Medicine, Gorgan University of Medical Science on 28 albin0 Wistar rats aged 6–7 postnatal weeks provided by the Iranian Pasteur Institute.

28 male Albino Wistar rats randomly divided into three equal case groups based on the differences between exposure periods:

- E1 -2h/day, for 2days/week for 18 weeks (2h/day, 2days/week).
- E2 -2h/day, for 4days/week for 18 weeks (2h/day, 4days/week).
- E3 -4h/day, for 4days/week for 18 weeks (4h/day, 4days/week).
- Control. There was a control group without any exposure that did not undergo any exposure.

Using a digital scale, the mean weights for each group were 252g (E3), 209g (E2), 222g (E1) and 195g (control group).

Approval for this study was gained from the Animal Care and Ethics Committee of the Gorgan University of Medical Sciences. The concentration of formaldehyde vapor was measured at the beginning, during and at the end of the study by means of Detector Tube and Dragger Pump (model 31, Dragger Company, made in Germany) after the covers of the cadavers were removed. The mean vapor concentration of dissection room was 1.5 ppm. The temperature of dissection room was 20-26 °C and the air pressure was 760-763 atm.

At non-exposure times, all groups were kept in laboratory animal house, which was far from the place of exposure with no formaldehyde detection. The animal house was ventilated and its temperature was kept around 21°C with air conditioner system and adequate light was prepared. All groups were fed with a standard similar diet (provided by Iranian Pastor Institute) two times a day (morning and afternoon); but water was available *Ad libitum*. The cages of the case groups were placed at a height the same as cadaver’s height with a distance of 15cm apart from them for 18 weeks, corresponding to time protocols mentioned above. During each period of exposure, the control group was kept in the animal house.

When the exposure period was finished, the whole experiments and control groups were anaesthetized with chloroform. After cervical delocalization, in each case, the abdomen was dissected and whole of the left kidney was extracted. Then specimens with 4 mm thickness were taken from the middle of each kidney. These specimens were fixed in "Formaldehyde buffer solution" for 48 hours.
After tissue processing and paraffin embedding, 10 sections from each specimen were cut at 4mm and stained with Hematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) staining was used. All of the sections were studied by OLYMPUS light microscope with multiple magnifications (40x, 100x, 400x).

Also Morphometric study including glomerulus’s area, Glomerulus’s diameter, outer and inner Proximal and distal tubule diameter was done by using Olisa software. The data were analyzed with SPSS (version 11.5) and compared by ANOVA (p<0.05).

RESULTS

Among all experimental groups (E1, E2 and E3) these non-specific changes were seen: mild congestion in the glomeruli, focal congestion and vacuolar (hydropic) degeneration of tubular cells of all experimental groups. Interstitial hyperemia was seen in the parenchyma without any inflammation or fibrosis in the interstitial tissue. (Figs.1-4) Mild congestion of cortical blood vessels was detected which was not specific. No remarkable abnormality in the cytoplasm and nuclei of renal parenchymal cells were observed. No histopathologic changes were seen among control group.

The Morphometric findings are depicted in Tables I and II. Mean ±SD of glomerulus's area in control, E3, E2 and E1 group were 10802.66±1038.18, 10759.50±1971.8, 10434.73±1763.76 and 10077.64±2068.788 micrometer respectively. Mean ±SD inner proximal tubule diameter in control, E3, E2 and E1 group were 16.16±2.49, 16.92±2.90, 16.31±2.79 and15.66±4.11 micrometer respectively. Mean ±SD of and inner distal tubule diameter in control, E3, E2 and E1 group were 15.96±4.47, 16.20±1.66, 16.96±1.63 and 17.45±3.26 micrometer respectively. According to morphometric Indices such as glomerulus's area, Glomerulus's diameter, proximal tubule diameter and outer distal tubule diameter there were not any difference between cases and control groups.

