An “enactive” approach to integrative and comparative biology: Thoughts on the table

ADRIAN G. PALACIOS¹ and FRANCISCO BOZINOVIC²

¹Departamento de Fisiología, Facultad de Ciencias y Centro de Neurociencia de Valparaíso (CNV), Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile.
²Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Ecología & Biodiversidad, Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago 6513677, Chile

ABSTRACT

We discuss the concept of Enaction as originally proposed by Varela. We attempt to exemplify through two specific topics, sensory ecology and behavior, as well as physiological and behavioral ecology, on which the enactive approach is based. We argue that sensory physiology allows us to explore the biological and cognitive meaning of animal ‘private’ sensory channels, beyond the scope of our own sensory capacity. Furthermore, after analyzing the interplay between factors that may impose limits upon an animal’s use of time and energy, we call for a program of research in integrative and comparative biology that simultaneously considers evolutionary ecology (including physiological and behavioral ecology) and neurobiology (including cognitive mechanisms as well structural design). We believe that this approach represents a shift in scientific attitude among biologists concerning the place of biological and ecological topics in studies of integrative and comparative biology and biological diversity and vice versa.

INTRODUCTION

“Perception consists in perceptually guided action...” “…we must see the organism and environment as bound together in reciprocal specification and selection...” “Cognitive structures emerge from the recurrent sensorimotor patterns that enable action to be perceptually guided.”

Varela et al. 1991

“Cognitive ecology focuses on the effects of information processing and decision-making on animal fitness. To that end, cognitive ecology employs formal evolutionary and ecological theory to address ultimate questions about the optimal design of, constraints on, and function of cognitive traits...”

Dukas, 1998

Levels of biological organization provide one of the central themes around which biologists attempt to understand biological diversity, from molecules to communities (Kooijman 2000). Mechanistic explanations for biodiversity link adjacent levels in the biological hierarchy. We believe that an understanding of the processes underlying biological patterns can be better achieved with an integration of biological disciplines and associated hierarchies, including molecular biology, physiology, ecology, and evolution. Francisco Varela challenged classical concepts of the biological organization of living beings. We discuss here the concept of ‘Enaction’ as originally proposed by Varela (1979) and Varela et al., (1991) in view of recent developments in cognitive ecology as well as in physiological and behavioral ecology. Modern terminology needs to be integrative and spans the interface among evolutionary biology, ecology, behavior, morphology,
biochemistry, and physiology. Although scientists of all these areas have made important contributions to the study of biological principles, some physiologists complain that ecologists do not understand the basic mechanisms involved in the physiological integration of animals and do not use important physiological concepts and methods in their research or in the formulation of their hypotheses. Similarly, ecologists complain that physiologists have rarely addressed their research with modern theoretical and methodological approaches of evolutionary ecology.

We agree with Varela’s enactive approach, which states that to explain behavior we must incorporate the study of ‘coupling’ or interactions between organism and environment, which represents a shift in attitude concerning the place of physiology, neurobiology and behavior in studies of evolutionary ecology and vice versa. Behaviorists need to be aware that animals experience the world through a historical set of ‘structural coupling’ with the environment. Dukas (1998) recently joined the discussion and interestingly tackled the problem of biological integration with the foundation for a field named ‘Cognitive Ecology.’ As previously occurred in the field of physiological and evolutionary ecology, Cognitive Ecology integrates theory and observations from evolutionary ecology and neurobiology, primarily cognitive science, in order to understand the effect of animal interaction with their habitat has on their cognitive systems and those systems (function and structure) restrict behavior within an ecological and evolutionary framework. In this context, Varela’s enaction proposal views “the organism and environment are bound together in reciprocal specification and selection” (Varela et al. 1991 Chapter 8) and requires that any integral approach needs to incorporate the organism and the environment into a common framework wherein they become dynamically dependent upon each other. In what follows we attempt to exemplify through specific topics where the enactive approach can look for foundation.

