Chemical and electric transmission in the carotid body chemoreceptor complex
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ABSTRACT

Carotid body chemoreceptors are complex secondary receptors. There are chemical and electric connections between glomus cells (GC/GC) and between glomus cells and carotid nerve endings (GC/NE). Chemical secretion of glomus cells is accompanied by GC/GC uncoupling. Chemical GC/NE transmission is facilitated by concomitant electric coupling. Chronic hypoxia reduces GC/GC coupling but increases G/NE coupling. Therefore, carotid body chemoreceptors use chemical and electric transmission mechanisms to trigger and change the sensory discharge in the carotid nerve.

Key terms: carotid body, chemosensory activity, glomus cells.

Years later, with the advent of the electron microscope, it was found that the glomus cells were connected synaptically to the carotid nerve endings (for refs. see McDonald, 1981; Verna, 1997). Then, the problems started because of the location of clear core synaptic vesicles. At the time, these structures were supposed to be a marker of pre-synaptic elements, and in the carotid body, they appeared some times in the glomus cells but very often in carotid nerve endings. Furthermore, Neil and O’Regan (1971), in physiological experiments, found an efferent pathway traveling in the carotid nerve. Consequently, the sensory innervation of the carotid body came into question, and this culminated with the ablation experiments of Biscoe et al. (1970), in which they indicated that the synaptic innervation of glomus cells was efferent. The afferent innervation of the organ was relegated to loose nerve fibers in the stroma.

At this point, the intervention of Prof. Zapata was crucial. Hess and Zapata (1972), in a seminal study, carefully
repeated de Castro’s nerve surgery and used morphological (light and electron microscopy) and physiological techniques to study normal and operated animal specimens. Their results definitely confirmed de Castro’s results and established without doubt that the major innervation of the carotid body is sensory. Many other investigators working on different mammalian species confirmed their results. The efferent innervation originally described by Neil and O'Regan appeared to be confined to unmyelinated fibers in the carotid nerve (Fidone and Sato, 1970) going to innervate blood vessels in the carotid body (Belmonte and Eyzaguirre, 1974).

CHEMICAL TRANSMISSION BETWEEN GLOMUS CELLS AND CAROTID NERVE ENDINGS

There have been several hypotheses (metabolic, acidic, transmitter, etc.) in the attempt to explain the onset of discharges in the carotid nerve (Heymans and Neil, 1958; Anichkov and Belen’kii, 1963). Nowadays, we accept parts of the acidic and metabolic hypotheses, since there are useful elements in each one. However, the most accepted idea today is that there is chemical transmission across the glomus cell-nerve ending junctions. This is an operational idea that does not tell us what mechanisms are involved in transmitter production and release. We know little about these mechanisms.

The first indications that the sensory junction in the carotid body was chemical derived from two observations. One was the detection, under the electron microscope, of dark core granules in the glomus cells; the other was the finding that glomus cells contained catecholamines, especially dopamine, detected with the Falk-Hillarp technique for the light microscope. Acetylcholine (ACh) was also found in carotid body tissue in normal and chronically denervated organs (Eyzaguirre et al., 1965, Fidone et al., 1976; Gual and Marsal, 1987). These early findings have been amply confirmed and expanded by Fitzgerald’s group (2000).

Chemical release from a stimulated carotid body was first demonstrated by Eyzaguirre et al. (1965) using a Loewi-type preparation, which consisted of two carotid bodies in series in a narrow channel through which flowed physiological solution bathing both organs. Stimulation of the upstream organ induced changes in the carotid nerve discharges of the downstream preparation. Dr. Zapata performed pharmacological tests showing that the downstream organ was responding to ACh released from the upstream preparation (Eyzaguirre and Zapata, 1968). More recently, Fitzgerald and his group also have shown ACh release from the carotid body during hypoxic and hypercapnic stimulation (Fitzgerald, 2000).

The studies previously mentioned have been conducted in cat carotid bodies, and in this species, it seems likely that excitatory transmission is cholinergic with dopamine playing an inhibitory role (Zapata, 1997b; Varas et al., 2003; Iturriaga and Alcayaga, 2004). A different story occurs in rabbit carotid body chemoreceptors, where the bulk of physiological and biochemical evidence points out that DA is the excitatory transmitter, whereas ACh is inhibitory (Monti-Bloch and Eyzaguirre, 1980; Gonzalez et al., 1994; Zapata, 1997b). There is relatively little information about rat carotid body receptors, but it seems that in this species, excitatory transmission also is cholinergic (Zhang et al., 2000). However, the jury is still out.