Fig 1. A. Control group: no histopathologic change has been shown. B. E1 group: Shows interstitial bleeding (†) C. E2 and E3 group: Vacuolar degeneration of tubular cells (Û) and glomerular congestion (ä). (H&E staining, X400).
DISCUSSION

This study showed that exposure to formaldehyde vapor in the concentration of 1.5 ppm, can not affect kidney except causing non-specific histopathologic changes such as: Mild congestion in the glomerules and blood vessels, vacuolar degeneration of tubular cells and interstitial hyperemia in the parenchyma. Our findings are in agreement with similar pervious studies were done by other researchers (AIHA, 1983; Dubreuil et al., 1976; Rusch et al., 1983; Wilmer et al., 1987).

In a study done by American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) in 1983, rats were exposed to 3ppm formaldehyde vapor for 6 hour/day, 5 day/week for 4 weeks which revealed no histopathologic changes in the kidney (AIHA).

Dubreuil et al. study on rats after 22 hour/day exposure to 1.6 ppm formaldehyde vapor for 90 days showed no histopathologic changes in the kidney. Rusch et al. found no histopathologic changes in the kidney tissue of rats exposed to 1ppm formaldehyde vapor for 22 hour/day, 7 day/week for 26 weeks.

The data obtained in the research on rats showed no histopathologic changes in the kidney while two groups of experiments were exposed to 10 and 20 ppm formaldehyde vapor for 8 hour/day, 5 day/week during 4 weeks (Wilmer et al., 1989).

Exposure of rats to formaldehyde concentrations of 0, 1, 10 and 20 ppm in a 6 hour/day, 5 day/week protocol for 13 weeks in Woutersen et al. study revealed histopathologic changes just in 10 and 20 ppm exposed groups, which this concentration was more than the concentration used in our study (Woutersen et al., 1987).

Wilmer et al. designed a 13-week experiment on rats which were exposed to 0, 1 and 2 ppm formaldehyde vapor for 8 hour/day, 5 day/week; Data revealed no histopathologic changes just in the kidney. Also, they designed another 13 week study in which rats were exposed to 2 and 4 ppm formaldehyde vapor intermittently (30 minutes exposure followed by 30 minutes non-exposure period) for 4 hour/day. Their comparison between two studies showed that the most important factor which determine the severity of histopathologic changes is the Vapor’s concentration other than accumulative dose (dose exposure duration) (Wilmer et al., 1987, 1989).

The morphometric results of this study did not any differences between cases and control groups, with regarding to these findings, morphometric results is adapted with histophatologic findings.

Considering to detailed study of formaldehyde kinetic in the human body and focus on it’s fast metabolism (less

Table I. Mean ±SD of glumerulus area and glumerulus diameter in rats of treatment and control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups (n=7)</th>
<th>M±SD Glumerulus diameter (µm)</th>
<th>M±SD glumerulus area (µm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>414.53±21.68</td>
<td>10802.66±1038.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 (2h/d,2d/w)</td>
<td>403.64±35.47</td>
<td>10759.50±1971.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (2h/d,4h/w)</td>
<td>398.81±54.25</td>
<td>10434.73±1763.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3 (4h/d, 4d/w)</td>
<td>392.53±35.85</td>
<td>10077.64±2068.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Mean ±SD outer and inner Proximal tubule diameter, Outer distal tubule diameter and inner distal tubule diameter in rats of treatment and control group (micrometer).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups (n=7)</th>
<th>Inner distal tubule diameter</th>
<th>Outer distal tubule diameter</th>
<th>Inner Proximal tubule diameter</th>
<th>Outer Proximal tubule diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15.96±4.47</td>
<td>27.20±7.58</td>
<td>16.16±2.49</td>
<td>44.31±4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 (2h/d, 2d/w)</td>
<td>16.20±1.66</td>
<td>27.03±3.18</td>
<td>16.92±2.90</td>
<td>42.43±4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (2h/d, 4h/w)</td>
<td>16.96±1.63</td>
<td>26.97±5.82</td>
<td>16.31±2.79</td>
<td>41.87±4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3 (4h/d, 4d/w)</td>
<td>17.45±3.26</td>
<td>26.46±4.17</td>
<td>15.66±4.11</td>
<td>42.83±5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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