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SUMMARY: The mylohyoid muscle plays an important role in chewing, swallowing, respiration and phonation, being the mylohyoid nerve also closely related to these important functions. It has been postulated that the mylohyoid nerve might have a role in the sensory innervation of the chin and the lower incisor teeth while the role of the mylohyoid nerve in the mandibular posterior tooth sensation is still a controversial issue. Although variations in the course of the mylohyoid nerve in relation to the mandible are frequently found on the dissecting room, they have not been satisfactorily described in the anatomical or surgical literature. It is well known that variations on the branching pattern of the mandibular nerve frequently account for the failure to obtain adequate local anesthesia in routine oral and dental procedures and also for the unexpected injury to branches of the nerves during surgery. Also, anatomical variations might be responsible for unexpected and unexplained symptoms after a certain surgical procedure. We describe the presence of a communicating branch between the mylohyoid and lingual nerves in an adult male cadaver, and discuss its clinical/surgical implications as well as its possible role on the sensory innervation of the tongue. The present study reinforces the idea of a communicating branch between the mylohyoid and lingual nerves, indicating that some of the sensory components of the MHN, instead of innervating the teeth or chin skin, might also innervate the tongue and surgeons might be aware of this variation for the correct interpretation of the unexpected findings after oral nerves injury.
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INTRODUCTION

The mylohyoid nerve (MHN) is a branch of the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN), which comes 14.7 mm above the mandibular foramen (Wilson et al., 1984). The nerve then courses downward and anteriorly within the mylohyoid groove on the medial surface of the mandible. The nerve courses anteriorly to parallel the mylohyoid muscle, releasing branches that provide motor innervation to the mylohyoid and anterior belly of the digastric muscles (Clark et al., 1999). The mylohyoid muscle plays an important role in chewing, swallowing, respiration and phonation (Ren & Mu, 2005), being the MHN also closely related to these important functions. Although the MHN is generally considered to be a motor nerve, it has a sensory component that continues beyond the mylohyoid muscle and anterior belly of digastric

Although variations in the course of the MHN in relation to the mandible are frequently found on the dissecting room, they have not been satisfactorily described in the anatomical or surgical literature (Arensburg & Nathan, 1979; Kim et al., 2004), and it is well known that this information is
of academic interest and also of practical value for oral and maxillofacial surgeons. Also, one of the major complications of a number of oral and maxillofacial surgery procedures is the injury of the lingual nerve (LN). Because of its anatomical location, it may be damaged during third molar extraction, periodontal procedures, mandibular trauma management and excision of neoplastic lesions (Behnia et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2004). Another cause of LN injury may be the needle puncture during local anesthesia or suture (Chossegros et al., 2002; Kim et al.). The major reason for this problem lies in the anatomic variations of the LN and the inability of the surgeons to know its precise location (Behnia et al.).

It is well known that variations on the branching pattern of the mandibular nerve frequently account for the failure to obtain adequate local anesthesia in routine oral and dental procedures (Jablonski et al.; Krafft & Hickel, 1994) and also for the unexpected injury to branches of the nerves during surgery (Jablonski et al.; Adjei & Hammersley; Pogrel & Thamby, 1999). Also, anatomical variations might be responsible for unexpected and unexplained symptoms after a certain surgical procedure. In this way, the aim of the present study is to describe the presence of a communicating branch between the mylohyoid and lingual nerves, and to discuss its clinical/surgical implications.