In the context of evolutionary ecology, the ultimate design in a sensory system results from a co-determination -after millions of years of trial and error- between organism and environment. Thompson et al. (1992) proposed an enactive view of understanding sensory systems, noting that explanations derived from neurophysiology, computation or philosophy alone are unsatisfactory. The sensory experience of the world is built in the course of animal action “through a network consisting of multiple levels of interconnected, sensorimotor subnetworks” (Varela et al. 1991) in a brain that supports the behavioral response (e.g. food selection, intra- and/or inter-specific recognition). A series of classical studies on motor- or sensory-deprived experiences supports Varela’s position. In a sensorimotor task, a group of kittens actively explore the environment and thus their brains develop neuronal selectivity to orientation, movement and depth. However, a similar but passive group does not develop neuronal selectivity and has low visual capabilities. Along with similar results on bird song, social or sexual imprinting and visual binocularity, neurobiologists coined the concept of ‘critical period’ to refer to the postnatal period of brain maturation that is sensitive to the sensorimotor experience of the environment. It would be interesting to introduce the concept of critical period into the enaction research program.

Francisco Varela et al. (1991) liked to refer to the co-evolution between ultraviolet (UV) sensitivity in bees and flowers? reflecting UV light as an example of structural coupling. Although this example of co-evolution is rather classic, it is clearly too weak to give rise to the specific mechanisms that are under co-evolution (e.g. the whole eye, or a particular class of UV sensitive opsin or a reflecting pigment in flowers). To that respect, it is relevant to consider lessons from Natural History. Interested on how colors and their receiver influence each other, Lars Chittka (1997) points out that UV sensitivity was already present in insect ancestors 570 million years ago, preceding colors in flowers.
(angiosperms) by 400 millions years. A key factor to include in the enaction program (or in any study interested in co-evolution) would thus be a case-specific description of the biological structures that authors are referring to.

In our search for examples of ‘structural coupling,’ we began to study the visual system of *Octodon degus* (*Rodentia: Octodontidae*), a diurnal-crepuscular rodent, and the chromatic characteristic of the degus environment. The degus retina has a high percentage of cones, and measuring the spectral sensitivity of the eye with electroretinogram (ERG) shows the presence of a mid- and short-UV wavelength sensitive mechanism (Chavez et al., 2003). What is it in the degus environment that reflects UV that can make sense to the eye UV sensitivity? Degus body and microhabitat objects provide some clues. The dorsal body and lack of UV reflectance is inconspicuous compared to its natural background. Instead, the ventral body reflects up to 20% UV (Chavez et al., 2003), suggesting a potential signal for social communication during ‘alert calls’ or ‘vigilance’ behaviors, where *O. degus* expose their ventral bodies to their co-specifics by standing on their hind limbs (Vazquez, 1997). Voles (rodent: *Microtus agresti*) mark runways with urine and fecal scents that are UV-visible by kestrels (*Falco tinnunculus*). Furthermore, kestrels use these marks to track the presence of voles from the air, scanning large areas in a short time (Koivula et al. 1999). We measured *O. degus* urine and found that it reflected preferentially in the UV and up to 40% of incident light. We suggest that the scent of rodent urine as a ‘visual pheromone,’ a possible mechanism that co-evolves with the rodent UV sensitivity (Chavez et al. 2003).

Rodents (rats, gerbils, mice, hamsters) show higher UV sensitivity in behavioral tasks when compared to an ERG method. This observation suggests that the ‘cognitive’ aspects associated with UV signals in behavioral tasks are more salient than the sum of UV receptor responses in the ERG (Jacobs et al., 1991; Jacobs & Deegan, 1994, Glosmann & Ahnelt, 2002).

Along this line, wavelength spectral sensitivity in the rudd (*Scardinuis erythrophthalmus*) also depends on behavioral tasks. Rudd develop an escape behavior in response to moving objects and its maximal spectral sensitivity ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) response is in the red (600 nm). However, when the rudd learns to discriminate between two color spots to obtain food, their $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ range from UV to red (Muntz, 1975; Neumeyer, 1992).

