INTRODUCTION

Undoubtedly, the knowledge of the buccal nerve anatomy is of extreme importance, not only for its anesthesia but also to carry out safe interventions in the retromolar region. Merril (1979) suggests that the branches of the buccal nerve are frequently cut during the incision for the removal of mandibular third molars but sensory changes in the buccal mucosa go unnoticed. MacGregor (1985) appears to support this view.

Initially, the buccal nerve presents an anterior trajectory, expanding between both bundles of the lateral pterygoid muscle. Then it keeps a descendent trajectory next to the medial face of the ramus of the mandible, to finally cross the retromolar trigone in direction to the cheeks (Alves & Cândido, 2007; 2008).

Sicher & Dubrul (1991), claim that the buccal nerve crosses the superior part of the retromolar fossa, and it is at this point that it can be reached for the anesthetic block. Although the authors who have dedicated themselves to the study of the buccal nerve anatomy mention the retromolar region, they do not describe in a practical way the relation between this region and the nerve.

Material and Method

In this study we used 10 hemi-heads (5 right and 5 left) from male and female adults from different ethnic groups. They were fixed in formol and belong to the Anatomy Laboratory at the Faculty of Dentistry in Araraquara - UNESP. These hemi-heads were dissected by lateral access preserving the buccal nerve in its trajectory related to the anterior margin of the ramus of the mandible until its penetration in the buccinator muscle. Next, we desinserted the masseter muscle so that all the ramus of the mandible were exposed. Then, the following measurements were carried out: from the base of the mandible until the buccal nerve and from the base of the mandible until the apices of the mandibular coronoid process. These measurements were accomplished with a Mitutoyo CD-6” CS digital paquimeter. The following average values were obtained: 32.26 mm (to the left side) and 32.04 mm (to the right side), from the base of the mandible until the buccal nerve and 59.09 mm (to the left side) and 58.95 mm (to the right side) from the base of the mandible until the apices of the coronoid process. We have concluded that normally, the buccal nerve crosses the anterior margin of the ramus of the mandible in an area which is above the superior half of the ramus of the mandible and also that the interventions in the retromolar region do not offer great risks of injury in the buccal nerve.
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penetration in the buccinator muscle (Fig. 1). Next, we desinserted the masseter muscle, so that all the ramus of the mandible could be exposed. Then, the following measurements were carried out: from the base of the mandible until the buccal nerve (Fig. 2) and from the base of the mandible until the apices of the coronoid process. These measurements were done using a Mitutoyo CD-6’’ CS digital paquimeter.

RESULTS

The values obtained in the left side hemi-heads are shown in Table I. The average distance obtained from the base of the mandible until the buccal nerve was of 32.26 mm and from the base of the mandible until the apices of the coronoid process was of 59.09 mm. The values obtained in the right side hemi-heads are shown in table II.

The average distance obtained from the base of the mandible until the buccal nerve was of 32.04 mm and from the base of the mandible until the apices of the coronoid process was of 58.95 mm.

Table I. Values obtained in the left side hemi-heads.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Distance from the base of the mandible until the buccal nerve (mm)</th>
<th>Distance from the base of the mandible until the apices of the coronoid process (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.10</td>
<td>59.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.02</td>
<td>69.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.10</td>
<td>56.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.80</td>
<td>52.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.30</td>
<td>58.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>32.26</td>
<td>59.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II - Values obtained in the right side hemi-heads.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Distance from the base of the mandible until the buccal nerve (mm)</th>
<th>Distance from the base of the mandible until the apices of the coronoid process (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.70</td>
<td>60.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36.65</td>
<td>68.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.85</td>
<td>54.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>54.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>57.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>32.04</td>
<td>58.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Although some authors do have suggested that the injury in the buccal nerve, which occurs during incisions for the removal of mandibular third molars are frequent,
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Hendy et al. (1996) concluded that the incisions up to 12 mm below the greater concavity of the oblique line could result in trauma to the buccal nerve. Taking into consideration that these authors have accomplished their job in edentated individuals, we believe that the oblique line is not a proper parameter to be used, since it is a structure which suffers modifications with the involution of the masticatory device. With the loss of the teeth, the oblique and mylohyoid lines can be situated at the edge level or above it (Alves & Cándido, 2008) therefore not being structures which are worth of being a reference point. Besides, as being an easily identified structure in patients, we choose to use the anterior margin of the ramus of the mandible as a remarkable point for the descendent trajectory study of the buccal nerve.

In our work we could observe that normally, the buccal nerve crosses the anterior margin of the ramus of the mandible in a region which is above the half of the distance existing between the mandible base and the apices of the coronoid process, so far from the retromolar region, which is where the incisions for the removal of the inferior third molars are carried out.

On average, the bucal nerve crosses the anterior margin of the ramus of the mandible in a region which is above the superior half of the ramus of mandible.

The interventions in the retromolar region do not offer great risks of injury in the buccal nerve.


therefore not mentioned (Merril; MacGregor), we do not agree with this statement. Unilateral paraesthesia of the buccal sulcus subsequent to trauma to the nerve, would suggest it to be a variation of the buccal nerve.

According to Singh (1981) the only report of postoperative paraesthesia of the buccal sulcus and lower buccal gingiva that was found in literature was related to an aberrant nerve arising from a small foramen in the retromolar fossa. This variation, in which the buccal nerve was thought to arise from the inferior alveolar nerve within the mandible, was also described by Turner (1864).

A similar variation in which both the buccal and mylohyoid nerves branched from the inferior alveolar nerve within the mandible and passed through accessory foramina, was found during the dissection of a cadaver of Southern Chinese origin and was reported by Jablonski et al. (1985).

Hendy et al. (1996) concluded that the incisions up to 12 mm below the greater concavity of the oblique line could result in trauma to the buccal nerve. Taking into consideration that these authors have accomplished their job in edentated individuals, we believe that the oblique line is
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