CASE REPORT

An adult, black, male cadaver, aged 55 years, fixed in 10% formalin solution, was used in this study. The trigeminal nerve of the right side and its branches, from a lateral view (Fig. 1A) were gently dissected and the MHN was exposed in its entire length. In addition, the IAN was carefully dissected free, after the removal of the mandible ramus, to its entrance on the mandibular foramen and, afterwards, part of the mandible bone was removed in order to achieve a better exposure of the LN through this lateral approach and also to follow the IAN into its intramandibular course. During the dissection, immediately after the IAN entered the inferior alveolar canal, the MHN appeared thicker than usual (Fig. 1B). Approximately at the level of the digastric muscle intermediate tendon, the MHN gave off a thick branch that joined the LN. Afterwards, the MHN presented its normal course and branching pattern. No anatomical variations were found on the inferior alveolar or the lingual nerves origins. Also, no communicating branches between these two nerves were found. The LN, after receiving this communicating branch from the MHN, also presented normal course and branching pattern.
Nevertheless, it has been pointed out that the injury to the oral and dental surgeries, and also due to nerve blocks. Route for collateral sensory transmission, being a possible first mentioned that this communication could provide another the mylohyoid and lingual nerves in 12.5 % of 32 heads and possible clinical implications for this communication. More Racz LN were described in the literature (Racz & Maros, 1981; explanation for the inefficiency of mandibular anesthesia and these communications have been identified as a possible injury to the tongue. The percentage of appearance of this communication branch was 33.3 % and authors named it as the existence of a communication between one of the termi- nal branches of the MHN with the LN, in the lateral sulcus of the tongue. The percentage of appearance of this communication branch was 33.3 % and authors named it as the “mylohyoid or sublingual curl”. Later, Bergman et al. (1984) mentioned the existence of this communication in a Catalog of Human Variation. Both authors did not discuss possible clinical implications for this communication. More recently, Kim et al. described the communication between the mylohyoid and lingual nerves in 12.5 % of 32 heads and first mentioned that this communication could provide another route for collateral sensory transmission, being a possible cause of incomplete anesthesia during dental practice. No other reports concerning this communication were found in the literature.

Injury to the LN is a potential complication of various oral and dental surgeries, and also due to nerve blocks. Nevertheless, is has been pointed out that the injury to the LN as a result of oral surgery usually results in complete recovery (Reinhart, 1990; Chossegros et al.; Joshi & Rood, 2002). The communication between the mylohyoid and lingual nerves in this study was found to occur after the LN passes in close relation to the third molar region. Since this proximity of the LN and the third molar region is responsible for the relative vulnerable position of this nerve during the third molar surgery (Kiesselbach & Chamberlain, 1984; Pogrel et al., 1995; Miloro et al., 1997; Behnia et al.), the presence of a nerve communication like the one described in this study would help in the LN function recovery as previously mentioned (Reinhart; Chossegros et al.; Joshi & Rood), since the MHN would be contributing to the sensory innervation of the tongue.

One of the cardinal factors in minimizing the incidence of nerve injury to the lingual nerve is the understanding of the local nerves anatomy. The MHN damage has been described as a complication of removal of lower third molar teeth or an excision of the submandibular salivary gland (Adjei & Hammersley. In the presence of the communicating branch described in this study, if the MHN lesion is too proximal, an impairment of the tongue sensation might occur, without a LN lesion. Also, the high incidence of tongue anesthesia reported by Clark et al. due to the MHN block might not be only due to the close proximity of the LN to the site of the MHN block as reported by the authors, since the communication branch we are describing here is shown in relatively high percentages (Racz & Maros; Kim et al.). The present study reinforces the idea of a communicating branch between the mylohyoid and lingual nerves, indicating that some of the sensory components of the MHN, instead of innervating the teeth or chin skin, might also innervate the tongue and surgeons might be aware of this variation for the correct interpretation of the unexpected findings after oral nerves injury.


RESUMEN: El músculo milohioideo juega un importante rol en la masticación, alimentación, respiración y fonación, principalmente el nervio milohioideo está íntimamente relacionado en estas importantes funciones. Se ha postulado que el nervio milohioideo pudiese tener un rol en la inervación sensitiva del mentón y de los incisivos inferiores, mientras que el rol del mismo en los dientes posteriores de la mandíbula, es aún una controversia. Aunque variaciones en el curso del nervio milohioideo en relación a la mandíbula son frecuentes de encontrar en la sala de disección, ellas no han sido satisfactoriamente descritas en la literatura anatómica y quirúrgica. Es conocido que variaciones en el patrón de distribución de nervios mandibulares, frecuentemente son consideradas al fracasar en la obtención de una adecuada anestesia en procedimientos orales dentales de rutina y también en la inervación inesperada de los ramos nerviosos durante la cirugía. Además, variaciones anatómicas pueden ser responsables de inesperados e inexplicables síntomas después de ciertos procedimientos quirúrgicos. Describimos la presencia de una rama comunicante entre los nervios milohioideo y lingual, en un cadáver adulto, de sexo masculino y se discuten sus implicancias clínicas y quirúrgicas y el posible rol en la inervación sensitiva de la lengua. El presente estudio refuerza la idea de un ramo comunicante entre los nervios milohioideo y lingual, indicando algunos de los componentes sensitivos del nervio milohioideo; en cambio, la inervación de los dientes y de la piel del mentón, pudiera inervar la lengua y los cirujanos deberían estar al tanto de esta variación, para una correcta interpretación de los hallazgos inesperados después de una inervación en los nervios.
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