High sensitivity in low ambient light – potentially associated with color vision – has been described in fish (Roessel et al., 1997). *Danio aequipinnatus* night sensitivity has a broader ERG band in the red than expected from a single-rod response. We explored the possibility that low vs. high sensitivity cones are present in the *D. aequipinnatus* retina. We measured photocurrents from isolated cones in response to different wavelengths, and we distinguished four different classes with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ in the UV, blue, green, and red (Palacios et al., 1996). Each class presented cones with high sensitivity close to rods (Palacios et al., 2000). On the hand, dichromatic vision based on rods and red cones has been described in goldfish (Power & Easter, 1978). This result opens the fascinating possibility that animals experience color vision under moonlight.

The natural light distribution –intensity and hue– over days, hours, minutes and the visual system capacities should be considered in a dynamic relationship. The dimensionality of a color space depends on the background intensity level of the ambient. In high illumination the goldfish is tetrachromatic. However, at mid-level illumination, it becomes trichromatic (Neumeyer 1992).

Those examples of context coupling dependence between sensory biology and environment are important to consider in the study of the biological roots of ‘enaction.’ Sensory physiology offers the possibility of exploring the biological and cognitive meaning of ‘private’ sensory channels in animals, apart from the scope of our own sensory capacity. Further, studies challenging the enaction program will need to consider how specific behaviors
and environment are dynamically coupled, in the sense introduced by Francisco Varela.

INTEGRATING PHYSIOLOGICAL AND BEHAVIORAL ECOLOGY

A major goal in behavioral and physiological ecology is to understand the interaction between factors that may impose limits on an animal’s use of time and energy. An example that integrates physiology, behavior and environment is foraging. In the next section we attempt to demonstrate that analysis of foraging behavior would be greatly benefited from the integration of neurobiological (cognitive), physiological, evolutionary, and ecological research.

Hummingbirds are among the smallest endothermic vertebrates and have extremely high mass-specific metabolic rates (López-Calleja & Bozinovic, 2003). Energy expenditure of hummingbirds during foraging reaches the upper limits recorded for vertebrates (Suarez 1998). Furthermore, hummingbirds often face marked fluctuations in environmental conditions. This, along with their high energy requirements, determine that hummingbirds present a tightly-coupled energy balance and show rapid behavioral and physiological responses to changes in environmental conditions and food availability. Theoretically, when an animal minimizes the amount of time spent to meet its energy/nutritional requirements or maximizes the amount of energy obtained, it is considered to be maximizing its fitness. Fernández et al. (2002a, b) presented an analysis of behavioral the flexibility of *O. degus*, in integrative response to the temporal (daily, seasonal), spatial, and thermal heterogeneity of its environment. The authors quantified activity and foraging behavior and thermal conditions in a population in an extremely open habitat in the seasonally hot and arid ‘matorral’ of central Chile. In addition, Bacigalupe et al. (2003) manipulated food availability and compared open and shaded study plots to determine the influence of thermal conditions on above-ground activity. In extremely open areas, flexibility in the timing of surface activity allows the degus to maintain thermal homeostasis and energy balance throughout the year. Degus shift the times of daily onset and termination of activity as well as the number of major episodes (unimodal or bimodal) over the course of the year. Their surface activity occurs under a much narrower range or ‘window’ of thermal conditions than those that occur over the entire broad range of the day and year. When shrubs are available, degus display a bimodal pattern of daily activity during relatively warmer thermal of autumn, whereas activity was unimodal during cooler winter conditions.

Classic and theoretical frameworks dealing with foraging behavior pose that behaviors depend on the ecological context in which foraging takes place (Stephens & Krebs, 1986). Nevertheless, as exemplified by hummingbirds and degus, the interaction of physiological capabilities, in combination with cognitive skills and the availability and properties of food resources can also have important, albeit relatively unstudied effects, on foraging mode.

Based on the examples above, we are calling for a program of research in integrative and comparative biology that simultaneously considers evolutionary ecology (including physiological and behavioral ecology) and neurobiology (including cognitive mechanisms as well as structural design). Again, from our perspective, this approach represents a shift in scientific attitude on behalf of biologists concerning the place of biological and ecological topics in studies of integrative...
and comparative biology and biological diversity and vice versa.
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