ACh and dopamine are not the only actors in chemosensory synaptic transmission. Glomus cells contain, in addition, several compounds whose concentration changes during hypoxia, and some of them are released from the cells. These are: serotonin (5-HT), enkephalins, prostaglandins, ATP, substance P, and peptides (cholecystokins, atrial natriuretic peptide-ANP). Hypoxia produces several changes. 1) It increases intracellular cAMP, while decreasing cGMP. 2) It releases ATP from the dark core granules, which turns into adenosine by ectonucleotidases. This process may activate the cell membranes because of the presence of P1 purinergic
receptors for ATP and P2 for adenosine. 3) It increases the synthesis of endogenous prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), which inhibits inward calcium currents when exogenously applied (for refs. see Zapata, 1997b).

These findings lead us to consider that the pre-synaptic element of the chemosensory synapse is the glomus cell. The chemical contents of these cells seem to be almost the same in all species. However, the post-synaptic membranes of the nerve endings seem to differ depending on the animal species. Another consideration is that the synapses may not be solely unidirectional, since afferent impulses in the carotid nerve may cross the junction and affect transmitter release. It should be remembered that McDonald (1981) has described synapses oriented from nerve to cell. Nevertheless, as shown below, available physiological evidence does not support this possibility.

ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION BETWEEN GLOMUS CELLS AND BETWEEN GLOMUS CELLS AND NERVE ENDINGS

There are gap junctions between glomus cells (McDonald, 1981; Abudara et al., 1999) and similar connections have been described between glomus cells and carotid nerve endings (Kondo and Iwasa, 1996). However, later on, Kondo (2002) expressed doubts about his earlier findings.

We have found clear evidence for electric connections between glomus cells, GC/GC coupling (Monti-Bloch et al., 1993; Eyzaguirre and Abudara, 1995, 1999), with coupling channels behaving similarly to those in other tissues (Abudara et al., 2001, 2002). Furthermore, injections of dye (Procion Blue, Lucifer Yellow) into one glomus cell spread to other coupled cells (Baron and Eyzaguirre, 1977; Eyzaguirre et al., 2003). Different stimuli (hypoxia, hypercapnia, acidity, and chemical transmitters) uncouple most glomus cells. Chronic hypoxia also reduces coupling between them.

In spite of morphological uncertainties about connections between glomus cells and carotid nerve endings, we have found electric coupling between these elements (GC/NE coupling). Also, injections of Lucifer Yellow into a glomus cell spread to the nerve ending (Eyzaguirre et al., 2003; Jiang and Eyzaguirre, 2003a, b). GC/NE coupling is mostly unidirectional, from glomus cell to nerve ending, since coupling in the opposite direction – from nerve to cell (NE/GC) – is minimal.

There are no pharmacological experiments testing the effects of acute hypoxia, hypercapnia, acidity or transmitters on glomus cell-nerve ending coupling. However, chronic hypoxia increases this type of coupling.

CONCLUSIONS

The carotid body is a very complex sensory receptor that, most likely, generates the sensory discharge by chemical and electric transmission across the chemosensory junction.

We have suggested that stimulated and secreting glomus cells uncouple during this process (Eyzaguirre and Abudara, 1999), as occurs in exocrine glands. We are now proposing that chemical transmission across the glomus cell-nerve ending junction is aided by electric coupling between these structures. This assumption is based on the fact that chronic hypoxia increases GC/NE coupling and that, under this condition, the receptors are more responsive to natural (hypoxia, hypercapnia) stimuli and exogenous application of transmitters (Rey et al., 2004; He et al., 2005). Increased GC/NE coupling also may be a factor in depressing or preventing the effects of specific synaptic blockers on natural carotid body stimulation. However, the release of multiple transmitters during carotid body stimulation also should play an important role in preventing the actions of a specific blocker (Zapata, 1997a, b).

A puzzling observation has been that we have not found a post-synaptic potential during stimulation of glomus cells or nerve endings while recording from apposed nerve endings or glomus cells. This finding is puzzling because chemical synapses between glomus cells and nerve endings and between
glomus cells are amply documented (McDonald, 1981; Verna, 1997). We do not have a ready explanation for this non-effect. However, dual stimulation and recording from glomus cells and nerve endings have been done only in rat carotid bodies. In this species, glomus cells have very few Na+ and calcium voltage-gated channels (Fieber and McCleskey, 1993; Jiang et al., 1998; Jiang and Eyzaguirre, 2005). They may be unexcitable electrically, according to Grundfest’s (1961) definition. A different story may appear if dual stimulation and recordings are performed in rabbit chemoreceptors where glomus cells clearly have voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels (for references see Gonzalez et al., 1994).
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